

CBPF-NF-052/85

THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION FOR CENTRAL POWER LAW POTENTIALS  
AND THE CLASSICAL THEORY OF ORDINARY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL  
EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND ORDER §

by

Márcia L. Lima\* and Juan A. Mignaco†

†Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CNPq/CBPF  
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150  
22290 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

\*Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física, UFRJ  
CP 68528  
CEP 21944 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

§Deceased on 11 April 1985.

## ABSTRACT

We show that the rational power law potentials in the two-body radial Schrödinger equation admit a systematic treatment available from the classical theory of ordinary linear differential equations of the second order. The admissible potentials come into families evolved from equations having a fixed number of elementary singularities. As a consequence, relations are found and discussed among the several potentials in a family.

Key-words: Schrödinger equation; Power law potentials; Solutions of wave equations.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Historically the development of the theory of differential equations contributed to several fields in classical physics. Conversely, the problems of mechanics, continuous media and electromagnetism were source of advances and stimulated the work of outstanding mathematicians.

An approach to differential equations from the point of view of analysis and the theory of functions of a complex variable was developed to a high degree during the preceding century. The classical treatises of Forsythe<sup>1</sup> and Ince<sup>2</sup> witness of the level of qualitative and quantitative understanding of the problem, in particular the existence, uniqueness and characterization of the possible solutions.

The advent of quantum mechanics with the Schrödinger equation as the differential equation for the eigenvalue problem of the stationary states in two body problems opened some new applications for differential equations. The simplest atomic problem, the hydrogen atom, was promptly solved exactly with the help of the classical knowledge previously accumulated on the confluent hypergeometric equation and its solutions. Other problems of two body forces were later solved, like the S-wave for the exponential potential. But in fact no important development in the theory of the Schrödinger equation was made under the stimulus of the pursuit of new solutions until recently.

It is true also that even mathematicians since 1920 abandoned almost completely the analytical approach to differential equations orienting themselves to the study of global geometric or

algebraic properties of differential equations.

On the other hand, electronic calculators made increasingly easier to obtain approximate solutions with better precision. In all, everything concurred to a situation where the known solutions of the Schrödinger equation for two body problems are presented essentially as the application of "ad hoc" procedures of almost heuristic value and references are made to some good text in mathematical physics<sup>3-5</sup>. Notice that several texts are not much more modern than Forsythe or Ince.

Two decades ago Bose<sup>6</sup> took anew the developments of Ince in his attempt to obtain a general classification of linear ordinary differential equations of second order. The point made by Ince is that, starting from an equation with a given number of elementary singularities (i.e., singularities where the possible two solutions are analytic and admit a power series with first powers differing in one solution from the other by one half) from the gradual confluence of these singularities one is able to generate families of equations. Bose applied this to the Schrödinger equation and looked for the possible Schrödinger equations resulting from an original equation having 6 elementary singularities. Since the confluent hypergeometric equation is obtained letting four singularities coalesce at the point at infinity and the other two at the origin, he was able to obtain the known cases of the harmonic isotropic oscillator and the Coulomb potential, and the exponential potential as well, with suitable changes of variables. Other interesting cases resulted, and he later extended this study with Lemieux<sup>7</sup> to the case of 8 elementary singularities. They started with the singularities already coalesced pairwise,

which produces a differential equation with four regular singularities studied by Heun<sup>8</sup> as an extension of the Riemann problem for the hypergeometric equation (which has only three regular singular points). They considered the consequences of letting these singularities coalesce in several ways. The analogous to the confluent hypergeometric case is here obtained when three regular singularities join at infinity and the remaining is at the origin; it produces a family of potentials including

$$V(x) = V_1 x^{-2} + V_2 x^{-1} + V_3 x + V_4 x^2 \quad (1)$$

and

$$V(x) = V_1 x^{-2} + V_2 x^2 + V_3 x^4 + V_4 x^6 \quad (1')$$

which can be related through a transformation of variables which is by now well known (we shall analyze it in the following sections). The first of these potentials was solved exactly by Singh, Biswas and Datta<sup>9</sup>. Both have been considered in a series of articles by Znojil<sup>10</sup>. In fact, most works in the area of obtaining exact solutions for the Schrödinger equation ignore the pioneering work by Bose and Lemieux, which was only recalled by Johnson<sup>11</sup>.

The physics of elementary particles gave new stimulus to the potential theory of potentials with a positive power law, which are presumed adequate to describe the confining forces between quarks and antiquarks<sup>12</sup>.

The study of one dimensional anharmonic oscillators like the one in (1') was also of interest since they present some feau

tures which seem to be common to relativistic field theory: the energy levels are not analytic functions of the unharmonic coupling constant, and this prevents for instance the perturbative expansion of energy levels to converge<sup>13</sup>. Padé approximants have been shown to provide the right answer<sup>14</sup> for the  $x^4$  potential. Recently, the solution via a continued fraction for the Green's function has been given by the indian group<sup>9</sup>.

Znojil has produced a real leap forward studying in all generality (rational) power law potentials starting from normal and subnormal solutions<sup>10</sup>. He then proposed an extended continued fraction for the Green function in the general case, studied the transformations between families of potentials and gave some systematic classification for them.

In an almost similar approach Rampal and Datta<sup>15</sup> performed a study which cared among other things (as Znojil also did) upon the existence of polynomial solutions and gave some criteria for them to exist.

In this work we show how the analytic procedures developed by Ince apply in the general case for (rational) power law potentials. The essential feature to allow a systematic study is the number,  $N$ , of elementary singularities of an ordinary linear differential equation of second order (OLDESO). In contrast with Bose and Lemieux, we consider all possible ways of making these singularities to coalesce in higher order ones. This of course allows us to obtain a larger number of families, among them some of undoubtedly physical interest.

We further study the set of transformations in the independent variable which transforms members of a given family into

one another and how they reflect these properties in the solutions.

With a careful notation we study also the regular solutions of the equations so obtained. We believe that this systematic procedure allows a unified treatment of all cases known in the literature. Needless to say this work could have been done half a century ago with little changes, if any.

A short version of this work has appeared already<sup>16</sup>. We propose however that this article be as self consistent as possible. The terminology is restated as can be found in the classical treatises<sup>1,2</sup>. The proofs of mathematical statements are not always easy and mostly we omit them and refer to the classics.

In Section 2 we develop the classical theory of OLDESO in a reduced form, just to allow the understanding of the basic technology. This as well as a lot of terminology has phased away with time, and is rarely used in books of physics. The coalescence of singularities is considered with some detail for the cases  $N=4,6$ . This allows us to give a general account of Ince's classification criteria for OLDESO.

Section 3 deals with the general case and the relationship between potentials of a given family are analyzed, generalizing results obtained by Johnson<sup>11</sup>. This is the extension of the relationship between the harmonic isotropic three-dimensional-oscillator and the Coulomb problem, known in the classic<sup>17</sup> and quantum<sup>18</sup> domains.

Section 4 presents a systematic analysis of the analytic part of the normal and subnormal solutions. We show explicitly now the singularity completely determines the kind of possible solution available and its series expansion.

Section 5, finally contains some conclusions and points to possible directions of further research.

## 2 CLASSICAL THEORY AND INCE'S CLASSIFICATION

### 2.1 Singularities of a differential equation and the forms of the solutions

An OLDESO can be written in general as:

$$\frac{d^2y(z)}{dz^2} + P(z) \frac{dy(z)}{dz} + Q(z)y(z) = 0 \quad (2)$$

It is well known that in general may exist two solutions to this equation:  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . A point  $z_0$  is an *ordinary* one if  $P$  and  $Q$  have no singularity in it, i.e., if they are analytic functions around  $z_0$ . The solutions around this point will be analytic functions of  $z$ , and their Taylor expansions will be convergent:

$$y(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z-z_0)^n \quad (3)$$

If  $z_0$  is a singularity for  $P$  and/or  $Q$ , it is assumed that in its neighbourhood a seemingly similar development is valid:

$$y(z) = (z-z_0)^\sigma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n (z-z_0)^n \quad (4)$$

When substituting in (2) two possibilities are open:

- (i) Equating powers, a  $2^{\text{nd}}$  degree equation results for  $\sigma$ .
- (ii) Equating powers, the equation for  $\sigma$  is linear or, even, there is no equation for  $\sigma$ .

In the first case,  $z_0$  is a *regular* singularity and the indicial equation has one or two solutions,  $\sigma_{\pm}$ . For  $|\sigma_+ - \sigma_-| = \frac{1}{2}$ , the singularity is *elementary*.



In the second case,  $z_0$  is an *irregular* singularity.

The necessary and sufficient condition for  $z_0$  be a regular singularity is:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P(z) &= (z-z_0)^{-\lambda} g(z) & \lambda &= 0,1 \\ Q(z) &= (z-z_0)^{-\mu} h(z) & \mu &= 0,1,2 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

The case  $\lambda=\mu=0$  is excluded ( $z_0$  is then an ordinary point).

The functions  $g$  and  $h$  are analytic around  $z_0$ .

The transformation:

$$z = \frac{1}{\omega} \quad (6)$$

exchanges the points at the origin and infinity. Eq. (2) looks then:

$$\frac{d^2 y(\omega^{-1})}{d\omega^2} + \left[ \frac{2}{\omega} - \frac{P(\omega^{-1})}{\omega^2} \right] \frac{dy(\omega^{-1})}{d\omega} + \frac{Q(\omega^{-1})}{\omega^4} y(\omega^{-1}) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Analyzing the behaviour at  $\omega=0$ , infinity is

(i) an ordinary point if

$$p(\omega) = \frac{2}{\omega} - \frac{P(\omega^{-1})}{\omega^2} = 0(1) \quad (8)$$

$$q(\omega) = \frac{Q(\omega^{-1})}{\omega^4} = 0(1)$$

(ii) a regular singularity if

$$p(\omega) = 0(\omega^{-1}) \quad (9)$$

$$q(\omega) = 0(\omega^{-2})$$

For what regards the solutions to Eq. (2), let us first consider the case that  $z_0$  is a regular singularity. The numbers  $\sigma_{\pm}$  resulting for the roots of the indicial equation are the exponents of the singularity. The two solutions will be:

$$y_1(z) = (z-z_0)^{\sigma_+} u_+(z)$$

$$y_2(z) = (z-z_0)^{\sigma_-} u_-(z)$$

if  $|\sigma_+ - \sigma_-|$  is not an integer. If  $\sigma_+ = \sigma_- = \sigma_0$ , then the two solutions will differ by a logarithm. If  $|\sigma_+ - \sigma_-|$  is an integer, then the solutions may differ by a logarithm. For  $z_0$  a regular singularity, the general solution of (2) will have a pole or a branch point at  $z_0$ .

For irregular singular points, two situations may be present: a) the indicial equation is independent of  $\sigma$ ; b) the indicial equation is linear in  $\sigma$ . There is no loss of generality by taking  $z_0$  to be the origin. We shall take

$$p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^{n+\beta}$$

$$q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^{n+\gamma}$$

$$w(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n z^{n+\alpha}$$

We see then that if

$$\beta - 1 = \gamma < -1$$

we have one index:

$$\alpha = \frac{q_0}{P_0}$$

For  $\beta \geq -1$ ,  $\gamma \geq -2$  we always have two indices. If these conditions are not fulfilled, we have no indicial equation. On the other hand,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  must be integers, otherwise the series expansions would not match coefficients.

In general, it can be shown<sup>2</sup> that if the series for  $w$  ends (i.e., is a polynomial) we have a regular solution. However, in most cases the series expansion is infinite and there is no regular solution at the origin.

If no regular solution exists, a normal solution is tried. A normal solution is of the form:

$$w(z) = \exp(-P(z)) v(z) \quad (10)$$

where

$$P(z) = z^{-\delta} \sum_{n=0}^N \pi_n z^n \quad (11)$$

and  $v(z)$  has a series expansion around the origin. The normal solution has an essential singularity at the origin. The problem is up to what point the normal solution may work. There is no a priori knowledge about the answer to this question, and in fact what is done is to try a solution and test that the new differential e-quation for  $v(z)$  admits a regular solution.

A current example allows to see how to determine  $P(z)$ . Let's consider the one dimensional Schrödinger equation for the linear oscillator:

$$\left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \omega^2 x^2 \right] \psi(x) = E \psi(x)$$

That the point at infinity is an irregular one is seen by transforming it into the origin:

$$x = \frac{1}{\rho}$$

Then we have:

$$\frac{d^2 \psi(\rho)}{d\rho^2} + \frac{2}{\rho} \frac{d\psi(\rho)}{d\rho} + \left[ \frac{E}{\rho^4} - \frac{\omega^2}{\rho^6} \right] \psi(\rho) = 0$$

Trying a normal solution:

$$\psi(\rho) = \exp(-\mathbb{P}(\rho)) v(\rho)$$

we have:

$$\frac{d^2 v(\rho)}{d\rho^2} + \left[ \frac{2}{\rho} - 2 \frac{d(\rho)}{d\rho} \right] \frac{dv(\rho)}{d\rho} + \left[ \left( \frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\rho} \right)^2 - \frac{d^2 \mathbb{P}}{d\rho^2} - \frac{2}{\rho} \frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\rho} + \frac{E}{\rho^4} - \frac{\omega^2}{\rho^6} \right] v(\rho) = 0$$

We have one possible choice to eliminate the sixth order pole:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\rho} \propto \frac{\omega}{\rho^3} + O(\rho^{-2}) .$$

With this,

$$\mathbb{P}(\rho) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{\rho^2} + \frac{a_1}{\rho}$$

and  $a_1=0$  since it would give rise to a  $\rho^{-5}$  pole, whereas the coefficient in the derivative may have only a  $\rho^{-3}$  pole. So, at the end,

$$\psi(\rho) = \exp(-\omega / 2\rho^2)v(\rho)$$

and the equation for  $v(\rho)$  is:

$$\frac{d^2v(\rho)}{d\rho^2} + \frac{2}{\rho} \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2}{\rho^2}\right) \frac{dv(\rho)}{d\rho} + \left(\frac{E-\omega^2}{\rho^4}\right)v(\rho) = 0 \quad (12)$$

The regular solutions of this equation are the Hermite polynomials. This can be seen coming back to the original variable.

It may also happen that instead of a normal solution like (10) a similar one but in a transformed dependent variable

$$w(z) = \exp(-P(z^{1/k}))v(z^{1/k})$$

may originate an equation with a regular solution for  $v$ . In this case, we speak of the *subnormal* solutions<sup>1,2</sup>.

## 2.2 Normal form of the equation and the Schrödinger equation

An unfortunate use of the word (normal) is the one which tells that equation (2) is put in the following form:

$$\frac{d^2\chi(z)}{dz^2} + I(z)\chi(z) = 0 \quad (13)$$

where the "invariant"

$$I(z) = q(z) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{dp(z)'}{dz} - \frac{1}{4} [p(z)]^2 \quad (14)$$

is obtained by eliminating the coefficient of the first derivative,  $p(z)$ , via:

$$w(z) = \chi(z) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int p(z') dz'\right) \quad (15)$$

There is an important property of an equation in normal form like (13). Performing the transformation  $z = \rho^{-1}$ , it is easily shown that the new invariant

$$I'(\rho) = \rho^{-4} I(\rho^{-1})$$

In the radial Schrödinger equation one has the normal form after separation of variables in spherical coordinates,  $R(r)$ , going to the radial wave function  $u(r)$ :

$$u(r) = r R(r) \quad (16)$$

Notice that there is often an interplay between the extraction of a singularity via a normal (or subnormal) solution like (10) (or (12)) and including the term with first derivatives.

It is essential for our study the relationship between the normal form of a given differential equation and the normal form for the Schrödinger equation where two particles interact through central forces. Following Bose<sup>6</sup>, given

$$\frac{d^2 \chi(z)}{dz^2} + I(z) \chi(z) = 0 ,$$

writing

$$z = z(r) \quad , \quad (17a)$$

$$\chi = \left(\frac{dz}{dr}\right)^{1/2} u(r) \quad , \quad (17b)$$

we shall have

$$\frac{d^2 u(r)}{dr^2} + I^{(s)}(r) u(r) = 0 \quad (18a)$$

with

$$I^{(s)}(r) = \left(\frac{dz}{dr}\right)^2 I[z(r)] + \frac{1}{2} \{z(r), r\} \quad (18b)$$

$$\{z(r), r\} = \frac{\left(\frac{d^3 z}{dr^3}\right)}{\left(\frac{dz}{dr}\right)} - \frac{3}{2} \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{d^2 z}{dr^2}\right)}{\left(\frac{dz}{dr}\right)} \right]^2 \quad (\text{Schwarz derivative})$$

To be useful as a representation of a Schrödinger equation,  $I^{(s)}$  should be of the form

$$I^{(s)} \propto k^2 - \lambda r^{-2} - U(r)$$

with  $\lambda$  a real number. If we know the regular solution of the original equation (13), we may expect that this transformation may lead to a solution of a Schrödinger equation for a given potential.

As an example of the procedure, involving also the treatment we shall give in the following to similar problems, let us take the case of the Whittaker equation for the confluent hypergeometric equation (dealt with also in Bose's article<sup>6</sup>):

$$\frac{d^2W(z)}{dz^2} + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{z} + \frac{1/4 - \mu^2}{z^2}\right) W(z) = 0$$

The linearly independent solutions are:

$$(i) \quad M_{\lambda, \mu}(z) = z^{\mu+1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} \phi\left(\mu-\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, 2\mu+1; z\right)$$

which is regular at the origin (assuming  $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ ) and valid for

$$2\mu + 1 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots \quad ;$$

$$(ii) \quad M_{\lambda, -\mu}(z) = z^{-\mu+1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} \phi\left(-\mu-\lambda-\frac{1}{2}, -2\mu+1; z\right) ,$$

which is irregular at the origin ( $-\mu > -1/2$ ) and valid for

$$-2\mu + 1 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$$

$$\phi(\alpha, \gamma; z) = {}_1F_1(\alpha, \gamma; z) = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\gamma(\gamma+1)} \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots$$

Let us restrict to transformations of the independent variable using a power (more general transformations are given in Bose<sup>6</sup> and Lemieux and Bose<sup>7</sup> but they are of no interest for the matters considered in what follows):

$$z = ar^p$$

Then,



- 15 -

$$W = (apr^{p-1})^{1/2} u(r)$$

$$\{z(r), r\} = \frac{1-p^2}{2r^2}$$

and

$$I_w[z(r)] = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{ar^p} + \frac{1/4-\mu^2}{a^2 r^{2p}}$$

The corresponding candidate for an invariant of the Schrödinger equation is:

$$I_p^{(s)}(r) = -\frac{p^2 a^2 r^{2p-2}}{4} + p^2 a \lambda r^{p-2} + \frac{1/4-\mu^2 p^2}{r^2}$$

To make it an invariant for a Schrödinger equation we have two possible choices:

$$p=1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^{(s)}(r) &= -\frac{a^2}{4} + \frac{a\lambda}{r} + \frac{1/4-\mu^2}{r^2} \\ &= -E + \frac{g}{r} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} \quad (\text{the Coulomb potential}) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$u_1(r) = (2\kappa r)^{\ell+1} e^{-\kappa r} \phi(\ell+1 - \frac{g}{2}, 2(\ell+1); 2\kappa r) \quad (19)$$

$$\kappa = \sqrt{-E}$$

The series (19) terminates if

$$\ell+1 - g/2\kappa = N$$

which gives for  $\kappa$  (and  $E$ ) the values for the Coulomb potential well.

$p=2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2^{(s)}(r) &= -a^2 r^2 + 4a\lambda + \frac{1/4 - 4\mu^2}{r^2} \\
 &= -\Gamma^2 r^2 + k^2 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}, \text{ the isotropic harmonic oscillator} \\
 u_2(r) &= B_2 r^{\ell+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma r^2} \phi\left(\frac{\ell+3/2}{2} - \frac{k^2}{4\Gamma}, \ell + \frac{3}{2}; \Gamma r^2\right) \quad (20)
 \end{aligned}$$

The series (20) terminates whenever

$$k^2 = 4\Gamma\left(N + \frac{\ell+3/2}{2}\right)$$

which are the energy levels of the harmonic oscillator.

We have obtained the laws of force and their solutions from the differential equation. This is the main content of the article, to show that a family of differential equations that can be suitably characterized produce a corresponding interesting family of laws of force.

### 2.3 The classification of OLDESO's made by Ince

The number of elementary regular singularities can be taken as a starting point, a basic set of data, to begin considering systematically OLDESO's.

The most general equation having  $N$  elementary regular sin-

gularities, one of them being at infinity is

$$\frac{d^2 w(z)}{dz^2} + \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{1/2 - 2\alpha_r}{z - z_r} \right] \frac{dw(z)}{dz} + \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{\alpha_r(\alpha_r + 1/2)}{(z - z_r)^2} + \frac{A_0 + A_1 z + \dots + A_{N-3} z^{N-3}}{(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \dots (z - z_{N-1})} \right] w(z) = 0 \quad (21)$$

The  $N-1$  singularities at finite distances are located at  $z_r$  ( $r=1, \dots, N-1$ ) and their exponents are  $\alpha_r$  and  $\alpha_r + 1/2$ .

The constants  $A_1, \dots, A_{N-4}$  are arbitrary. Since the point at infinity is also an elementary regular singularity we must have:

$$\alpha_\infty = \frac{N}{4} - 1 - \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \alpha_r$$

and this fixes the value of  $A_{N-3}$  to be

$$A_{N-3} = \left( \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \alpha_r \right)^2 - \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \alpha_r^2 - \frac{N-2}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \alpha_r + \frac{(N-2)(N-4)}{16} \quad (22)$$

The sum of the exponents is not arbitrary:

$$2\alpha_\infty + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} (2\alpha_r + \frac{1}{2}) = N-2$$

Let now two singularities coalesce, for instance, by making  $z_2 \rightarrow z_1$ . Then, the pole term in  $p(z)$  picks a new residue:

$$\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha_1 \rightarrow 1 - 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$$

The double pole in (21) which contributes to the indicial equation at  $z_1$  has a residue now

$$\alpha_1 \left( \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \alpha_2 \left( \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{A_0 + A_1 z_1 + \dots + A_{N-3} z_1^{N-3}}{(z_1 - z_3)(z_1 - z_4) \dots (z_1 - z_{N-1})}$$

Since the coefficients  $A_n$  ( $n=0,1,\dots,N-4$ ) are fully arbitrary the indicial equation has two roots that in general will *not* differ by  $1/2$ .

In the notation by Ince, the initial equation was of the kind  $[N,0,0]$  and became  $[N-2,1,0]$ . In general, by making singularities to coincide we can get

$$[N,0,0] \rightarrow [N-K, K_0, K_1, K_2, \dots, K_s]$$

such that  $K_0$  describes regular singularities,  $K_1$  irregular singularities coming out of the coalescence of three elementary singularities,  $K_2$  irregular singularities coming out of the coalescence of four elementary regular ones and, in general,  $K_j$  will indicate the number of singularities produced by coalescence of  $J+2$  elementary regular ones. That is:

$$K = \sum_{r=0}^s (r+2) K_r$$

In this notation, the Riemann problem<sup>2</sup>, that is to write the solution of an OLDES0 having three regular singularities is obtained from  $[6,0,0]$  into  $[0,3,0]$ .

In this work we shall talk almost exclusively of the coalescence of elementary regular singularities to the origin and/or infinity.

Let us try a simple application. Consider  $N=4$ . We then find:

$$A_1 = 2(\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_3) - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$$

Making  $z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow \infty$ , and imposing

$$\lim_{z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_0}{z_1 z_2 z_3} = -k^2, \text{ real}$$

the equation becomes

$$\frac{d^2 w(z)}{dz^2} + k^2 w(z) = 0 \quad (23)$$

This is a well known equation: is the classical harmonic oscillator in one dimension (with  $z$  the time parameter), or the quantum mechanical Schrödinger equation for the free particle in one dimension (stationary states).

It is at this point reasonable to make some remarks about the physical relevance of the elementary regular singularities of the equations. It seems that the equations of interest in Physics are precisely those having irregular singularities. The original elementary regular singularities might be of some value for physical situations if one could, knowing the solutions of the corresponding equations, keep some control on the parameters as the singularities coalesce. To our knowledge, this problem has not been solved in all generality.

A comment at this point on the free particle case is perhaps in order. The link between the quantum mechanical case (23) and the classical case for the free particle in one dimension is provided by the superposition of solutions which gives a solution of the Schrödinger equation depending in time. Mean values in

these states are related to the classical observables. For instance,

$$\langle x \rangle(t) = x_0 + \langle v \rangle t$$

This is the solution of the classical equation, which is linearly divergent for  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . It is a milder behaviour than the original solution of the stationary quantum case (23). This could have been expected since the start, and if singularities of the differential equations govern the dynamical behaviour of physical systems, it is plausible to expect that classical equations will be less singular than the original quantum equations.

In fact, the classical free one dimensional equation comes out of the equation with only two elementary regular singularities. We are considering two different variables, but it may be apparent that the singularities in space are somewhat reflected and washed out in the superposition procedure giving rise to singularities less fierce in time.

Let us continue with the analysis by increasing  $N$ . For  $N=5$ , the equations resulting from the confluences of singularities are

$$[0,0,1_3] : \frac{d^2 w(z)}{dz^2} + [k^2 - gz]w = 0$$

which is obtained by imposing

$$\lim_{z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_0}{z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4} = k^2, \quad \lim_{z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_1}{z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4} = -g$$

This is the Schrödinger equation for the linear poten-

tial whose solutions are Airy functions<sup>19</sup>.

$[0, 1, 1];$

By taking

$$\lim_{z_3 \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_2}{z_3 z_4} = B_0, \quad -\lim_{z_3 \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_1}{z_3 z_4} = B_1,$$

we have:

$$\frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \frac{1-2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{z} \frac{dw}{dz} + \left[ \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+\frac{1}{2})+\alpha_2(\alpha_2+\frac{1}{2})}{z^2} + \frac{B_0}{z^2} + \frac{B_1}{z} \right] w = 0$$

Now, putting:

$$w(z) = z^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1/2} f(z)$$

we get the normal form:

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + \left[ \frac{B_2}{z^2} + \frac{B_1}{z} \right] f = 0$$

with

$$B_2 = \alpha_1(\alpha_1+1/2)+\alpha_2(\alpha_2+1/2) + 1-2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) - \frac{1}{4}(1-2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))^2 + B_0$$

The invariant of this equation goes into the Schrödinger form by writing

$$z = \alpha r^2, \quad f = (2\alpha r)^{1/2} u(r)$$

and, finally

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr^2} + \left[ \frac{4B_2 - 3/4}{r^2} + 4\alpha B_1 \right] u = 0$$

which is the Schrödinger equation for three-dimensional free motion provided  $4B_2 - 3/4 = -\ell(\ell+1)$  and  $4\alpha B_1 = k^2$ . Other confluences can be found in the book by Ince<sup>2</sup>, but most of them do not produce equations of interest to us here.

We shall now consider the case of  $N=6$ . This is quite important both theoretically and practically. Starting from  $[6,0,0]$ , going to  $[0,3,0]$  one gets the hypergeometric equation, well studied during the last century, and which provided several useful sets of functions largely used in mathematical physics. We shall skip most of the intermediate steps, going straight to the hypergeometric equation. Letting  $z_5 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $z_1, z_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $z_3, z_4 \rightarrow a$

$$\lim_{z_5 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_0}{z_5} = -B_0 \quad ; \quad \lim_{z_5 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_1}{z_5} = -B_1 \quad ; \quad \lim_{z_5 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_2}{z_5} = -B_2$$

one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} z(z-a)^2 \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \{ [1-2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)]z(z-a)^2 + [1-2(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)]z^2(z-a) \} \frac{dw}{dz} \\ + \{ [\alpha_1(\alpha_1 + 1/2) + \alpha_2(\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2})]z(z-a)^2 + [\alpha_3(\alpha_3 + \frac{1}{2}) + \alpha_4(\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{2})]z^2 \\ + B_0 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 \} w = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Writing now the indicial equation for  $z=0$ ,  $a$  and  $\infty$ , calling the two indices at each point  $(\alpha, \alpha')$ ,  $(\beta, \beta')$  and  $(\gamma, \gamma')$ , respectively



one has the famous relation:

$$\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta' + \gamma + \gamma' = 1$$

and the differential equation will look now

$$z^2(z-a)^2 \frac{d^2w}{dz^2} + z(z-a) [(1-\alpha-\alpha')(z-a) + (1-\beta-\beta')z] \frac{dw}{dz} +$$

$$+ [\gamma\gamma'(z-a)z + a\beta\beta'z - a\alpha\alpha'(z-a)] w = 0$$

The solutions of this equation are denoted by the famous Riemann P symbol

$$P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & a & \infty \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha' & \beta' & \gamma' \end{array} ; z \right\}$$

which indicates an equation with three regular-singular points.

Setting  $\alpha'=\beta'=0$ ,  $a=1$ , one gets the usual form

$$(z-1)z \frac{d^2w}{dz^2} + [(2-\alpha-\beta)z - (1-\alpha)] \frac{dw}{dz} + \gamma\gamma'w = 0$$

The confluent form of this equation is obtained by letting

$$z_2 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad z_3 \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow \infty$$

Calling again  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  the indices at the origin:

$$\frac{d^2w}{dz^2} + \frac{1-\alpha-\alpha'}{z} \frac{dw}{dz} + \left[ \frac{\alpha\alpha'}{z^2} + \frac{B_1}{z} + B_2 \right] w = 0$$

or, writing

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + m \quad , \quad \alpha' = \frac{1}{2} - m$$

$$\frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \left[ \frac{1/4 - m^2}{z^2} + \frac{B_1}{z} + B_2 \right] w = 0$$

This is the equation  $[0, 1, 1_2]$ . We have already analyzed, following Bose<sup>6</sup>, some of the laws of force obtained from this equation (Eqs. (19) and (20)).

There is another possible confluence that can be made: this happens when both the origin and the infinity are made irregular singular points of the first kind by making three elementary singularities confluence at each of them. Defining

$$F = \frac{3}{2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \quad ; \quad G = \sum_{r=1}^3 \alpha_r (\alpha_r + 1/2)$$

$$\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_r}{z_4 z_3} = B_r \quad (r=0, 1, 2)$$

We get:

$$\frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \frac{F}{2} \frac{dw}{dz} + \left\{ \frac{B_0}{z^3} + \frac{G+B_1}{z^2} + \frac{B_2}{z} \right\} w = 0$$

With the transformation:

$$w = v(z) z^{-F/2}$$

we get

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dz^2} + \left[ \frac{B_0}{z^3} + \frac{B_1 + G + \frac{F}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} F\right)}{z^2} + \frac{B_2}{z} \right] v = 0 \quad (24)$$

which may be written as:

$$z^2 \frac{dv}{dz^2} + z \frac{dv}{dz} - \frac{1}{4} \left[ a + \frac{1}{2} k^2 + \frac{1}{4} k^2 \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right) \right] v = 0$$

and, under a transformation

$$z = e^{2ix}$$

becomes the Mathieu equation

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} + (a + k^2 \cos^2 x) v = 0$$

Notice that with the invariant of (24), putting

$$z = \alpha r^2$$

and transforming  $v$  correspondingly (Eq.(17b)) we obtain a Schrödinger invariant of the form

$$I^{(s)}(r) = \gamma_1 r^{-4} + \gamma_2 r^{-2} + k^2$$

which corresponds to a potential studied by Spector<sup>20</sup> (\*) for  $\gamma_1 < 0$ . For the validity of our procedures, however,  $\gamma_1 > 0$ . This lifts any

(\*)

In our previous letter<sup>16</sup> it could be interpreted that we were in same case as Spector.

restriction on the value of  $\gamma_2$ , which could be  $\gamma_2 < -1/4$ .

The main point here is that the classification made by Ince allows to handle appropriately the OLDESO's of several types.

From the point of view of Physics, it is clear that as the number of elementary regular singularities,  $N$ , increases, the same happens to the difficulty of some physical problem. Just we have seen

- N=2 ,      Classical free particle motion in one dimension.
- N=3 ,      Classical motion on a linear potential in one dimension.
- N=4 ,      Classical one dimensional linear oscillator; Schrödinger equation for free particle motion in one dimension.
- N=5 ,      Schrödinger equation for the linear potential in one dimension.  
Schrödinger equation for the three dimensional free-particle motion.
- N=6 ,      Schrödinger equation for three dimensional Coulomb potential and for the harmonic oscillator.  
Repulsive  $r^{-4}$  central potential.

Notice also that it is always commented in textbooks that the S-waves in two body central forces are easier to solve. It is attributed to the fact that the problem of S-waves has the structure of an one dimensional problem; this translates in Ince's classification by the elimination of the regular singularity at the origin, i.e. to the elimination of the contribution of two elementary regular singularities which were made to coincide.

## 3 POWER LAW CENTRAL POTENTIALS IN THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

3.1 Application of Ince's classification

This section is the crux of the article. We are interested in differential equations in normal form (remind (13)) with singularities at the origin and infinity. They will then be transformed through the procedures applied in section 2.2 into families of radial Schrödinger equations for power law potentials, i.e., with potentials of the form:

$$V(r) = \sum_{i=-L}^M \gamma_i r^{\alpha_i} \quad (25)$$

where the numbers  $\gamma_i$  are in principle arbitrary couplings and  $\alpha_i$  are members of a set of rational numbers specific to the transformations used.

Let us just look to what was done in the study of the descendants of the original N=6 elementary singularities. The possible confluences and invariants were:

a)  $r=0$  regular singularity,  $r=\infty$ , irregular singularity:  $[0,1,1_2]$ ;

$$I(z) = Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1} + C$$

b)  $r=0$  irregular singularity,  $r=\infty$  irregular singularity:  $[0,0,2_1]$ ;

$$I(z) = A'z^{-3} + B'z^{-2} + C'z^{-1}$$

These are the only possibilities; to have  $r=\infty$  as a regular singular

ity is just to exchange the origin and infinity in the first case.

Remark that the second case is invariant also as a result of the exchange of the origin and infinity. This will always happen for equations represented by the symbol  $[0, 0, 2, \frac{N}{2}-2]$ ,  $N=6, 8, 10, \dots$

Let us now consider the general case starting from Eqs. (21) and (22); make:

$$z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$z_3 \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_{N-1} \rightarrow \infty$$

We then have the case represented by  $[0, 1, 1, N-4]$  with one regular singular point at the origin and an irregular singularity of order  $N-4$  at infinity. The corresponding differential equation is:

$$\frac{d^2 w(z)}{dz^2} + \frac{1-2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{z} \frac{dw(z)}{dz} + \left[ \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+\frac{1}{2}) + \alpha_2(\alpha_2+\frac{1}{2}) + B_0}{z^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{N-4} B_r z^{r-2} \right] w(z) = 0$$

Here:

$$B_n = \lim_{z_3 \rightarrow z_4 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_{N-1} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n}{\prod_{r=3}^{N-1} (-z_r)}, \quad n=0, 1, \dots, N-4$$

Writing:

$$w(z) = z^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)-1/2} v(z)$$

the differential equation is, now in normal form:

$$\frac{d^2v}{dz^2} + \left[ \frac{B'_0}{z^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{N-4} B_r z^{r-2} \right] v(z) = 0 \quad (26)$$

Now only one constant changes:

$$B'_0 = B_0 + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \frac{1}{4} - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2$$

The invariant in Eq. (26) may be in the form of a Schrödinger equation provided  $B_2 \neq 0$ .

An analogous procedure for  $[N, 0, 0]$ , but making now  $z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow 0$  and  $z_4 \rightarrow z_5 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_{N-1} \rightarrow \infty$  results in

$$\frac{d^2v(z)}{dz^2} + \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{N-4} C_r z^{r-3} \right] v(z) = 0$$

The equation is of the type  $[0, 0, l_1, l_{N-5}]$ , and it is of the Schrödinger kind provided  $C_1$  and  $C_3$  are not zero.

We can continue this procedure to obtain all possible invariants with regular and irregular singularities at the origin and infinity. They are listed in Table I for  $5 \leq N \leq 10$ .

### 3.2 The construction of Schrödinger invariant

We shall extend the procedures applied before to show that a given invariant in an equation with a given kind of maximum irregular singularity can be related to invariants of the radial Schrödinger equation with two body central forces.

As we have seen, the invariants obtained from a given equation with  $N$  elementary regular singularities are in general of the form

$$I(z) = \sum_{\substack{k=-L \\ k \neq -2}}^M A_k z^k + A_{-2} z^{-2} \quad (27)$$

If the origin is a regular singularity,  $L=1$  and  $M=N-6$ . If it is not, it can only be an irregular singularity of order  $L-2$ , and then,  $M=N-L-4$ . The other point of interest is infinity; the singularity there is of order  $M+2$ . All interesting invariants for  $5 \leq N \leq 10$ , are found in Table I.

Let us now transform the variable:

$$z = a_j r_j^{\frac{2}{j+2}} \quad (28)$$

The index  $j$  must not be  $-2$  and may have any other value between  $-L$  and  $M$ . For the dependent variable, we have:

$$w(z(r_j)) = N_j r_j^{-\frac{j}{2(j+2)}} u_j(r_j) \quad (29)$$

and the corresponding Schrödinger invariant is:

$$I^{(s)}(r_j) = \frac{4}{(j+2)^2} a_j^2 r_j^{-\frac{2j}{j+2}} I(z(r_j)) + \frac{j(j+4)}{4(j+2)^2} r_j^{-2} \quad (30)$$

Substituting (27) in (30) it is rewritten as:

$$I^{(s)}(r_j) = \frac{4}{(j+2)^2} \left[ \sum_{\substack{k=-L \\ k \neq -2}}^M A_k a_j^{k+2} r_j^{\frac{2(k-j)}{j+2}} + \frac{A_{-2} + j(j+4)/16}{r_j^2} \right] \quad (31)$$



Obviously, the term in the sum with  $k=j$ ,  $A_k \neq 0$ , plays the rôle of the energy. We can also write:

$$I^{(s)}(r_j) = \sum_{\substack{k=-L \\ k \neq -2}}^M \gamma_{j,k} r_j^{\alpha_{j,k}} + \gamma_{j,-2} r^{-2}, \quad (32)$$

to use a more popular form, with

$$\gamma_{j,k} = \frac{4}{(j+2)^2} A_k a_j^{k+2}, \quad k \neq -2; \quad (33a)$$

$$\gamma_{j,-2} = \frac{4}{(j+2)^2} \left[ A_{-2} + \frac{j(j+4)}{16} \right], \quad (33b)$$

$$\alpha_{j,k} = \frac{2(k-j)}{j+2} \quad (33c)$$

In Table II we represent all the Schrödinger invariants obtained for each invariant of Table I, once we make a transformation. Other possibilities can not appear, except the trivial ones obtained by exchanging the singular points at the origin and infinity.

How many transformations are available from a given invariant in Table I to generate Schrödinger invariants? In principle, the index  $j$  in (32) can take  $N-4$  values. A glance at Table II shows that this is not always the case: when the two singularities are of the same kind, not all the transformations will be different, but only half of them (for  $N$ =even).

### 3.3 Transformations between Schrödinger invariants

As we studied the obtention of Schrödinger invariants,

we showed that we could get several of these out of the same invariant. Now let us take two transformations:

$$z = a_m r_m^{\frac{2}{m+2}} \quad (34a)$$

$$z = a_n r_n^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \quad (34b)$$

The indices  $\alpha_{j,k}$  in (33c) take the same values for  $j=m$  and for  $j=n$ , only they are related to different constants  $A_k$  in the original invariant. With this in mind, we find:

$$\alpha_{n,k} = \frac{m+2}{n+2} \alpha_{m,k} + \frac{2(m-n)}{n+2} \quad , \quad k \neq -2 \quad ; \quad (35a)$$

$$u_n(r_n) = N_{m,n} r_n^{\frac{m-n}{(m+2)(n+2)}} u_m(r_m) \quad , \quad (35b)$$

$$\gamma_{n,k} = \left( \frac{a_n}{a_m} \right)^{k+2} \left( \frac{m+2}{n+2} \right)^2 \gamma_{m,k} \quad , \quad k \neq -2 \quad ; \quad (35c)$$

$$\gamma_{n,-2} = \left( \frac{m+2}{n+2} \right)^2 \gamma_{m,-2} + \frac{n(n+4) - m(m+4)}{4(n+2)^2} \quad . \quad (35d)$$

It is easy to see that any "diagonal" term  $\gamma_{k,k}$  is just the energy of the relevant problem.

It is also easy to see that the term  $\gamma_{n,n}$  is related to the coupling  $\gamma_{m,n}$  for  $r_m$ .

Applications of these transformations have been made to the case  $N=6$  for the Coulomb and spherical oscillator problems. Since  $n=-1$  is the harmonic potential, calling

$$\gamma_{-1,-2} = -\ell_{-1}(\ell_{-1}+1) \quad , \quad \gamma_{-1,-1} = k_{-1}^2 \quad , \quad \gamma_{-1,0} = -\omega^2$$

the angular momentum, energy and force constant, the corresponding Schrödinger equation is

$$\frac{d^2 u_{-1}}{dr_{-1}^2} (r_{-1}) + [-\ell_{-1}(\ell_{-1}+1)r_{-1}^{-2} + k_{-1}^2 - \omega^2 r_{-1}^2] u_{-1}(r_{-1}) = 0 \quad (36)$$

For  $j=0$  we have the Coulomb potential, writing

$$\gamma_{0,-2} = -\ell_0(\ell_0+1) \quad , \quad \gamma_{0,-1} = -g_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{0,0} = k_0^2 \quad ;$$

the corresponding Schrödinger equation is

$$\frac{d^2 u_0(r_0)}{dr_0^2} + [-\ell_0(\ell_0+1)r_0^{-2} - g_1 r_0^{-1} + k_0^2] u_0(r_0) = 0 \quad . \quad (37)$$

We obtain the well known relations<sup>11, 16, 21</sup>

$$\ell_{-1} + \frac{1}{2} = 2(\ell_0 + \frac{1}{2}) \quad (38)$$

$$k_{-1}^2 = -4\left(\frac{a_{-1}}{a_0}\right) g_1 \quad (39)$$

Notice that the signs are such that for positive  $k_{-1}^2$  and  $\omega^2$  only negative  $k_0^2$  and positive  $g_1$  are related, showing that only bound states enter the game.

Bose<sup>6</sup> and Gazeau<sup>21</sup> studied these transformations for the rather special case of potentials with a single term (apart from the energy and centrifugal terms). Johnson<sup>11</sup> has developed this work to cover multiterm potentials. All this work represents a set of special cases of the transformations we present here. In our case we profit from the knowledge of a common foundation for

all cases to understand better their properties; in other terms, we take advantage of the fact that all potentials in a family are obtained out of the same invariant.

A remark fits here: since we intend to discuss normal solutions (Eq. (10)), which decrease strongly at infinity the signs of the several couplings are important. If the origin is a regular singularity for the corresponding equation, for potentials with positive maximum power the corresponding coefficient must be positive, as well as the energy term. If, however, the highest power is the energy term, it has to have a negative coefficient, as well as the highest negative power. If the singularity at the origin is not regular, the smallest (more negative) power must have a positive coefficient to avoid the "fall into the center" catastrophe<sup>22</sup>.

We shall now concentrate on the particular case of potentials with a single term. For these, we have

$$\gamma_{j,-2} = -l_j(l_j+1) \quad , \quad \gamma_{j,j} = k_j^2$$

and the only term in the potential we choose to be  $\gamma_{j,n}$  ( $n \neq j, n \neq -2$ ). There are three cases possible, corresponding to the range of values of  $\alpha_{jn}$ . They are

- 1)  $-\infty < \alpha_{jn} < -2$
- 2)  $-2 < \alpha_{jn} < 0$
- 3)  $0 < \alpha_{jn} < \infty$

Let us consider the second case. Then, as the centrifugal term controls the behaviour at the origin and the energy term that at infinity, we have the following conditions:

- a) The lowest power,  $r_j^{-2}$ , imposes  $L=1$ .  
 b) The highest power being  $r_j^0$  we must have

$$j = M$$

So

$$I^{(s)}(r_M) = \gamma_{M,-2} r_M^{-2} + \gamma_{M,n} r_M^{\alpha_{M,n}} + \gamma_{M,M}$$

To allow  $\alpha_{M,n}$  to take values in the interval  $(-2,0)$ , we must have

$$-1 \leq n \leq M-1.$$

Choosing one particular value for  $n$ , say  $m$ , using the transformations (35):

$$\gamma_{M,M} = \left(\frac{a_M}{a_m}\right)^{M+2} \left(\frac{m+2}{M+2}\right)^2 \gamma_{m,M}$$

$$\gamma_{M,m} = \left(\frac{a_M}{a_m}\right)^{m+2} \left(\frac{m+2}{M+2}\right)^2 \gamma_{m,m}$$

The invariants will be:

$$I^{(s)}(r_M) = \gamma_{M,-2} r_M^{-2} + \gamma_{M,m} r_M^{\alpha_{M,m}} + \gamma_{M,M}$$

$$I^{(s)}(r_m) = \gamma_{m,-2} r_m^{-2} + \gamma_{m,m} + \gamma_{m,M} r_m^{\alpha_{m,M}}$$

We see that the potential coupling  $\gamma_{M,m}$  becomes the energy of the new invariant, and the respective energy now becomes the highest potential term whose exponent is, now:

$$\alpha_{m,M} = \frac{2(M-m)}{m+2}$$

which is positive, since  $m \leq M-1$ . That is

$$\frac{2}{(M+1)} \leq \alpha_{m,M} \leq 2(M+1)$$

A study of Table II shows several examples, among them the relation -1 + 2, Coulomb to oscillator, already considered (Eqs. (36) to (39)).

The relation between both exponents is

$$\alpha_{n,m} = - \frac{2\alpha_{m,M}}{\alpha_{m,M}+2}$$

Considering potentials in the first range of values of the exponent, they transform into potentials in the same interval. Since the energy term and the singular term at the origin must be present, the starting invariant is:

$$I^{(s)}(r_j) = \gamma_{j,k} r_j^{\alpha_{j,k}} + \gamma_{j,-2} r_j^{-2} + \gamma_{jj}$$

An analysis analogous to the one performed before shows that again the energy term in one invariant becomes the potential term in the other.

For potentials with several terms, or multiterm potentials, we can use again the transformation from a given invariant via the change of variable (34).

Looking at eqs. (35) we see that the energy term  $\gamma_{k,k}$  in  $I^{(s)}(r_k)$  is related to the coupling constant  $\gamma_{jk}$  for the potential

in  $I^{(s)}(r_j)$ , whose exponent  $\alpha_{j,k}$  is:

$$\alpha_{j,k} = \frac{2(k-j)}{j+2}$$

Conversely, the energy term  $\gamma_{j,j}$  in  $I^{(s)}(r_j)$  is related to the term with coupling  $\gamma_{k,j}$  in  $I^{(s)}(r_k)$  with exponent  $\alpha_{k,j}$  such that

$$\alpha_{k,j} = \frac{2(j-k)}{k+2}$$

or, again:

$$\alpha_{k,j} = -\frac{2\alpha_{j,k}}{\alpha_{j,k}+2}$$

The remaining potential terms are of the form:

$$\alpha_{k,m} = \frac{2(\alpha_{j,m} - \alpha_{j,k})}{\alpha_{j,k} + 2}$$

and these relations are extensions of the ones obtained by Johnson<sup>11</sup>

The fact that the coupling constants and exponents transform with the change of variables that carries from one member of a family of potentials to another member of the same family might create the illusion that some sort of transformation may bring for a given  $N$  to a simpler case, such as  $N=6$ . An examination of the relations involved (eqs. (28)-(30)) shows this to be impossible. The number  $N$  genuinely represents a set of functions which are different from the ones that resulted for  $N=6$ . In other terms, unless one makes to vanish the coefficients in the original invari

ant different from  $A_{-2}$ ,  $A_{-1}$  and  $A_0$ , in no way it is possible to relate any solution for a given  $N(\neq 6)$  to the oscillator or Coulomb solutions.

#### 4 ON THE SOLUTIONS FOR POWER LAW POTENTIALS

The problem of solving the radial Schrödinger equation can be faced by first looking at the solutions of

$$\frac{d^2w(z)}{dz^2} + I(z)w(z) = 0$$

where  $I(z)$  is an invariant such that with appropriate changes of variable several Schrödinger invariants follow. The changes of variables and the corresponding changes in the dependent variable are Eqs. (28) and (29), respectively.

The Schrödinger equations so obtained are of different nature according to whether the origin is a regular or irregular singular point. In the first case, we must have:

$$I(z) = \sum_{i=-2}^M A_i z^i$$

We exchange the points at the origin and infinity, as we did before, by the transformation  $z = \rho^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{d^2w(\rho)}{d\rho^2} + \frac{2}{\rho} \frac{dw(\rho^{-1})}{d\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^4} I(z(\rho))w(\rho) = 0 \quad (40)$$

In the notation of Eq. (8):



$$p(\rho) = \frac{2}{\rho}$$

$$q(\rho) = \sum_{i=-2}^M A_i \rho^{-(i+4)}$$

There is no regular solution at infinity, since the smallest value for M is -1. If we now propose a normal solution:

$$w(\rho) = \exp[-f(\rho)]v(\rho)$$

with  $f(\rho)$  a polynomial in  $1/\rho$ :

$$f(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{a_j}{j} \rho^{-j},$$

substituting in (40) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 v}{d\rho^2} + \left[ \frac{2}{\rho} + \sum_{j=1}^J a_j \rho^{-(j+1)} \right] \frac{dv}{d\rho} + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^J a_j a_k \rho^{-(j+k+2)} - \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{j=2}^J (j-1) a_j \rho^{-(j+2)} + \sum_{i=-2}^M A_i \rho^{-(i+4)} \right] v = 0 \end{aligned}$$

To obtain a possibly regular solution for  $v$  at infinity, since the strongest pole in the second bracket is of order  $-J-1$ , we must ask that the third has no term with a pole of higher order than  $-2J-2$ . The only possible relation involving the original invariant is:

$$-(2J+2) = -(M+4)$$

$$a_J^2 = A_M$$

or

$$J = \frac{M}{2} + 1$$

The remaining coefficients result from (40) by asking to cancel the following unwanted singularities in the coefficients.

It is, however, an easy and interesting exercise to calculate these terms in the exponential by another, physically more appealing way. Let's look at the action-like integral

$$\int p_r dr = \int [2mE - \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} - V(r)]^{1/2} dr$$

If the potential is divergent at infinity, let's extract the most divergent term. Then we expand the square root, and keep all the terms up to the convergent ones at infinity. This determines uniquely the coefficients of the exponential factor in the normal solution. For instance, be

$$\begin{aligned} V(r) &= V_1 r^2 + V_2 r^4 + V_3 r^6 \\ &= V_3 r^6 \left( 1 + \frac{V_2}{V_3} r^{-2} + \frac{V_1}{V_3} r^{-4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The square root in the above integral becomes

$$V_3^{1/2} r^3 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_2}{V_3} r^{-2} \right) + O(r^{-2})$$

which upon integration results in the same coefficients for the exponential as the substitution in (40).

It is the same for the origin. Exponentiating the result, renders the  $r^\ell$  term for the radial Schrödinger wave functions.

The amazing thing is perhaps related to the WKB approximation for the potential.

The only possible normal solution of Eq. (40) is for even values of  $M$  (and of  $N$ , consequently). To obtain a solution for odd  $M$  one needs a subnormal<sup>2</sup> one.

We pass now to the Schrödinger equation, through (28) and (29), one having  $I^{(s)}(r_{-1})$  as invariant:

$$I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \sum_{k=-2}^M \gamma_{-1,k} r_{-1}^{\alpha_{-1,k}}$$

These cases (see Table II) correspond to potentials of the confining type with maximum power

$$\alpha_{-1,M} = 2, 6, 10, 14, \dots$$

for  $N=6, 8, 10, 12, \dots$ , respectively and

$$\alpha_{-1,M} = 4, 8, 12, 16, \dots$$

for  $N=7, 9, 11, 13, \dots$  respectively.

The cases for  $N$  even are the ones related to normal solutions of (40); for odd  $N$ , the solutions of the Schrödinger equation are normal but for (40) are subnormal.

This result for odd  $M$  (and  $N$ ) gives support to the choice made by Znojil in his original work; for the Schrödinger equation, the potentials with even positive power admits always a normal solution.

The other potentials in a given family for any  $N$  admit at most subnormal solutions. For  $N$  even, two kinds of potential admit a normal solution: the one obtained directly from the invariant  $I(z)$  and the one with  $\alpha_{-1,M} = 2, 6, 10, 14, \dots$ . For  $N$  odd, just the potentials with  $\alpha_{-1,M} = 4, 8, 12, \dots$  admit a normal solution.

The normal or subnormal solutions may be expanded in power series. The relevant expressions may be found in the articles by Znojil<sup>10</sup> and Rampal and Datta<sup>15</sup>.

It has been proved<sup>1, 2</sup> that if the series in a normal or subnormal solution doesn't end, it must be divergent. That's why solutions are written<sup>9, 10</sup> in terms of continued fractions. Znojil<sup>10</sup> has proved the convergence for the Green function of the extended continued fraction, and showed numerical examples of good convergence for the solutions.

When the origin is not a regular singular point, and the infinity either, the procedure just applied is extended to study when normal solutions work for the origin.

## 5 CONCLUSION

We have presented what we believe to be the most systematic mathematical treatment for the two body Schrödinger equation with power law potentials. It is probably the most general admissible in terms of the theory of analytic functions.

The method developed by Ince allowed us to establish relationships between families of potentials, as exemplified in Table II. This may be quite useful when trying to devise a solution

for a given problem if the solution for another member of the family is known.

The potentials displayed in Table II are all at rational exponent, and cover most of the examples found in the Physics literature. It is curious, at least, that a potential which was proposed almost entirely from heuristic arguments, the famous 6-12 of Lennard and Jones, is a member of one of these families. It is possible that other combinations useful in other domains of physics appear in the list.

We have discussed shortly the solutions for members of these families of potentials. Normal solutions should exist for several, and presumably, the relations among the members of a family help in finding new solutions. An important question is: are these the *only* possible solutions? A potential such as  $r^\pi$  doesn't fit in the scheme; does this mean it doesn't have a normal or subnormal solution? The question surely admits several roads to its answer.

The understanding of the properties of the possible solutions remains also far from complete. The case  $N=6$  has been widely studied in the past and its applications continue. However, for  $N \geq 7$ , the possible solutions constitute in general genuinely new classes of functions, with the exception of the generalizations of the hypergeometric case ( $N$  even, the origin as a regular singularity). It would be quite interesting to advance in the sense of allowing substantially a classification of solutions and their properties in terms of the singularities at the origin and infinity.

Another interesting question is the apparent one to one relationship between the spectra of energy values of several po-

tentials in a given family as was originally remarked by Johnson<sup>11</sup>. We conjecture that discrete spectra map into discrete spectra, and continuum into continuum. This can be seen in the oscillator-Coulomb relationship<sup>23</sup> and deserves further exploration.

Whereas we have made an analysis in Section 4 of the existence of normal or subnormal solutions, it restricts obviously to the discrete spectrum. Regarding scattering (or unbounded) solutions, almost nothing is known, and the present treatment might be of relevance to them. This is of special interest for people working in atomic collision theory.

Another point to be considered is how could we generate applying similar reasoning solutions for non central potentials, such as multipole interactions for two body problems.

We hope to have shown that a promising field of future research is open, once we have made evident a powerful analytic method to group problems in non relativistic quantum potential theory.

TABLE I

Invariants obtained from the ordinary linear differential equation of the second order with  $n$  elementary singularities through confluence to the origin and infinity.

N	I(z)	Classification
5	$Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1}$	$[0,1,1_1]$
6	$Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1} + C$ $Az^{-3} + Bz^{-2} + Cz^{-1}$	$[0,1,1_2]$ $[0,0,2_1]$
7	$Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1} + C + Dz$ $Az^{-3} + Bz^{-2} + Cz^{-1} + D$	$[0,1,1_3]$ $[0,0,1,1_2]$
8	$Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1} + C + Dz + Ez^2$ $Az^{-3} + Bz^{-2} + Cz^{-1} + D + Ez$ $Az^{-4} + Bz^{-3} + Cz^{-2} + Dz^{-1} + E$	$[0,1,1_4]$ $[0,0,1_1,1_3]$ $[0,0,2_2]$
9	$Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1} + C + Dz + Ez^2 + Fz^3$ $Az^{-3} + Bz^{-2} + Cz^{-1} + D + Ez + Fz^2$ $Az^{-4} + Bz^{-3} + Cz^{-2} + Dz^{-1} + E + Fz$	$[0,1,1_5]$ $[0,0,1_1,1_4]$ $[0,0,1_2,1_3]$
10	$Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1} + C + Dz + Ez^2 + Fz^3 + Gz^4$ $Az^{-3} + Bz^{-2} + Cz^{-1} + D + Ez + Fz^2 + Gz^3$ $Az^{-4} + Bz^{-3} + Cz^{-2} + Dz^{-1} + E + Fz + Gz^2$ $Az^{-5} + Bz^{-4} + Cz^{-3} + Dz^{-2} + Ez^{-1} + F + Gz$	$[0,1,1_6]$ $[0,0,1_1,1_5]$ $[0,0,1_2,1_4]$ $[0,0,2_3]$
11	$Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1} + C + Dz + Ez^2 + Fz^3 + Gz^4 + Hz^5$ $Az^{-3} + Bz^{-2} + Cz^{-1} + D + Ez + Fz^2 + Gz^3 + Hz^4$ $Az^{-4} + Bz^{-3} + Cz^{-2} + Dz^{-1} + E + Fz + Gz^2 + Hz^3$ $Az^{-5} + Bz^{-4} + Cz^{-3} + Dz^{-2} + Ez^{-1} + F + Gz + Hz^2$	$[0,1,1_7]$ $[0,0,1_1,1_6]$ $[0,0,1_2,1_5]$ $[0,0,1_3,1_4]$
12	$Az^{-2} + Bz^{-1} + C + Dz + Ez^2 + Fz^3 + Gz^4 + Hz^5 + Iz^6$ $Az^{-3} + Bz^{-2} + Cz^{-1} + D + Ez + Fz^2 + Gz^3 + Hz^4 + Iz^5$ $Az^{-4} + Bz^{-3} + Cz^{-2} + Dz^{-1} + E + Fz + Gz^2 + Hz^3 + Iz^4$ $Az^{-5} + Bz^{-4} + Cz^{-3} + Dz^{-2} + Ez^{-1} + F + Gz + Hz^2 + Iz^3$ $Az^{-6} + Bz^{-5} + Cz^{-4} + Dz^{-3} + Ez^{-2} + Fz^{-1} + G + Hz + Iz^2$	$[0,1,1_8]$ $[0,0,1_1,1_7]$ $[0,0,1_2,1_6]$ $[0,0,1_3,1_5]$ $[0,0,2_4]$

TABLE II

Schrödinger invariants coming from Table I by power transformation of the independent variable.

<u>N=5</u> ; [0,1,1] ; L=1, M=-1	
j=1, z=a <sub>-1</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>-1</sub> ) = γ <sub>-1,-2</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>-1,-1</sub>
<u>N=6</u> ; [0,1,1 <sub>2</sub> ] ; L=1, M=0	
j=-1, z=a <sub>-1</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>-1</sub> ) = γ <sub>-1,-2</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>-1,-1</sub> + γ <sub>-1,0</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup>
= 0, z=a <sub>0</sub> r <sub>0</sub>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>0</sub> ) = γ <sub>0,-2</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>0,-1</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-1</sup> + γ <sub>0,0</sub>
[0,0,2 <sub>1</sub> ] ; L=3, M=-1	
j=-1, z=a <sub>-1</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>-1</sub> ) = γ <sub>-1,-3</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>-4</sup> + γ <sub>-1,-2</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>-1,-1</sub>
<u>N=7</u> ; [0,1,1 <sub>3</sub> ] ; L=1, M=1	
j=-1, z=a <sub>-1</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>-1</sub> ) = γ <sub>-1,-2</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>-1,-1</sub> + γ <sub>-1,0</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup> + γ <sub>-1,1</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>4</sup>
= 0, z=a <sub>0</sub> r <sub>0</sub>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>0</sub> ) = γ <sub>0,-2</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>0,-1</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-1</sup> + γ <sub>0,0</sub> + γ <sub>0,1</sub> r <sub>0</sub>
= 1, z=a <sub>1</sub> r <sub>1</sub>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>1</sub> ) = γ <sub>1,-2</sub> r <sub>1</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>1,-1</sub> r <sub>1</sub> <sup>-4/3</sup> + γ <sub>1,0</sub> r <sub>1</sub> <sup>2/3</sup> + γ <sub>1,1</sub>
[0,0,1 <sub>1</sub> ,1 <sub>2</sub> ] ; L=3, M=0	
j=-3, z=a <sub>-3</sub> r <sub>-3</sub> <sup>-2</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>-3</sub> ) = γ <sub>-3,-3</sub> + γ <sub>-3,-2</sub> r <sub>-3</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>-3,-1</sub> r <sub>-3</sub> <sup>-4</sup> + γ <sub>-3,0</sub> r <sub>-3</sub> <sup>-6</sup>
= -1, z=a <sub>-1</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>-1</sub> ) = γ <sub>-1,-3</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>-4</sup> + γ <sub>-1,-2</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>-1,-1</sub> + γ <sub>-1,0</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup>
= 0, z=a <sub>0</sub> r <sub>0</sub>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>0</sub> ) = γ <sub>0,-3</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-3</sup> + γ <sub>0,-2</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>0,-1</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-1</sup> + γ <sub>0,0</sub>
<u>N=8</u> ; [0,1,1 <sub>4</sub> ] ; L=1, M=2	
j=-1, z=a <sub>-1</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>-1</sub> ) = γ <sub>-1,-2</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>-1,-1</sub> + γ <sub>-1,0</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>2</sup> + γ <sub>-1,1</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>4</sup> + γ <sub>-1,2</sub> r <sub>-1</sub> <sup>6</sup>
= 0, z=a <sub>0</sub> r <sub>0</sub>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>0</sub> ) = γ <sub>0,-2</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>0,-1</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>-1</sup> + γ <sub>0,0</sub> + γ <sub>0,1</sub> r <sub>0</sub> + γ <sub>0,2</sub> r <sub>0</sub> <sup>2</sup>
= 1, z=a <sub>1</sub> r <sub>1</sub> <sup>2/3</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>1</sub> ) = γ <sub>1,-2</sub> r <sub>1</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>1,-1</sub> r <sub>1</sub> <sup>-4/3</sup> + γ <sub>1,0</sub> r <sub>1</sub> <sup>2/3</sup> + γ <sub>1,1</sub> + γ <sub>1,2</sub> r <sub>1</sub> <sup>2/3</sup>
= 2, z=a <sub>2</sub> r <sub>2</sub> <sup>1/2</sup>	; I <sup>(s)</sup> (r <sub>2</sub> ) = γ <sub>2,-2</sub> r <sub>2</sub> <sup>-2</sup> + γ <sub>2,-1</sub> r <sub>2</sub> <sup>-3/2</sup> + γ <sub>2,0</sub> r <sub>2</sub> <sup>-1</sup> + γ <sub>2,1</sub> r <sub>2</sub> <sup>-1/2</sup> + γ <sub>2,2</sub>



$[0,0,1_2,1_3]$  ; L=3, M=1

$$\begin{aligned} j=-3, z=a_{-3}r_{-3}^{-2} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-3}) = \gamma_{-3,-3} + \gamma_{-3,-2}r_{-3}^{-2} + \gamma_{-3,-1}r_{-3}^{-4} + \gamma_{-3,0}r_{-3}^{-6} + \gamma_{-3,1}r_{-3}^{-8} \\ = -1, z=a_{-1}r_{-1}^{-2} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \gamma_{-1,-3}r_{-1}^{-4} + \gamma_{-1,-2}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,-1} + \gamma_{-1,0}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,1}r_{-1}^{-4} \\ = 0, z=a_0r_0 &; I^{(s)}(r_0) = \gamma_{0,-3}r_0^{-3} + \gamma_{0,-2}r_0^{-2} + \gamma_{0,-1}r_0^{-1} + \gamma_{0,0} + \gamma_{0,1}r_0 \\ = 1, z=a_1r_1^{2/3} &; I^{(s)}(r_1) = \gamma_{1,-3}r_1^{-6/3} + \gamma_{1,-2}r_1^{-2} + \gamma_{1,-1}r_1^{-4/3} + \gamma_{1,0}r_1^{-2/3} + \gamma_{1,1} \end{aligned}$$

$[0,0,2_2]$  ; L=4, M=0

$$\begin{aligned} j=-1, z=a_{-1}r_{-1}^{-2} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \gamma_{-1,-4}r_{-1}^{-6} + \gamma_{-1,-3}r_{-1}^{-4} + \gamma_{-1,-2}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,-1} + \gamma_{-1,0}r_{-1}^{-2} \\ = 0, z=a_0r_0 &; I^{(s)}(r_0) = \gamma_{0,-4}r_0^{-4} + \gamma_{0,-3}r_0^{-3} + \gamma_{0,-2}r_0^{-2} + \gamma_{0,-1}r_0^{-1} + \gamma_{0,0} \end{aligned}$$

$N=9$  ;  $[0,1,1_5]$  ; L=1, M=3

$$\begin{aligned} j=-1, z=a_{-1}r_{-1}^{-2} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \gamma_{-1,-2}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,-1} + \gamma_{-1,0}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,1}r_{-1}^{-4} + \gamma_{-1,2}r_{-1}^{-6} + \gamma_{-1,3}r_{-1}^{-8} \\ = 0, z=a_0r_0 &; I^{(s)}(r_0) = \gamma_{0,-2}r_0^{-2} + \gamma_{0,-1}r_0^{-1} + \gamma_{0,0} + \gamma_{0,1}r_0 + \gamma_{0,2}r_0^2 + \gamma_{0,3}r_0^3 \\ = 1, z=a_1r_1^{2/3} &; I^{(s)}(r_1) = \gamma_{1,-2}r_1^{-2} + \gamma_{1,-1}r_1^{-4/3} + \gamma_{1,0}r_1^{-2/3} + \gamma_{1,1} + \gamma_{1,2}r_1^{2/3} + \gamma_{1,3}r_1^{4/3} \\ = 2, z=a_2r_2^{1/2} &; I^{(s)}(r_2) = \gamma_{2,-2}r_2^{-2} + \gamma_{2,-1}r_2^{-3/2} + \gamma_{2,0}r_2^{-1} + \gamma_{2,1}r_2^{-1/2} + \gamma_{2,2} + \gamma_{2,3}r_2^{1/2} \\ = 3, z=a_3r_3^{4/5} &; I^{(s)}(r_3) = \gamma_{3,-2}r_3^{-2} + \gamma_{3,-1}r_3^{-8/5} + \gamma_{3,0}r_3^{-6/5} + \gamma_{3,1}r_3^{-4/5} + \gamma_{3,2}r_3^{-2/5} + \gamma_{3,3} \end{aligned}$$

$[0,0,1_1,1_4]$  ; L=3, M=2

$$\begin{aligned} j=-3, z=a_{-3}r_{-3}^{-2} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-3}) = \gamma_{-3,-3} + \gamma_{-3,-2}r_{-3}^{-2} + \gamma_{-3,-1}r_{-3}^{-4} + \gamma_{-3,0}r_{-3}^{-6} + \gamma_{-3,1}r_{-3}^{-8} + \gamma_{-3,2}r_{-3}^{-10} \\ = -1, z=a_{-1}r_{-1}^{-2} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \gamma_{-1,-3}r_{-1}^{-4} + \gamma_{-1,-2}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,-1} + \gamma_{-1,0}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,1}r_{-1}^{-4} + \gamma_{-1,2}r_{-1}^{-6} \\ = 0, z=a_0r_0 &; I^{(s)}(r_0) = \gamma_{0,-3}r_0^{-3} + \gamma_{0,-2}r_0^{-2} + \gamma_{0,-1}r_0^{-1} + \gamma_{0,0} + \gamma_{0,1}r_0 + \gamma_{0,2}r_0^2 \\ = 1, z=a_1r_1^{2/3} &; I^{(s)}(r_1) = \gamma_{1,-3}r_1^{-8/3} + \gamma_{1,-2}r_1^{-2} + \gamma_{1,-1}r_1^{-4/3} + \gamma_{1,0}r_1^{-2/3} + \gamma_{1,1} + \gamma_{1,2}r_1^{2/3} \\ = 2, z=a_2r_2^{1/2} &; I^{(s)}(r_2) = \gamma_{2,-3}r_2^{-3/2} + \gamma_{2,-2}r_2^{-2} + \gamma_{2,-1}r_2^{-3/2} + \gamma_{2,0}r_2^{-1} + \gamma_{2,1}r_2^{-1/2} + \gamma_{2,2} \end{aligned}$$

$[0,0,1_2,1_3]$  ; L=4, M=1

$$\begin{aligned} j=-4, z=a_{-4}r_{-4}^{-1} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-4}) = \gamma_{-4,-3} + \gamma_{-4,-2}r_{-4}^{-1} + \gamma_{-4,-1}r_{-4}^{-2} + \gamma_{-4,0}r_{-4}^{-3} + \gamma_{-4,1}r_{-4}^{-4} + \gamma_{-4,2}r_{-4}^{-5} \\ = -3, z=a_{-3}r_{-3}^{-2} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-3}) = \gamma_{-3,-4}r_{-3}^{-2} + \gamma_{-3,-3} + \gamma_{-3,-2}r_{-3}^{-2} + \gamma_{-3,-1}r_{-3}^{-4} + \gamma_{-3,0}r_{-3}^{-6} + \gamma_{-3,1}r_{-3}^{-8} \\ = -1, z=a_{-1}r_{-1}^{-2} &; I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \gamma_{-1,-4}r_{-1}^{-6} + \gamma_{-1,-3}r_{-1}^{-4} + \gamma_{-1,-2}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,-1} + \gamma_{-1,0}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,1}r_{-1}^{-4} \\ = 0, z=a_0r_0 &; I^{(s)}(r_0) = \gamma_{0,-4}r_0^{-4} + \gamma_{0,-3}r_0^{-3} + \gamma_{0,-2}r_0^{-2} + \gamma_{0,-1}r_0^{-1} + \gamma_{0,0} + \gamma_{0,1}r_0 \\ = 1, z=a_1r_1^{2/3} &; I^{(s)}(r_1) = \gamma_{1,-4}r_1^{-10/3} + \gamma_{1,-3}r_1^{-8/3} + \gamma_{1,-2}r_1^{-2} + \gamma_{1,-1}r_1^{-4/3} + \gamma_{1,0}r_1^{-2/3} + \gamma_{1,1} \end{aligned}$$

$N=10$  ;  $[0,1,1_6]$        $L=1, M=4$

$$\begin{aligned}
 j=-1, z=a_{-1}r_{-1}^2 & ; I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \gamma_{-1,-2}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,-1}r_{-1}^{-1} + \gamma_{-1,0}r_{-1}^0 + \gamma_{-1,1}r_{-1}^1 + \gamma_{-1,2}r_{-1}^2 + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{-1,3}r_{-1}^3 + \gamma_{-1,4}r_{-1}^4 \\
 j=0, z=a_0r_0 & ; I^{(s)}(r_0) = \gamma_{0,-2}r_0^{-2} + \gamma_{0,-1}r_0^{-1} + \gamma_{0,0} + \gamma_{0,1}r_0 + \gamma_{0,2}r_0^2 + \gamma_{0,3}r_0^3 + \gamma_{0,4}r_0^4 \\
 =1, z=a_1r_1^{2/3} & ; I^{(s)}(r_1) = \gamma_{1,-2}r_1^{-2} + \gamma_{1,-1}r_1^{-4/3} + \gamma_{1,0}r_1^{-2/3} + \gamma_{1,1} + \gamma_{1,2}r_1^{2/3} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{1,3}r_1^{4/3} + \gamma_{1,4}r_1^2 \\
 =2, z=a_2r_2^{1/2} & ; I^{(s)}(r_2) = \gamma_{2,-2}r_2^{-2} + \gamma_{2,-1}r_2^{-3/2} + \gamma_{2,0}r_2^{-1} + \gamma_{2,1}r_2^{-1/2} + \gamma_{2,2} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{2,3}r_2^{1/2} + \gamma_{2,4}r_2 \\
 =3, z=a_3r_3^{2/5} & ; I^{(s)}(r_3) = \gamma_{3,-2}r_3^{-2} + \gamma_{3,-1}r_3^{-6/5} + \gamma_{3,0}r_3^{-4/5} + \gamma_{3,1}r_3^{-2/5} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{3,2}r_3^{2/5} + \gamma_{3,3} + \gamma_{3,4}r_3^{2/5} \\
 =4, z=a_4r_4^{1/3} & ; I^{(s)}(r_4) = \gamma_{4,-2}r_4^{-2} + \gamma_{4,-1}r_4^{-5/3} + \gamma_{4,0}r_4^{-4/3} + \gamma_{4,1}r_4^{-1} + \gamma_{4,2}r_4^{-2/3} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{4,3}r_4^{-1/3} + \gamma_{4,4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$[0,0,1_1,1_8]$  ;  $L=3, M=3$

$$\begin{aligned}
 j=-3, z=a_{-3}r_{-3}^{-2} & ; I^{(s)}(r_{-3}) = \gamma_{-3,-3} + \gamma_{-3,-2}r_{-3}^{-2} + \gamma_{-3,-1}r_{-3}^{-4} + \gamma_{-3,0}r_{-3}^{-6} + \gamma_{-3,1}r_{-3}^{-8} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{-3,2}r_{-3}^{-10} + \gamma_{-3,3}r_{-3}^{-12} \\
 =-1, z=a_{-1}r_{-1}^2 & ; I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \gamma_{-1,-3}r_{-1}^{-4} + \gamma_{-1,-2}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,-1} + \gamma_{-1,0}r_{-1}^2 + \gamma_{-1,1}r_{-1}^4 + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{-1,2}r_{-1}^6 + \gamma_{-1,3}r_{-1}^8 \\
 =0, z=a_0r_0 & ; I^{(s)}(r_0) = \gamma_{0,-3}r_0^{-3} + \gamma_{0,-2}r_0^{-2} + \gamma_{0,-1}r_0^{-1} + \gamma_{0,0} + \gamma_{0,1}r_0 + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{0,2}r_0^2 + \gamma_{0,3}r_0^3 \\
 =1, z=a_1r_1^{2/3} & ; I^{(s)}(r_1) = \gamma_{1,-3}r_1^{-8/3} + \gamma_{1,-2}r_1^{-2} + \gamma_{1,-1}r_1^{-4/3} + \gamma_{1,0}r_1^{-2/3} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{1,1} + \gamma_{1,2}r_1^{2/3} + \gamma_{1,3}r_1^{4/3} \\
 =2, z=a_2r_2^{1/2} & ; I^{(s)}(r_2) = \gamma_{2,-3}r_2^{-5/2} + \gamma_{2,-2}r_2^{-2} + \gamma_{2,-1}r_2^{-3/2} + \gamma_{2,0}r_2^{-1} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{2,1}r_2^{-1/2} + \gamma_{2,2} + \gamma_{2,3}r_2^{1/2} \\
 =3, z=a_3r_3^{2/5} & ; I^{(s)}(r_3) = \gamma_{3,-3}r_3^{-12/5} + \gamma_{3,-2}r_3^{-2} + \gamma_{3,-1}r_3^{-8/5} + \gamma_{3,0}r_3^{-6/5} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{3,1}r_3^{-4/5} + \gamma_{3,2}r_3^{-2/5} + \gamma_{3,3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$[0,0,1_2,1_4]$  ;  $L=4, M=2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 j=-4, z=a_{-4}r_{-4}^{-1} & ; I^{(s)}(r_{-4}) = \gamma_{-4,-4} + \gamma_{-4,-3}r_{-4}^{-1} + \gamma_{-4,-2}r_{-4}^{-2} + \gamma_{-4,-1}r_{-4}^{-3} + \gamma_{-4,0}r_{-4}^{-4} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{-4,1}r_{-4}^{-5} + \gamma_{-4,2}r_{-4}^{-6} \\
 =-3, z=a_{-3}r_{-3}^{-2} & ; I^{(s)}(r_{-3}) = \gamma_{-3,-4}r_{-3}^{-2} + \gamma_{-3,-3} + \gamma_{-3,-2}r_{-3}^{-2} + \gamma_{-3,-1}r_{-3}^{-4} + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{-3,0}r_{-3}^{-6} + \gamma_{-3,1}r_{-3}^{-8} + \gamma_{-3,2}r_{-3}^{-10} \\
 =-1, z=a_{-1}r_{-1}^2 & ; I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = \gamma_{-1,-4}r_{-1}^{-6} + \gamma_{-1,-3}r_{-1}^{-4} + \gamma_{-1,-2}r_{-1}^{-2} + \gamma_{-1,-1} + \gamma_{-1,0}r_{-1}^2 + \\
 & \quad + \gamma_{-1,1}r_{-1}^4 + \gamma_{-1,2}r_{-1}^6
 \end{aligned}$$

$$j=0, z=a_0 r_0 \quad ; \quad I^{(s)}(r_0) = Y_{0,-4} r_0^{-4} + Y_{0,-3} r_0^{-3} + Y_{0,-2} r_0^{-2} + Y_{0,-1} r_0^{-1} + Y_{0,0} + Y_{0,1} r_0 + Y_{0,2} r_0^2$$

$$j=1, z=a_1 r_1^{2/3} \quad ; \quad I^{(s)}(r_1) = Y_{1,-4} r_1^{-10/3} + Y_{1,-3} r_1^{-8/3} + Y_{1,-2} r_1^{-2} + Y_{1,-1} r_1^{-4/3} + Y_{1,0} r_1^{-2/3} + Y_{1,1}$$

$$j=2, z=a_2 r_2^{1/2} \quad ; \quad I^{(s)}(r_2) = Y_{2,-4} r_2^{-3} + Y_{2,-3} r_2^{-3/2} + Y_{2,-2} r_2^{-2} + Y_{2,-1} r_2^{-3/2} + Y_{2,0} r_2^{-1} + Y_{2,1} r_2^{-1/2} + Y_{2,2}$$

$$[0,0,2_3] \quad ; \quad L=5, M=1$$

$$j=-1, z=a_{-1} r_{-1}^2 \quad ; \quad I^{(s)}(r_{-1}) = Y_{-1,-5} r_{-1}^{-8} + Y_{-1,-4} r_{-1}^{-6} + Y_{-1,-3} r_{-1}^{-4} + Y_{-1,-2} r_{-1}^{-2} + Y_{-1,-1} + Y_{-1,0} r_{-1}^2 + Y_{-1,1} r_{-1}^4$$

$$=0, z=a_0 r_0 \quad ; \quad I^{(s)}(r_0) = Y_{0,5} r_0^{-5} + Y_{0,4} r_0^{-4} + Y_{0,3} r_0^{-3} + Y_{0,2} r_0^{-2} + Y_{0,1} r_0^{-1} + Y_{0,0} + Y_{0,1} r_0$$

$$=1, z=a_1 r_1^{2/3} \quad ; \quad I^{(s)}(r_1) = Y_{1,-5} r_1^{-4} + Y_{1,-4} r_1^{-10/3} + Y_{1,-3} r_1^{-8/3} + Y_{1,-2} r_1^{-2} + Y_{1,-1} r_1^{-4/3} + Y_{1,0} r_1^{-2/3} + Y_{1,1}$$

## REFERENCES

1. A.R. Forsyth, "Theory of Differential Equations", Dover Ed., New York (1959).
2. E.L. Ince, "Ordinary Differential Equations", Dover Ed., New York (1956).
3. P.M. Morse and H. Feshbach, "Methods of Theoretical Physics", McGraw-Hill Book Co. Ltd., New York (1953).
4. R. Courant and D. Hilbert, "Methods of Mathematical Physics", Interscience Publishers, New York (1962).
5. L.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, "A Course of Modern Analysis", Cambridge Univ. Press (1958).
6. A.K. Bose, Nuovo Cim. 32 (1964) 679.
7. A. Lemieux and A.K. Bose, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 10 (1969) 259.
8. K. Heun, Math. Annalen 33 (1889) 161.
9. V. Singh, S.N. Biswas and K. Datta, Lett. Math. Phys. 3 (1979) 73.
10. M. Znojil, Lett. Math. Phys. 5 (1981) 405; J. Phys. A15 (1982) 2111; *ibid* A16 (1983) 213; *ibid* A16 (1983) 279; J. Math. Phys. 24 (1983) 1136.
11. B.R. Johnson, J. Math. Phys. 21 (1980) 2640.
12. C. Quigg and J.L. Rosner, Phys. Reports 56 (1979) 167.
13. C. Bender and T.T. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21 (1968) 406; Phys. Rev. 184 (1969) 1231; J. Math. Phys. 11 (1970) 797.
14. J.J. Loeffel, A. Martin, B. Simon and A.S. Wightman, Phys. Lett. 30 B (1970) 656.
15. A. Rampal and K. Datta, J. Math. Phys. 24 (1983) 860.
16. M.L. Lima and J.A. Mignaco, J. Phys. A17 (1984) L323.
17. The change of variable:  $r=az^2$  in the equation for the orbit in the Kepler problem (see, for instance, H. Goldstein, "Classical Mechanics", Addison-Wesley Publ. Co (1959), Eq.(3.36)) brings it into the corresponding isotropic oscillator equation, exchanging the rôles of the energy and potential energy terms.

18. E.C. Kemble, "Fundamental Principles of Quantum Mechanics", Mc Graw-Hill Book Co Ltd., New York (1937).
19. S. Flügge, "Practical Quantum Mechanics", Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1971).
20. R.M. Spector, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 1185.
21. J.P. Gazeau, Phys. Lett. 75A (1980) 159.
22. L.D. Landau and E. Lifshitz, "Mécanique Quantique", Eds. MIR, Moscow (1972).
23. M.L. Lima, M. Sc. Thesis, Inst. de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (1984).