CBPF-NF-044/82

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE SOLUTIONS OF THE RIESZ-MERCIER EQUATION

bу

A.da Silveira*, A.F.F. do Amaral**

*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CBPF/CNPq Rua Xavier Sigaud, 150 22290 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

**Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Instituto de Física Ilha do Fundão Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

EXPRESSIONS FOR THE SOLUTIONS OF THE RIESZ-MERCIER EQUATION

Adel da Silveira and Antonio F.F. do Amaral **

Abstract

Expressions for the aggregates and currents of the Riesz-Mercier equation are considered.

^{*}Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150, Urca, CEP 22290, Río de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

^{**}Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Ilha do Fundão,
Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Maxwell's equations can be expressed in several ways. When Clifford numbers are used, the wave function can be shown to be a bivector, whereas the current is expressed as a vector [1-3]. Quaternionic form of extended Maxwell's equations with sources and abelian monopoles was found by Majernik and Nagy [4], the method being extended by Singh [5] to the electromagnetic-current equations.

It is well known that Maxwell's equations can be written in the Dirac-like form [6-11]. In some formulations a supplementary condution is imposed on the wave function [6] in view of the transversal character of the electromagnetic wave. It is possible also to find a solution whose wave function is a two-column matrix though there appears in this case problems connected with the covariance of the theory [12]. As it should be expected each column of this solution satisfies the same equation [13]. This procedure used in the electromagnetic case can be extended to the linear equations for the gravitational field propose by Carstoiu^[14] and Cattani^[15], in view of the analogies between the theories. It is easy to obtain the Dirac-like form of the Carstoiu-Cattani equations [16], and it can be shown how to write the wave aggregate and the current in terms of the Dirac matrices, when use is made of a suggestion indicated by Teitler^[17], due to Riesz, Sommerfeld and Sauter^[18]. According to this suggestion the wave aggregate, as well as the current, are to be considered as parts of left ideals of the Clifford algebra C1.

It is the aim of the present note to start from the equation deduced in reference [12], and use the procedure prescribed in reference [16]. It will be seen that, in general,

neither the aggregate is a bivector nor the current is a vector. Nevertheless, it is possible to express the wave aggregate as a bivector in free space, if the invariance of the Maxwell equations under the transformations $\vec{E} \rightarrow -\vec{H}$, $\vec{H} \rightarrow \vec{E}$ is taken into account. Even if abelian monopoles are introduced and the above transformation is extended to the more general form $\vec{E} \rightarrow +\vec{H}$, $\vec{H} \rightarrow -\vec{E}$, $\rho \rightarrow +\rho'$, $\rho' \rightarrow -\rho$, $\vec{J} \rightarrow -\vec{J}'$, $\vec{J}' \rightarrow \vec{J}$, the current cannot be expressed as a vector and the wave is not a bivector. Here, ρ and j correspond to the usual charge density and current whereas primed quantities correspond to the monopole.

According to Eq. (4) of Ref. [12], Maxwell's equations can be written as

$$\gamma_{ij}\partial_{ij}\psi = (4\pi/c) J , \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} , \qquad (2)$$

and

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{j} \\ c \rho \end{bmatrix} . \tag{3}$$

The following Dirac matrices are being used:

$$\gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_k \\ \sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3) \quad , \quad \gamma_5 = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4.$$
(4)

Following Riesz, Sommerfeld and Sauter $^{[18]}$ the aggregate (2) can be extended to

$$\psi_{\mathbf{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}} & 0 \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{H}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} , \qquad (5a)$$

and the current (3) can be generalized to the matrix

$$J_{I} = \begin{bmatrix} i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{j} & 0 \\ c\rho & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (5b)$$

After easy calculations $\psi_{
m I}$ and ${
m J}_{
m I}$ can be written as

$$\psi_{T} = (1/8) \varepsilon_{uv\sigma\lambda} F_{uv} \gamma_{\sigma} \gamma_{\lambda} (1 + \gamma_{4}) , \qquad (6a)$$

and

$$J_{I} = (1/2)\gamma_{5}(\gamma_{u}j_{u}) (1 + \gamma_{4})$$
 , (6b)

where

$$E_k = iF_{k4}$$
, $H_k = \epsilon_{kje}F_{je}$, $j_4 = ic\rho$

Of course, instead of (5a) and (5b) one can have also

$$\psi_{\text{II}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \overrightarrow{\sigma}.\overrightarrow{E} \\ 0 & \overrightarrow{\sigma}.\overrightarrow{H} \end{bmatrix} , \qquad (7a)$$

and

$$J_{II} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{j} \\ 0 & c\rho \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (7b)$$

so that $\,\psi_{\,{\mbox{\footnotesize I}\,\mbox{\footnotesize I}\,\mbox{\footnotesize I}}}\,$ and $\,J_{\,{\mbox{\footnotesize I}\,\mbox{\footnotesize I}\,\mbox{\footnotesize I}}}\,$ can be written as

$$\psi_{\text{II}} = (-i/4)\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}F_{\mu\nu} (1 - \gamma_4) , \qquad (8a)$$

and

$$J_{II} = (i/2)\gamma_{\mu}j_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_4)$$
 (8b)

A simple inspection of (6a,b) and (8a,b) is enough to shown that in both cases ψ is not a bivector and J is not a vector. In the case of the electromagnetic equation in free space the invariance under the transformation E \rightarrow H, H \rightarrow -E holds

so that, instead of (5a) and (8a), it is possible to envisage another form of the matrix, namely

$$\psi_{III} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} & \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{H} \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{H} & -\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} \end{bmatrix} , \qquad (9a)$$

where the above mentioned invariance is taken into account. The new aggregate is

$$\psi_{\text{III}} = (1/4) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} F_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\sigma} \gamma_{\lambda} \gamma_{4} \quad . \tag{10}$$

If (10) is inserted into the equation (1), where J is previously made equal to zero, γ_4 can be simplified and the solution can be considered to be

$$\Psi_{\text{IV}} = (1/4) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} F_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\sigma} \gamma_{\lambda} \quad , \tag{11}$$

which is, of course, a bivector. The simplification, which alows the transition from (10) to (11), cannot be applied to (6a,b) or (8a,b), in view of the presence of the idempotentes $1+\gamma_4$ and $1-\gamma_4$ even in free space.

If, besides ordinary charges, abelian monopoles are introduced, it is possible to consider a more extended class of transformations, because, in this case, the invariance under $\vec{E} \rightarrow \vec{H}$, $\vec{H} \rightarrow -\vec{E}$, $\rho \rightarrow +\rho'$, $\rho' \rightarrow -\rho$, $\vec{j} \rightarrow -\vec{j}'$, $\vec{j}' \rightarrow \vec{j}$ holds. Primed quantities referred to monopoles. The new aggregate is given by (10) and the matrix corresponding to the right hand side of (1) is

$$J_{V} = \begin{bmatrix} c\rho + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{j} & c\rho + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{j}' \\ c\rho + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{j}' & -c\rho - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{j} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (12)

that can be written as

$$J_{V} = \gamma_{4}(-i\gamma_{\mu}j_{\mu}\gamma_{4} + \gamma_{5}\gamma_{4}\gamma_{\mu}j_{\mu})$$
 (13)

When the aggregate ψ_V , given by (10), and the current, given by (13), are inserted into (1), γ_4 cannot be simplified. Of course, if the products $\gamma_\mu j_\mu$ and $\gamma_\mu j_\mu'$ are separated into three and four-components, then γ_4 can be simplified but the compactness of the expression is lost.

References

- [1] A. Mercier: Expression des Equations de l'Electromagnetisme ay Moyen des Nombres de Clifford, Thesis, Genève, (1935).
- [2] M. Riesz, Clifford Numbers and Spinors, University of Maryland, Institute of Fluid Dynamics and Applied Mathematics; Lecture Series Nº 38, University of Maryland College Park, 1958, Chap. I-IV.
- [3] D. Hertenes: Space Time Algebra, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers Inc., New York, 1966.
- [4] V. Majernik and M. Nagy: Lett. Nuovo Cimento, 16, (1979) 265.
- [5] A. Singh: Lett. Nuovo Cimento 31, (1981) 141.
- [6] J.R. Oppenheimer; Phys. Rev. 38, (1931) 725.
- [7] O. Laporte and G.E. Uhlenbeck: Phys. Rev. 37 (1931) 1380.
- [8] H.E. Moses: Sup. Nuovo Cimento 7 (Ser. X, Nº 1) 1,(1958).
- [9] R.H. Good Jr., Phys. Rev. <u>105</u>, (1957) 1914.
- [10] T. Ohmura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 16, (1956) 684.
- [11] M. Sachs and S.L. Schwebel: J. Math. Phys. 3 (1942) 843.
- [12] A. da Silveira: Z. Naturforschung, 34a (1979) 646.
- [13] H. Sallhopes, Z. Naturforschung, 33a (1978) 1379.
- [14] J. Carstoiu: C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 268, Ser. A, (1969) 201.
- [15] D.D. Cattani: Nuovo Cimento 60B, (1980) 67.
- [16] A. da Silveira: Lett. Nuovo Cimento, to be published.
- [17] S. Teitler: J. Math. Phys. 7 (1965) 1730.
- [18] A. Sommerfeld: Atombau und Spektrallinien (Braunschweig, 1939), Vol. II, pp. 217-268; F. Sauter, Z. Physik 63 (1903) 803; ibid 64, (1930)295; M. Riesz, Comptes Rendus du Dixième Congrès des Mathématiques des Pays Scandinaves (Copenhagen, 1946), pp. 123