

# CHARGE DISTRIBUTION IN MULTIPLE $\pi\text{-MESON}$ PRODUCTION

I. Kodama and N. Amato Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas Río de Janeiro, Brasil

> RIO DE JANEIRO BRASIL

# CHARGE DISTRIBUTION IN MULTIPLE π-MESON PRODUCTION\*

T. Kodama and N. Amato Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

#### ABSTRACT

A table of charge distribution in multiple  $\pi$ -meson production due to fire ball decay is given for the purpose of practical use. Assuming the charge independence, the distribution function  $P_n(r)$  (n = total multiplicity,  $r = \pi^+$  multiplicity) is calculated for different  $I_0$  = 0,1, and 2 isospin quantum numbers of a fire ball up to n = 10. For  $I_0$  = 0, a conventional formula to calculate  $P_n(r)$  is given.

# INTRODUCTION

The charge distribution of  $\pi$ -mesons in decay products of a fire ball is an important quantity for the analysis of cosmic ray experiment (1). Since the emulsion chamber experiment only detects  $\pi^0$  mesons via  $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$  decay, it is necessary for the analysis for the fire ball parameters (mass, Lorentz factor etc

Supported by CNPq of Brazil

to introduce some theoretical distribution of charged  $\pi\text{-ons}$  in the decay product.

It is customly assumed that the interaction is charge independent, and some simple probabilistic argument is applied (23). However, for low multiplicity events, such a probabilistic calculation is dubious, (see later discussion) and it does not give a correct fluctuation of charge distribution which is also an important quantity. Some theoretical studies on the charge distribution of  $\pi$ -meson system have been done on the basis of group theory (4,5). In fact they are very powerful in calculating charge correlations. However when we apply the result to, for example, Monte Carlo calculations, we need still simple formulas.

In this note, we carry out an explicit calculation of charge distribution function in multiple  $\pi$ -meson production assuming the charge independence of the interaction. The results are given in the form of tables, which will be useful for practical uses, such as Monte Carlo calculations. Particularly for isospin equal to zero, we construct a simple formula to calculate the distribution function in a sufficient accuracy.

#### METHOD

The basic assumption here is the charge independence of the interaction which governs the decay of a fire ball.

We also assume that a fire ball has a definite isospin state, namely, a charge state of fire ball is specified by isospin quantum numbers  $I_o$  and  $M_o$ , where  $I_o$  is the total isospin,  $M_o$  the Z-component.

Let us consider a decay of a fire ball into n  $\pi\text{-mesons}\,.$  Since a  $\pi\text{-meson}$  has isospin I=1, the problem is to calculate the

coefficients of the expansion:

$$|I_{o}, M_{o}\rangle = \sum_{m_{1}} c_{m_{1}, \dots m_{n}}^{I_{o}, M_{o}} |1, m_{1}\rangle |1, m_{2}\rangle \dots |1, m_{n}\rangle$$
 (1)

where  $|I,M\rangle$  denotes the eigenstate of isospin.

Let r be the number of  $\pi^{+}$  mesons in the decay product. The probability of finding r  $\pi^{+}$  mesons in the decay product is then given by

$$P_{n}(r) = \sum_{m_{1}, \dots, m_{n}} |c_{m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots, m_{n}}^{I_{0}, M_{0}}|^{2}$$
(2)

where  $\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$  means the sum over all  $m_s'$  with the condition:

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \delta_{m_i, 1} = r \tag{3}$$

The numbers of  $\pi^0$  and  $\pi^-$  mesons are related to r by

$$n_{\pi^0} = n - 2r + M_0$$

$$n_{\pi^-} = r - M_0$$
(4)

Generally the expansion (1) is not uniquely determined. For n=2, Eq. (1) is nothing but a usual Clebsh-Gordan expansion:

$$|I_{o}, M_{o}\rangle = \sum_{m_{1}m_{2}} (11m_{1}m_{2} |I_{o}M_{o}) |1m_{1}\rangle |1m_{2}\rangle$$
 (5)

For n=3, there are three linearly independent states with the same  $\rm I_{_{\scriptsize O}}$  and  $\rm M_{_{\scriptsize O}}$  formed by 3-mesons, eg.

$$|I_0, M_0(I_{12})\rangle =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1 m_2 m_3}} (11m_1 m_2 | I_{12} M_{12}) (I_{12} 1 M_{12} m_3 | I_0 M_0) | 1m_1 > | 1m_2 > | 1m_3 >$$
 (6)

where  $I_{12}$  can take anyone of the values 0, 1 and 2, provided that

$$|I_{12} - 1| \le I_0 \le I_{12} + 1$$

The quantum number  $I_{\mbox{\scriptsize 12}}$  is the isospin of the intermediate state formed by the first and second  $\pi$  mesons.

Other ways of coupling (for example coupling of the second and third mesons to form the intermediate isospin  $I_{23}$  and the coupling to the first one to form  $I_0$ ) are related to the states (6) through the Racah coefficients and are not linearly independent.

In general, the charge distribution function (2) is specified by a set of internal isospin quantum numbers  $(I_1,\ I_2,\ \dots\ I_n)\quad \text{as}$ 

$$P_{n}^{|I_{1},I_{2},...I_{n}|} = \sum_{\substack{m_{1},m_{2},...m_{n} | i=1}} |n-1| \prod_{\substack{i=1 \ i=1}} (I_{io}M_{i}^{m_{i}|I_{i+1}}M_{i+1})|^{2}$$
 (7)

where

$$M_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} m_j, \qquad M_n = M_o$$

$$I_{i} + 1 \ge I_{i+1} \ge |I_{i} - 1|$$

with

$$I_1 = 1$$
 and  $I_n = I_0$ .

It is shown by Pais that the intermediate states  $(I_1,I_2,\ldots I_n)$  are further classified by a set of numbers,  $(N_1,N_2,N_3)$  for  $\pi$ -meson cloud (4).

In the case of fire ball decay, it may be plausible to assume that all possible configurations can take place with an

equal probability. Then the final charge distribution function is given by

$$P_{n}(r) = P_{n}(r)$$
 (8)

where  $|I_1,I_2,\ldots I_n|$  indicates the average over all possible configurations  $|I_1,I_2,\ldots I_n|$ . This procedure corresponds to taking an average over all possible configurations of Pais,  $(N_1,N_2,N_3)$  with appropriate weight  $\rho(N_1,N_2,N_3)^{(4)}$ .

Although the calculation of Eq. (7) is straight forward, the numbers of possible values of I and M increase too rapidly with n, so that it is difficult to calculate  $P_n(r)$  for large n, even with the aid of large electronic computer.

Tables I-III are the results of explicit calculation of  $P_{n}(\textbf{r})$  for low n values.

TABLE I  $I_{0} = 0, \quad M_{0} = 0$ 

		0	0			
n	0	1	2	3	4	5
2	1/3	<u>2</u> 3				
3	0	1				
4	<u>1</u> 15	<u>8</u> 15	6 15			
5	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3			
6	1 105	<u>18</u> 105	66 105	20 105		
7	0	1 12	6 12	5 12		
8	1 819	32 819	276 819	440 819	70 819	
9	0	<u>1</u> 58	<u>6</u> 29	31 58	<u>7</u> 29	
10	1 6633	50 6633	780 6633	2960 6633	2590 6633	252 6633

TABLE III I<sub>o</sub> = 1

<b>—</b> —————								
	4							
	3						35 273	2.8 87
	2				35	15	55 91	31 58
W	-	0	17	412	22 35	713	23 <u>91</u>	4 29
	0		13	- K	35	1 28	4 273	174
	4							78
0	3					5 28	110	31 58
W 0	2			2/12	22 35	9	138	10
	_	0	13	ઓજ	12	5	24 273	7
	0	-	2	0	1 35	0	1 273	0
	4						35	28
	က				2 7	15 28	55	31
_	2		17	4 5	22 35	- N	23 91	4 29
M 0	_	-	13 30	<del>س</del> اب	35	1 28	4 273	174
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ت د	2	т	4	ഹ	9	7	ω

TABLE III  $I_{O} = 2$ 

	8							- =
-2	2					5 24	94	37
= E	<b>,</b>			10	7	55 84	31	74
	0	<b>F</b>	<b></b>	11	6 21	23 768	4	13
	. m						ر م	7 23
_	2				5 2T	85 168	38	251 460
$M_0 = -1$	<b></b> -		- 2	16	3 12	19	17	17
M	0	_	1	5	2/21	7	1 63	1 460
	4						•	96
	3			-	····	25 168	8	727
0	2	-		2	13	9	11	83 231
Σ 0 Σ	<u></u>	<b>⊢</b> lω	<b></b>	13	8	11	2 21	61 1386
	0	2112	0	2 21	0	<u>1</u>	0	693
	4						ge	7 23
	က				5	85 168	19	251 460
11	2		-2	16	3/12	19	17	17
Σ		<b>-</b>	-12	5	2	1 24	126	460
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5							- =
	4				-	35	4 0	37 66
	3			10	7	55 84	31	74 231
= 2	2	·	_	111	2	23	4	13
Σ̈́O	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	7	2	m	4	5	9	7	α

TABLE IV

IV-a						T					IV-b						
7	0	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10.
2	0.333	0.667					0.333	0.667									
3	0	1					0.0	1.00		, A			}				
4	0.0667	0.533	0.400				0.0667	0.533	0.400								
5	0	0.333	0.667				0.0	0.333	0.667				1			-	
6	0.00952	0.171	0.629	0.191			0.00939	0.169	0.634	0.188							
7	0	0.0833	0.500	0.417			0.0	0.0875	0.492	0.421							•
8	0.00120	0.0391	0.337	0.537	0.0855	}	0.00120	0.0385	0.337	0.539	0.0842						
9	0	0.0172	0.207	0.534	0.241		0.0	0.0184	0.219	0.508	0.255						
10	0.000151	0.00754	0.118	0.446	0.391	0.0380	0.000149	0.00745	0.117	0.447	0.391	0.0375	ŀ				
17		1					0.0	0.00327	0.0719	0.329	0.447	0.149					
12							0.181x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.130x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.322x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.223	0.470	0.258	0.167x10 <sup>-1</sup>				
13					,	[	0.0	0.526x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.190x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.152	0.388	0.358	0.835x10 <sup>-1</sup>				
14							0.216x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.212x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.758x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.827x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.318	0.425	0.159	0.743x10 <sup>-2</sup>			
15							0.0	0.793x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.429x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.545x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.234	0.397	0.265	0.453x10 <sup>-1</sup>			
16			Ì	i			0.257x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.328x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.160x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.253x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.154	0.377	0.345	0.939x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.330×10 <sup>-2</sup>		ļ
17							0.0	0.114x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.870×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.163x10 <sup>-1</sup>		0.298	0.368	0.185	0.239x10 <sup>-1</sup>	_	
18		1					0.302x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.489x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.312x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.673x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.595x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.231	0.388	0.259	0.535x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.147x10 <sup>-2</sup>	
19		ļ					0.0	0.159x10 <sup>-5</sup>	$0.162 \times 10^{-3}$	0.423x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.408x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.170	0.335	0.315	0.123	0.124x10 <sup>-1</sup>	_
20							0.353x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.707x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.571x10 <sup>-4</sup>	$0.161 \times 10^{-2}$	0.195x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.110	0.294	0.362	0.184	0.297x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.653x10 <sup>-3</sup>
21	Ì						0.0	0.215x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.284x10 <sup>-4</sup>	$0.989 \times 10^{-3}$	0.131x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.783x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.229	0.341	0.253	0.785xi0 <sup>-1</sup>	$0.631 \times 10^{-2}$

## DISCUSSION

In most of fire ball decay analysis, it is usually assumed that a fire ball has zero isospin. An immediate consequence of the charge independence is that the average number of  $\pi^+$  mesons is:

$$\langle n_{\pi^+} \rangle \equiv \sum_{r} r P_{n}(r) = \frac{1}{3} n$$

which also leads to:

$$\langle n_{\pi^{-}} \rangle = \langle n_{\pi^{0}} \rangle = \frac{1}{3} n$$

The most primitive way of obtaining, probabilistically, such a distribution  $P_n(r)$ , might seem to generate three numbers  $n_{\pi^+}$ ,  $n_{\pi^-}$ ,  $n_{\pi^0}$  with the condition  $n_{\pi^+} + n_{\pi^-} + n_{\pi^0} = n$ , associating for each of them, an apriori probability of 1/3, and to select up cases for which  $n_{\pi^+} = n_{\pi^-}$ . Such a procedure gives rise to the distribution function  $P_n(r)$ :

$$P_{n}(r) \alpha \frac{n!}{(r!)^{2} (n-2r)!}$$

$$(9)$$

However this is not appropriate, since the averages of  $n_{\pi^+}$  or  $n_{\pi^-}$  or  $n_{\pi^0}$  are not equal to (1/3)n, but we have:

$$\langle n_{\pi^{+}} \rangle = \langle n_{\pi^{-}} \rangle \left( \frac{n}{3} \right) \langle n_{\pi^{0}} \rangle$$
 (10)

There is another unfavourable factor for the simple probabilistic argument when the multiplicity is low. For odd multiplicity we should always have:

$$P_n(0) = 0 \quad (n \text{ odd}) \tag{11}$$

which comes from the symmetry of isospin (G-parity), but the probabilistic calculation does not satisfy this condition.

In Monte Carlo calculations of fire-ball decay, we need  $P_n(r)$  up to about n  $\sim$  20, for which the exact calculation is almost impracticable due to the rapid increase of the number of intermediate states.

Thus we constructed in the case of I=0 an analytic expression for  $P_n(r)$  which reproduces all the numbers (of the Table I) within an error of 5%.

The formula is:

for n = even 
$$\begin{cases} P_{n}(0) = \frac{1}{N_{n}} \\ P_{n}(r) = \frac{1}{N_{n}} \frac{n!}{2(1-\frac{r}{n}) (n-2r)! (r!)^{2}} \end{cases}$$
 (12a)

where N is the normalization factor, and for n = odd:

$$P_{n}(r) = (1-q_{n-1}(x_{1}))P_{n-1}(r-1)+q_{n-1}(x)P_{n-1}(r)$$
with
$$x = \frac{2r}{n-1}, \quad x_{1} = \frac{2r-2}{n-1},$$

$$q_{n}(x) = x \left( (a_{n}x + b_{n})(x-1)+1 \right)$$
with
$$a_{n} = -0.714b_{n} + 0.259$$

where  $b_n$ 's are chosen so that the average of r gives (1/3)n. The values are:

n	b <sub>n</sub>
2	1.083
4	1.210
6	2.203
8	2.811
10	3.164
12	3.414
14	3.612
16	3.775
18	3.912
20	4.028

Table IV gives, in IVa, the same numbers as in Table I, and in IVb, for comparison, values of  $P_n(r)$  calculated by our formula (12).

# CONCLUDING REMARKS

The exact calculation of charge distribution may be useful for analysing the fire-ball decay in the framework of charge-independent hypothesis. For the decay of isospin O state formulae(12-a) and (12-b) can be used without causing a serious error.

Analogous formulae might be constructed for non zero isospin case based on Tables II and III.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very grateful to Profs. S Hasegawa, K. Tanaka, A. Ohsawa, F.M.O. Castro, A. Turtelli Jr. and C.M.G. Lattes, for stimulating discussions. They are also indebted to Prof. P. Srivastava for information.

Numerical calculations were done with the aid of the electronic computer IBM-360 at C.B.P.F. The hospitality of the staffs is greatly acknowledged.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- (1) Japanese and Brazilian Emulsion Chamber Groups, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. no 47 (1971), 1.
- (2) Y. Sato, T. Yanagita, N. Ogita, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. no. 47 (1971), 214.
- (3) Y. Fujimoto, S. Hasegawa, K. Kasahara, N. Ogita, A.Osawa, T. Shibata, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. nº 47 (1971), 246.
- (4) A. Pais, Ann. of Phys. 9, (1960), 548.
- (5) A. Di Giacomo, N. Cim., vol. 28 A, n. 3 (1975), 383.