## GROUND STATE ENERGY OF THREE-BODY COULOMB SYSTEMS THROUGH HYPERSPHERICAL HARMONICS

JUAN A. MIGNACO

and

## ITZHAK RODITI

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas Av. Wenceslau Braz, 71 - Rio de Janeiro-R.J. - Brasil

ABSTRACT - The ions e<sup>-</sup>e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, H<sup>-</sup> and the Helium atom are studied within the hyperspherical formalism. The results obtained are compared with the experimental results and with other techniques. We also show the convergence trend of the method in this case, comparable to known ones.

We have studied the ground state energy of several three body systems wherein the potential is a Coulomb one. We present our results for the ions  $e^-e^+e^-$ ,  $H^-$  and for the He atom. In the last two cases, the calculations were made with real and infinite nuclear masses.

Our procedure consists of solving the Schrödinger equation turned into an algebraic linear system. This is achieved using the generalized harmonic oscillator basis (Erens et al 1970, Vallières et al 1976) for the solution of the equation written in terms of hyperspherical harmonics (Zickendraht 1965, Simonov 1966). That is, if the Schrödinger equation is written as:

$$\left[ -\frac{\pi^2}{2} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{m_i} \quad \nabla^2_{\vec{x}_i} + V(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3) - E \right] \Phi(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3) = 0$$
(1)

with  $m_i$  being the mass of the i-th particle, E the total energy of the system, and V any potential, we may pass to the hyperspherical basis by choosing

$$\xi_1 = \rho \sin \zeta_1$$

$$\xi_2 = \rho \cos \zeta_1$$
(2)

and

$$\rho^2 = \vec{\xi}_1^2 + \vec{\xi}_2^2 \tag{3}$$

with:

$$\vec{\xi}_{\alpha} = (\mu_{\alpha})^{1/2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & m_{\beta} \vec{x}_{\beta} \\ \beta = 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & m_{\beta} \vec{x}_{\beta} \\ \beta = 1 \end{pmatrix} - \vec{x}_{\alpha+1} \right]$$
(4)

$$\mu_{\alpha} = m_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \Sigma \\ \beta = 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + 1 \\ \Sigma \\ \beta = 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

$$\alpha = 1, 2$$

Then, the Schrödinger equation reads:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\rho^5} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{\nabla^2_{\Omega}}{\rho} \right] \Psi(\rho; \Omega) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\hbar^2} \Psi(\rho; \Omega) =$$

$$= \frac{2}{\hbar} \Psi(\rho; \Omega)$$
(5)

The solution of this equation can be written in terms of the hyperspherical harmonics, eigenfunctions of  $\nabla_\Omega^2$  (Granzow 1963)

$$\nabla_{\Omega}^{2} U_{k\{\ell_{i} m_{i}\}}(\Omega) = -k(k+4)U_{k\{\ell_{i} m_{i}\}}(\Omega)$$
 (6)

whose form is

$$U_{k\{\ell_{i} \ m_{i}\}}(\Omega) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2} Y_{\ell_{\alpha} \ m_{\alpha}}(\Theta_{\alpha}, \Phi_{\alpha}) \gamma_{k\{\ell_{i} \ m_{i}\}}^{1}(\zeta_{1})$$
 (7)

with the  $Y_{\ell_{\alpha}m_{\alpha}}$  eigenfunctions of

$$\Delta_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{\alpha}} \left[ \sin \theta_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{\alpha}} \right] + \frac{1}{\sin^{2} \theta_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi_{\alpha}^{2}}$$
 (8)

and  $\{\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \zeta_1\}$  is the set  $\Omega$  . The function  $\gamma$  is given by

$$\gamma_{k\{l_{1} m_{1}\}}^{1}(\zeta_{1}) = (\cos \zeta_{1})^{l_{2}} 2 F_{1} (a,b,c,\cos^{2} \zeta_{1})$$

$$a = \frac{1}{2} (k_{1} - k_{0} - l_{2})$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2} (k_{1} + k_{0} + l_{2} + 4)$$

$$c = l_{2} + \frac{3}{2}$$

$$k_{0} = l_{1}, k_{1} = 2n + k_{0} + l_{2}, n = 0,1,2,...$$

$$k_{1} = K = 2n + l_{1} + l_{2}, n = 0,1,2,...$$
(9)

In terms of these functions the wave function is expanded as

$$\Psi (\rho;\Omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k'}\alpha'} \chi_{\mathbf{k'}\alpha'}(\rho) U_{\mathbf{k'}\alpha'}(\Omega)$$
 (10)

The coefficientes  $\chi_{k'\alpha'}(\rho)$  are called partial waves. On substituting these quantities the original equation becomes a system of coupled differential linear equations

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\rho^5} \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\rho^5 \frac{d}{d\rho}\right) - \frac{K(K+4)}{\rho^2} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{K^2}\right\} \chi_{K\alpha}(\rho) =$$

$$= \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \sum_{K'\alpha'} V_{K\alpha,K'\alpha'}(\rho) \chi_{k'\alpha'}(\rho) (11)$$

$$V_{K\alpha}$$
,  $K'\alpha'$  (p) =  $\int d \Omega U_{K\alpha} (\Omega) V U_{K'\alpha'} (\Omega)$  (12)

When the interaction for the three body system is provided by a harmonic oscillator potential, as is well known these equations can be solved exactly (Fabre de la Ripelle 1969). The solutions  $\phi_{n\alpha}(\rho)$  are the generalized harmonic oscillator functions and form a complete set, in terms of which we can expand the partial waves  $\chi_{K\alpha}(\rho)$ .

$$\phi_{nK}(\rho) = \left[\frac{2n!}{\Gamma(K+n+3)}\right]^{1/2} \xi^{K} e^{-\xi^{2}/2} {}_{1}F_{1}(-n, K+3; \xi^{2})$$
 (13)

$$\varepsilon_{nK} = (2n + K + 3) \sqrt{3} K \omega$$

$$\xi = \alpha \rho, \alpha^{2} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{\omega}{\hbar}$$

$$1^{F_{1}} (a,b;z) = 1 + \frac{a}{b} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)}{b(b+1)} \frac{z^{2}}{2!} + \dots$$

The expansion takes the form:

$$\chi_{K\nu}(\rho) = \sum_{n} a_{nK\nu} \phi_{nK}(\alpha \rho) \qquad (14)$$

In this way we arrive at the algebraic linear system

$$\sum_{n} a_{nKv} \langle \phi_{nK} | T | \phi_{n'K} \rangle + \sum_{n'K'} a_{n'K'v'} \langle \phi_{nK} | V_{Kv}, K'v' | \phi_{n'K'} \rangle =$$

$$= -\chi^{2} a_{nKv} \qquad (15)$$

where

$$T = \frac{1}{\rho^5} \frac{d}{d\rho} \left( \rho^5 \frac{d}{d\rho} \right) - \frac{K(K+4)}{\rho^2}$$

is the kinetic energy operator and  $\chi^2 = 2|\epsilon|$ .

The numerical solution is worked out using current computer codes. The matrix elements corresponding to the kinetic energy T are well known (Erens et al 1970) and for the potential we have calculated the matrix elements using the program SCHOONSCHIP developed by M. Veltman (Veltman

1967, Strubbe 1974), able to perform calculations analytically.

Closed expressions can also be found (Coelho et al 1978).

The calculations have been performed with values of K varying from 0 to 12, and the results are exhibited in tables 1 to 4. In these tables, the parameter N refers to the maximum value of the index n in the expression. The parameter  $\alpha$  is not used as a fitting quantity, but is kept fixed and is only changed from case to case. In table 5 we show the contribution of the various partial waves  $\chi_{K_{N}}(\rho)$  to the norm of the wave function  $\Psi(\rho;\Omega)$ .

**TABLE 1:** ENERGY EIGENVALUES FOR  $e^-e^+e^-$ . ( $\alpha$ =0.5)

K	10	15
0	-0.115281	-0.115281
2	-0.203794	-0.202314
4	-0.220021	-0.214318
. 6	-0.234499	-0.235417
. 8	-0.240796	-0.241886
10	-0.244796	-0.245849
12	-0.248105	

**TABLE 2:** ENERGY EIGENVALUES FOR H WITH REAL PROTON MASS.  $(\alpha=0.7)$ 

		`. <b></b>	
K	10	15	
0	-0.385068	-0.385068	
2	-0.411513	-0.410829	
4	-0.472267	-0.455140	
. 6	-0.472607	-0.474052	
8	-0.491866	-0.493991	
10	-0.491933	-0.494065	

TABLE 3: ENERGY EIGENVALUES FOR H WITH INFINITE PROTON MASS. ( $\alpha$ =0.7).

N K	10	15	
0	-0.385401	-0.385401	
2	-0.411767	-0.411083	
4	-0.478575	-0.457676	
6	-0.478983	-0.480452	
8	-0.498683	-0.500818	
10	-0.506111	-0.510129	

TABLE 4: ENERGY EIGENVALUES FOR He WITH INFINITE NUCLEAR MASS. ( $\alpha$ =1.8).

K	10	15
0	-2.500017	-2.500017
2	-2.525135	-2.523696
4	-2.773994	-2.700426
6	-2.774572	-2.782179
8	-2.832803	-2.844403
10	-2.836355	-2.846499

TABLE 5: PERCENTUAL CONTRIBUTION FROM THE PARTIAL WAVES  $\chi_{\mbox{\scriptsize KV}}$  TO  $\phantom{\chi_{\mbox{\scriptsize THE}}}$  NORM OF THE WAVE FUNCTION  $\Psi$  .

K	ν. 	e <sup>-</sup> e <sup>+</sup> e	н_	He
0	0	70,16	83,66	94,43
2	1	19,83	1,43	0,42
· 4.	2	1,03	7,77	3,39
4	0	4,64	3,00	1,30
6	3	2,24	0,01	10 <sup>-4</sup>
6	. 1	0,77	0,01	10 <sup>-3</sup>
8	4	0,27	2,35	0,18
8	2	0,16	0,57	0,18
8	0	0,36	0,28	0,08
10	5	0,05	10-3	10-3
10	3	0,25	10 <sup>-3</sup>	10 <sup>-4</sup>
10	1	0,04	0,91	10-3
12	6	0,09		<u></u>
12	4	0,03	<del></del>	<del></del>
12	2	0,02		
12	0	0,05	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>
12	Ü	0,05		<del></del>

As it may be observed, the values obtained are not in agreement for the ion e<sup>-</sup>e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> with those calculated by Chowdhury et al. (1975). They claim that convergence is attained with k=4 and their value is -0.259 a.u. This result is quite unexpected because as it is known (Ballot et al, 1973) even for a Gaussian potential convergence is obtained for K>>4.

On the other hand, we have performed an extra - polation based on the following formula

a E (K) + b 
$$K^{-\gamma}$$
+ c = 0. (16)

which was inspired by the works of Schneider (1972) and Bruinsma and van Wageningen (1973). The parameter  $\gamma$  is a general feature of the potential and within the accuracy required we used  $\gamma=1$ . With a simple least square fit we obtain the results for  $E(\infty)$  presented on table 6, for the ions  $e^-e^+e^-$ ,  $H^-$  (with real proton mass) and for the Helium atom, where they are compared with other theoretical values.

TABLE 6: GROUND-STATE ENERGIES OBTAINED WITH VARIOUS THEORETICAL TECHNIQUES.

e e e e e	н_	Не
-0.2689 <sup>a</sup>	-0.5257ª	-2.9631ª
-0.25142 <sup>b</sup>	-0.52815 <sup>b</sup>	-2.8837 <sup>b</sup>
-0.259 <sup>c</sup>	-0.52775 <sup>e</sup>	-2.85649 <sup>f</sup>
-0.262 <sup>d</sup>		-2.90372 <sup>g</sup>

Present study, b Colegrave and King (1977), c Chow - dhury et al. (1975), f Ferrante and Geracitano (1970), Pekeris (1962), f Choudhury and Pitchers (1977), p Pekeris et al. (1971)

In a forthcoming publication we shall give more details concerning this work and we shall also present results concerning the ion  $H_2^+$ , which shows a pattern very much alike the ones exhibited here. Moreover, the limit of the proton mass going to infinity is not simple in this case.

Shortly, it seems that the method of hyperspherical harmonics converges slowly to the correct values. Nonetheless, the method shows a trend which allows to get quite approximate results for the quantities to be calculated.

We acknowledge prof. H.T. Coelho for fruitful discussions on the subject of K-harmonics.

## REFERENCES

Ballot J L, Beiner M, Fabre de La Ripelle M 1972

Proceedings of the International Symposium on "Present

Status and Novel Developments in the Nuclear Many-Body

Problem", Rome, (Editrice Compositori, Bologna, 1973)

Bruinsma J and Van Wageningen R 1973 Phys. Lett. 44B 221-3

Choudhury M H and Pitchers D G 1977 J Phys. B: Atom. Molec. Phys. 10 1225-30

Chowdhury (Gupta) K R, Sural D P and Roy T 1975 Phys. Rev. Al2 763-7

Coelho H T, Consoni L and Vallières M 1978 Rev. Bras. Fis. 8 734-53

Colegrave R K and King A M 1977 J. Phys. B: Atom. Molec. Phys. 10 L269-70

Erens G, Visschers J L, Van Wageningen R 1970 Three Body Problem in Nuclear and Particle Physics (North-Holland, Amsterdan).

Fabre de La Rippele M 1969 Rev. Roum. Phys. 14 1215-22

Ferrante G and Geracitano R 1970 Nuovo Cim. Lett. 3 48-50

Granzow K D 1963 J. Math. Phys. 4 897-900

Pekeris C L 1962 Phys. Rev. 126 1470-6

Pekeris C L, Accad Y and Schiff B 1971 Phys. Rev. A4 516

Schneider T R 1972 Phys. Lett. 40B 439-42

Simonov Yu A 1966 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 3 461-6

Strubbe H 1974 Comp. Phys. Comm. 8 1

Vallières M, Das T K and Coelho H T 1976 Nucl. Phys. A257 289-96

Veltman M 1967 CERN Preprint (CERN Program Library R201)

Zickendraht W 1965 Ann. Phys. 35 18-41