# NOTAS DE FÍSICA VOLUME XIII Nº 15

# CHIRAL SYMMETRY, SUPERCONVERGENCE AND SUM RULES FOR SCATTERING AMPLITUDES

by

D. S. Narayan, R. P. Saxena and Prem P. Srivastava

CENTRO BRASILEIRO DE PESQUISAS FÍSICAS

Av. Wenceslau Braz, 71 RIO DE JANEIRO

1968

# CHIRAL SYMPTRY, SUPERCONVERGENCE AND SUM RULES FOR SCATTERING AMPLITUDES \*

D. S. Warayan \*\*
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, India

R. P. Sexena \*\*
University of Delhi, India

Prem.P. Srivastava \*\*
Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas
Rio de Janeiro - Brasil

(Received March 6, 1968)

### ABSTRACE

Goneralizing an idea of chiral symmetry being exact in an asymptotic limit, we obtain superconvergent sum rules for suitable combinations of scattering amplitudes. The predictions of the sum rules are compared with experiment.

To be submitted to "Phys. Rev. Loiters".

This work was accomplished while the authors were at the International Center For Theoretical Physics - Trieste.

In a recent letter Das, Mathur and Okubo  $^1$  have obtained some interesting sum rules, including two sum rules obtained earlier by Weinberg  $^2$ , by postulating that the chiral symmetry SU(2) × × SU(2), which is broken in nature, becomes exact in a certain asymptotic limit. They have considered the Fourier transforms,  $\Lambda^V_{\mu\nu}(q)$  and  $\Lambda^A_{\mu\nu}(q)$  of the vacuum expectation values of the time-ordered product of two vector and axial-vector currents, respectively, and assumed that the difference of these Fourier transforms tends to zero as  $q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ . The sum rules they have obtained on this assumption seem to be well satisfied.  $^3$ 

The idea of the chiral symmetry being exact, in an asymptotic limit, admits, however, of a wider generalization. Since exact chiral symmetry implies in some sense conserved current operators, one can assume with equal validity that not only the differences between Fourier transforms of vacuum expectation values but the differences between more general matrix elements of the timeordered product of two vector and axial-vector currents tend zero asymptotically. In this letter we want to report on such generalization by considering the matrix elements of T-product of two vector and axial-vector currents between one-pion states and the sum rules which can be deduced from it. The relevant Fourier transforms are essentially the scattering amplitudes of a and a vector or axial-vector meson. We are here regarding the vector and axial-vector currents as sources of vector and axialvector fields, respectively. The asymptotic limit in this is the limit of the centre-of-mass energy becoming infinite.

are assuming, in short, that the difference of two suitable invariant amplitudes representing the scattering of a vector particle against some target and the scattering of a "corresponding" axial-vector against the same target satisfies a superconvergent dispersion relation and gives rise to a sum rule.

Following the notation of Alfaro et al.,  $^4$  the appropriate Fourier transforms are written as

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{V} = \int d^{4}x \ e^{iq \cdot x} \langle \pi(p_{2}) | T(V_{\mu}(x), V_{\nu}(0)) | \pi(p_{1}) \rangle$$
 (1a)

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{A} = \int d^{4}x \ e^{iq_{0}x} \langle \pi(p_{2}) | T(A_{\mu}(x), A_{\nu}(0)) | \pi(p_{1}) \rangle$$
 (1b)

These Feynman amplitudes can be decomposed into invariant amplitudes as follows:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{V(A)} = \varepsilon_{1} \cdot P \, \varepsilon_{2} \cdot P \, A^{V(A)} + \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{1} \cdot P \, \varepsilon_{2} \cdot Q + \varepsilon_{1} \cdot Q \, \varepsilon_{2} \cdot P) B^{V(A)}$$
$$+ \varepsilon_{1} \cdot Q \, \varepsilon_{2} \cdot Q \, c_{1}^{V(A)} + \varepsilon_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{2} \, c_{2}^{V(A)} . \tag{2}$$

We assume that the A<sub>1</sub>-meson is the axial counterpart of  $\rho$ -meson in the sense that A<sub>1</sub>-meson dominates the axial-vector current just as  $\rho$ -meson does for the vector current. Then A<sup>V</sup>, B<sup>V</sup>, etc., refer to  $\rho$ - $\pi$  scattering and A<sup>A</sup>, B<sup>A</sup>, etc., to A<sub>1</sub>- $\pi$  scattering <sup>5</sup>.

It can be shown by arguments based on unitarity that asymptotically  $c_{1,2} \sim s^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $B \sim s^{-1+\varepsilon}$  and  $A \sim s^{-2+\varepsilon}$  as  $s \to \infty$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a real positive number. Our postulate about chiral symmetry being exact when the c.m. energy  $(\sqrt{s})$  becomes infinite

implies that the difference of any one of these invariant amplitudes for  $\rho-\pi$  scattering, and the corresponding one for  $A_1-\pi$  scattering, becomes convergent or superconvergent depending upon  $\epsilon$ . However, in the absence of a definite knowledge of  $\epsilon$ , it would be reasonable to assume that the amplitudes  $(B^V(s)-B^A(s))$  and  $(A^V(s)-A^A(s))$  are superconvergent in any isotopic spin state even though  $A^V$ ,  $B^V$  or  $A^A$ ,  $B^V$  may not be. The resulting sum rules may be symbolically written as

$$\int Im \left[ A_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{s}^{\,\mathfrak{l}}) - A_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{s}^{\,\mathfrak{l}}) \right] d\mathbf{s}^{\,\mathfrak{l}} = \mathbf{0}$$
 (3a)

$$\int Im \left[ B_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{s}^{*}) - B_{\mathbf{I}}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{s}^{*}) \right] d\mathbf{s}^{*} = 0$$
 (3b)

where the suffix I denotes the isotopic spin state in the s-channel. In exploiting these sum rules we assume as usual that the integrals in eq.(3) are saturated by the contributions of a few low mass states. In deriving the relations between coupling constants implied by the sum rules (3a) and (3b) it would be simpler to consider specific reactions. The reactions we consider are:  $\pi^+ + \rho^0(A_1^0) \to \pi^- + \rho^0(A_1^0)$  and  $\pi^0 + \rho^0(A_1^0) \to \pi^0 + \rho^0(A_1^0)$ . The important poles that contribute to the first set of reactions are  $\pi$ , A and  $\rho$ , while for the second set these are  $\omega_0$ ,  $f_0$  and  $f_0^i(1500)$ . We evaluate the sum rules in the forward direction. With the intermediate states mentioned above, the contributions of s- and u-channel pole terms cancel for the sum rule (3a) which is therefore satisfied trivially. The non-trivial sum rules, following from (3b), as specialized to the

set of above reactions, are as follows 6:

$$4g_{\rho\pi\pi}^{2} = \frac{m_{A_{1}}^{2} - m_{\rho}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \left(\frac{k}{m_{A_{1}}}\right)^{2} \left(g_{L}^{2} - 1.5 g_{T}^{2}\right) m_{A_{1}}^{2}$$

$$g_{f_{0}A_{1}\pi}^{2} \left[-5/3 + \frac{1}{m_{f_{0}}^{2}} \left\{-m_{\pi}^{2} + \frac{4}{3} \left(m_{\pi}^{2} + \nu_{f_{0}}\right)\right\} + \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}^{2} + \nu_{f_{0}}}{m_{f_{0}}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$+ g_{\mathbf{f}_{0}^{i}A_{1}^{\pi}}^{2} \left[ - \frac{1}{m_{\mathbf{f}_{0}^{i}}^{2}} \left\{ - \frac{m_{\pi}^{2} + \frac{4}{3} \left( m_{\pi}^{2} + \nu_{\mathbf{f}_{0}^{i}} \right)}{\frac{4}{3} \left( m_{\pi}^{2} + \nu_{\mathbf{f}_{0}^{i}} \right)^{2}} + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{m_{\pi}^{2} + \nu_{\mathbf{f}_{0}^{i}}}{\frac{m_{\mathbf{f}_{0}^{i}}^{2}}{m_{\mathbf{f}_{0}^{i}}^{2}}} \right)^{2} \right]$$

$$+ 2 g_{\omega \rho \pi}^{2} \left( 2 y_{\omega} + m_{\rho}^{2} \right) = 0$$
 (5)

where 
$$v_{f_0,f_0'} = \frac{1}{2} \left( m_{f_0,f_0'}^2 - m_{A_1}^2 - m_{\pi}^2 \right), v_{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left( v_{\omega}^2 - m_{\rho}^2 - m_{\pi}^2 \right);$$

k is c.m. momentum in A, -decay;

 ${
m g_L}$  and  ${
m g_T}$  refer to longitudinal and transverse couplings of  ${
m A_I}$  to ho and  $\pi$ . We also give here the relation of the width of  ${
m A_I}$ -decay into  $ho\pi$  in terms  ${
m g_T}$  and  ${
m g_T}$  which is given by

$$\Gamma_{A_{1}} \rightarrow \rho \pi / m_{A_{1}} = \frac{1}{12\pi} \left( \frac{m_{A_{1}}}{m_{\rho}} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{k}{m_{A_{1}}} \right)^{5} \left( g_{L}^{2} + 2 g_{T}^{2} \right) m_{A_{1}}^{2} .$$
(6)

Gilman and Harari 6 find from superconvergence relations in  $\rho - \pi$  scattering that  $g_T = 0$ . If we assume  $g_T = 0$  and calculate the width of  $A_1$  using eqs. (4) and (6), we get  $\prod_{A_1 \rightarrow \rho \pi} \sim 70 \text{ MeV}$ , = 130  $\pm$  40 MeV. <sup>7</sup> Considering the large error in the experimental value, the calculated value seems to be consistent with experiment. <sup>8</sup> Alternatively, treating eqs. (4) and (5) as a simultaneous set to determine  $\mathbf{g}_{L}$  and  $\mathbf{g}_{T}$  in terms of the experimental value (130 MeV), we get  $g_T^2/g_T^2 = 5.8$ , a value which can be checked experimentally by measuring the ratio of longitudinal to transverse polarization of the  $\rho$ -meson in  $\mathbb{A}_1$ decay. Further, if we take  $g_{f_0A_1\pi} \sim g_{f_0A_1\pi}$ , eq. (5) gives a value  $\int_{f_0 \to A_7 \pi} \sim 2 \text{ MeV}$ , which is consistent with experiment. In addition we find  $\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Lambda_1 \pi \sim 50$  MeV, which is probably large to be consistent with experiment. But by assuming an fo-fo mixing angle one can substantially reduce the value of the width  $\prod_{f} \rightarrow A_{\eta} \pi$  we obtained.

The successful predictions coming out of our sum rules lend considerable validity to our generalization of chiral symmetry being exact at high energies. Other applications of the same

idea to various other reactions will be reported elsewhere.

The above analysis of chiral symmetry can immediately be extended to strangeness-changing currents. Assuming that K\* and K\_A dominate the strangeness-changing vector and axial-vector currents respectively and considering the reactions  $\pi^+$  + K\* $^{\circ}$ (K\_A^{\circ})  $\rightarrow \pi^+$  + K\* $^{\circ}$ (K\_A^{\circ}) we get a sum rule similar to (4), namely

$$4 g_{K*K\pi}^{2} = \frac{\left(m_{KA}^{2} - m_{K*}^{2}\right)}{m_{K*}^{2}} \left(\frac{k!}{m_{KA}}\right)^{2} \left(g_{L}^{2} - 1.5 g_{T}^{2}\right) m_{KA}^{2}$$
 (7)

where k' is the c.m. momentum in  $K_A$ -decay into  $K^*\pi$  and  $g_L^{'}$ ,  $g_T^{'}$  are appropriate coupling constants of  $K_A$  to  $K^*$  and  $\pi$ . Taking  $g_T^{'}=0$ , the width of  $K_A$  decay into  $K^*\pi$  comes out to be 67 MeV, which is to be compared with the experimental value  $80 \pm 20$  MeV, which is an overlap of two modes  $K^*\pi$  and  $K_P$ . As the phase-space favours the former mode, our result is consistent with experiment.

\* \* \*

### <u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</u>

The authors are grateful to Professors Abdus Salam and P. Budini and the IAEA for hospitality extended to them at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste. One of us (P. P.S.) acknowledges a fellowship grant from John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation.

#### REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES:

- T. Das, V. S. Mathur and S. Okubo, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>18</u>, 761 (1967); see also J. J. Sakurai, University of Torino preprint (1967).
- 2. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters 18, 507 (1967).
- 3. J. W. Moffat and P. J. O'Donnell, University of Toronto preprint (1967).
- 4. V. De Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Rossetti and G. Furlan, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>21</u>, 576 (1966).
- C. P. Korthals Altes and P. P. Srivastava, ICTP, Trieste, preprint IC/67/ 33 (1967).
- 6. The couplings  $g_{\rho m m}$  and  $g_{\omega \rho m}$  we have used are the same as in ref. 4. The couplings  $g_L$  and  $g_T$  are those of F. J. Gilman and H. Harari, SLAC-PUB-298, Stanford University (1967), namely,  $g_L \left( p_{\mu} \frac{(p \cdot q)q_{\mu}}{q^2} \right) \left( q_{\lambda} \frac{(p \cdot q)p_{\lambda}}{p^2} \right) e_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{\dagger}$  and  $g_T/m_{A_1}$  m  $e^{\lambda \alpha \beta \gamma} e^{\mu \alpha' \beta' \gamma} p_{\alpha} q_{\beta} p_{\alpha'} q_{\beta'} e_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{\dagger}$  where p(q) and  $e(e^{\dagger})$  are the momentum and polarization of  $A_1(p)$ ; the coupling  $f_0A_1$  is  $g_f f_{0\mu \nu} e_{\mu} p_{\nu}$ .
- 7. A. H. Rosenfeld, A. Barbaro-Galtieri, W. J. Podolsky, L. R. Price, M. Roos, P. Soding, W. J. Willis and C. G. Wohl, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>39</u>, 1 (1967).
- 8. In the calculation of  $\prod_{A_1 \to \rho \pi}$  Gillman and Harari have assumed  $m_{\pi} = 0$ , which apparently gives then the correct width. However, the calculation with actual pion mass reduces the width they got by a factor 1/2.

\* \* \*