CBPF-NF-013/83

ON THE CRITICAL POINT OF THE FULLY-ANISOTROPIC QUENCHED BOND-RANDOM POTTS FERROMAGNET IN TRIANGULAR AND HONEYCOMB LATTICES

by

C. Tsallis* and J.V. dos Santos[1]

* Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CBPF/CNPq
Rua Xavier Sigaud, 150
22290 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ - BRAZIL

[1] Departamento de Física
Universidade Federal de Alagoas
Cidade Universitaria
57000 - Maceió, AL, BRAZIL

*[1] Center for Polymer Studies+ and Department of Physics
Boston University, Boston, MA - 02215, U.S.A.

*Guggenheim Fellow

+Supported in part by grants from NSF, ONR and ARO

ON THE CRITICAL POINT OF THE FULLY-ANISOTROPIC QUENCHED BOND-RANDOM POTTS FERROMAGNET IN TRIANGULAR AND HONEYCOMB LATTICES

Constantino TSALLIS*

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas/CNPq
Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, BRAZIL
and
Center for Polymer Studies+ and Department of Physics
Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

Roberto J. V. dos SANTOS

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Alagoas
Cidade Universitária, Maceió, 57000 AL, BRAZIL
and
Center for Polymer Studies+ and Department of Physics
Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

ABSTRACT

On conjectural grounds we present an equation that provides a very good approximation for the critical temperature of the fully-anisotropic homogeneous quenched bond-random q-state Potts ferromagnet in triangular and honeycomb lattices. Almost all the exact particular results presently known for the square, triangular and honeycomb lattices are recovered; the numerical discrepancy is quite small for the few exceptions. Some predictions that we believe to be exact are made explicite as well.

---

*Guggenheim Fellow

+Supported in part by grants from NSF, ONR and ARO.

I. INTRODUCTION

A certain effort is presently being devoted to the study of random models, in particular the quenched bond-random q-state Potts model (characterized by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = -q \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \delta_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j}$ where $\sigma_i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ for all sites) in regular lattices (see Southern and Thorpe 1979, Tsallis 1981a,1983, de Magalhães et al 1982 and references therein; for an excellent review see Wu 1982). The discussion of this class of models being very complex only a few exact facts are known so far. In particular, the exact critical points for the pure model as well as the limiting critical slopes for the bond-dilute model have already been established for some two-dimensional lattices (Baxter et al 1978, Burkhardt and Southern 1978, Hintermann et al 1978, Southern and Thorpe 1979, Tsallis 1982, Wu and Stanley 1982).

In the present paper we are concerned with a very general ferromagnetic model in which we associate arbitrary (and independent) probability laws for the coupling constants along the three crystalline axes of the triangular and honeycomb lattices. We focus on the critical temperatures T_c of these two cases. By following along the conjectural lines of Tsallis 1981 (a quite detailed discussion of the square lattice case) and Tsallis 1983 (a preliminary discussion of the triangular lattice case), we propose relatively simple equations for T_c, which presumably are excellent approximations as they recover a considerable amount of exact particular results.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section II we introduce a convenient formalism and in Sections III and IV we discuss the triangular and honeycomb cases, respectively

II. FORMALISM

In this section we present convenient nomenclature and relations that will be used further on. Let us first introduce (Domb 1974) a bond variable, referred to as <u>thermal transmissivity</u> (Tsallis and Levy 1980,1981 and references therein; see also Yeomans and Stinchcombe 1980), through the definition

$$t \equiv [1 - e^{-qJ/k_BT}]/[1 + (q - 1)e^{-qJ/k_BT}] \in [0,1]. \tag{1}$$

If we consider two bonds with coupling constants J_1 and J_2 we obtain, for the equivalent transmissivity t_s of a series array,

$$t_s = t_1 t_2, \tag{2}$$

and, for the transmissivity t_p of a parallel array,

$$t_p = [t_1 + t_2 + (q - 2)t_1t_2]/[1 + (q - 1)t_1t_2]. \tag{3}$$

The latter can be rewritten in a series-like form as follows

$$t_p^D = t_1^D t_2^D, \tag{4}$$

where

$$t_i^D \equiv (1 - t_i)/[1 + (q - 1)t_i] \qquad (i = 1,2,p), \tag{5}$$

and where D stands for "dual."

If J is a random variable and $P(J)$ the associated distribution law, then the distribution law for t, notated $Q(t)$, is given by

$$Q(t) = \frac{k_B T}{(1-t)[1+(q-1)t]} \; P\left(\frac{k_B T}{q} \, \ell n \, \frac{1+(q-1)t}{1-t}\right) \tag{6}$$

The corresponding law $Q^D(t^D)$ in the t^D-variable is given by

$$Q^D(t^D) = \frac{q}{[1+(q-1)t^D]^2} \; Q\left(\frac{1-t^D}{1+(q-1)t^D}\right) \tag{7}$$

The distribution law $Q_s(t)$ associated with a series array of two bonds with distribution laws $Q_1(t)$ and $Q_2(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s(t) &= \int dt_1 \int dt_2 \; Q_1(t_1) Q(t_2) \delta(t - t_1 t_2) \\ &= \int (dt'/t') \; Q_1(t') Q_2(t/t') = Q_1 \circledS Q_2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

This product (from now on referred to as series-product or s-product) recovers, for $q=1$, that introduced in Tsallis 1981b. Furthermore, it recovers, for $Q_i(t) = \delta(t - t_i)$ $(i=1,2)$, Eq. (2). We can verify that the s-product is closed (i.e., it preserves the norm), commutative, associative, admits neutral element (namely $\delta(t-1)$), but not inverse, i.e., its structure is that of an abelian monoid (semigroup with neutral element); as a matter of fact it is easy to prove (through the transformation $t \equiv e^{-x}$) that it is isomorphic to the convolution product.

If our array is a parallel one the associated law $Q_p(t)$ is given by

$$Q_p(t) = \int dt_1 \int dt_2\, Q_1(t_1)Q_2(t_2)\delta\left[t - \frac{t_1+t_2+(q-2)t_1t_2}{1+(q-1)t_1t_2}\right]$$

$$= \int dt' \frac{1+(q-2)t'-(q-1)t'^2}{[1+(q-2)t'-(q-1)tt']^2}\, Q_1(t')Q_2\left[\frac{t-t'}{1+(q-2)t'-(q-1)tt'}\right] \tag{9}$$

$$\equiv Q_1 \,Ⓟ\, Q_2$$

This product (from now on referred to as parallel-product or p-product) has the same structure as the s-product, the neutral element now being $\delta(t)$; it recovers algorithm (3) and the p-product introduced in Tsallis 1981b as particular cases. It is straightforward to prove that

$$(Q_1 \,Ⓟ\, Q_2)^D = Q_1^D \,Ⓢ\, Q_2^D, \tag{10}$$

thus generalizing Eq. (4) and exhibiting the isomorphism between the s- and p-products.

It is clear that algorithms (8) and (9) allow the calculation of any two-rooted graph (or array) sequentially reductible by series and parallel operations (e.g., that of Fig. 1).

Before closing this section, let us introduce (Tsallis 1981a, Alcaraz and Tsallis 1981, and Tsallis and de Magalhães 1981) another convenient variable through

$$s(t) \equiv \ln[1 + (q - 1)t]/\ln q \in [0,1]. \tag{11}$$

It satisfies the following remarkable property:

$$s^D(t) \equiv s(t^D) = 1 - s(t), \quad (12)$$

i.e., s transforms, under duality, like a probability; this fact plays an important role in the conjecture we shall present later on. Note also that s coincides with t in the limit $q \to 1$. The distribution law $R(s)$ in the s-variable is related to $Q(t)$ through

$$R(s) = [q^s \ln q/(q - 1)]\ Q\ [(q^s - 1)/(q - 1)]. \quad (13)$$

Futhermore, the distribution law associated with s^D is given by

$$R^D(s^D) = R(1 - s^D). \quad (14)$$

III. TRIANGULAR LATTICE

Let us consider a triangular lattice to the bonds of which we associate q-state Potts ferromagnetic interactions. The corresponding coupling constants J along the three crystalline axes are respectively and independently distributed according to the laws $P_k(J)$ $(k=1,2,3)$. Through Eqs. (6) and (13) these laws univocally determine $\{Q_k(t)\}$ and $\{R_k(s)\}$. This quite general model presents a phase transition at a temperature T_c which is still unknown (excepting for some particular cases described later on). Before stating our proposal for this quantity, let us briefly consider the pure case (i.e., $Q_k(t) = \delta\ (t - t_k)$). The transmissivities t_Δ and t_{YD} respectively associated with the three-rooted graphs in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) can be calculated by using the break-collapse method (Tsallis and Levy 1981), and are given by

$$t_\Delta\ (t_1,t_2,t_3) = \frac{t_1t_2+t_2t_3+t_3t_1+(q-3)t_1t_2t_3}{1+(q-1)\ t_1t_2t_3}$$

$$t_{YD}(t_1^D,t_2^D,t_3^D) = t_1^Dt_2^Dt_3^D. \tag{16}$$

It is easy to verify that the equation

$$t_\Delta = t_{YD} \tag{17}$$

provides the exact critical point (Baxter et al 1978, Burkhardt and Southern 1978, Hintermann et al 1978). This is essentially a compact way of performing the standard duality and star-triangle transformations.

Let us now go back to the general case where we replace, in Fig. 2(a), $\{t_k\}$ by $\{Q_k(t)\}$ and, in Fig. 2(b), $\{t_k^D\}$ by $\{Q_k^D(t_k^D)\}$. The distributions $Q_\Delta(t)$ and $Q_{YD}(t^D)$ respectively associated with the triangle and star graphs are given by

$$Q_\Delta(t) = \iiint [\prod_{k=1}^{3} dt_k Q_k(t_k)]\ \delta[t - t_\Delta(t_1,t_2,t_3)], \tag{18}$$

and

$$Q_{YD}(t^D) = \iiint [\prod_{k=1}^{3} dt_k^D Q_k^D(t_k^D)]\ \delta\ [t^D - t_{YD}(t_1^D,t_2^D,t_3^D)]. \tag{19}$$

These distributions univocally determine, through use of definitions (1) and (11) and inversion of Eqs. (6) and (13), $P_\Delta(J)$, $P_{YD}(J^D)$, $R_\Delta(s)$ and $R_{YD}(s^D)$ [J^D and t^D satisfy Eq. (1)]. Note that (a) Q_{YD} is in general different from $(Q_Y)^D$

where Q_Y is obtained by associating, with the star graph, $\{Q_k\}$ instead of $\{Q_k^D\}$; (b) $Q_{YD} = Q_1^D \circledS Q_2^D \circledS Q_3^D$; (c) the particular case $Q_3(t) = \delta(t)$ (square lattice) leads to $Q_\Delta = Q_1 \circledS Q_2$ and $Q_{YD} = Q_1^D \circledS Q_2^D = (Q_1 \textcircled{P} Q_2)^D$; (d) the particular case $Q_3(t) = \delta(t-1)$ leads to $Q_\Delta = Q_1 \textcircled{P} Q_2 = (Q_1^D \circledS Q_2^D)^D$ and $Q_{YD}(t^D) = \delta(t^D)$.

By conjecturally extending Eq. (17) we propose, for the critical temperature T_c of the general model, the equation

$$\langle s\rangle_\Delta = \langle s\rangle_{YD}, \tag{20}$$

where

$$\langle s\rangle_\Delta \equiv \int_0^1 ds\; s\, R_\Delta(s) = \int_0^1 dt\, \{\ln[1+(q-1)t]/\ln q\}\, Q_\Delta(t)$$

$$= 1 - \int_0^\infty dJ\, \{\ln[1+(q-1)e^{-qJ/k_BT_c}]/\ln q\}\, P_\Delta(J), \tag{21}$$

and

$$\langle s\rangle_{YD} \equiv \int_0^1 ds^D s^D R_{YD}(s^D) = \int_0^1 dt^D\, \{\ln[1+(q-1)t^D/\ln q\}\, Q_{YD}(t^D)$$

$$= 1 - \int_0^\infty dJ^D\, \{\ln[1+(q-1)e^{-qJ^D/k_BT_c}]/\ln q\}\, P_{YD}(J^D). \tag{22}$$

We shall exhibit that Eq. (20) recovers almost all the <u>exact</u> particular results presently known; it fails however with respect to the pure Potts limiting slope for the bond-dilute model. The <u>exact</u> asymptotic behavior in the pure percolation limit of the bond-dilute model is recovered, and this is so because, in Eq. (20), we have averaged the s-variable (instead of t, for instance); see Levy et al 1980, Tsallis 1981(a), de Magalhães et al 1982.

Let us first consider the $q \to 1$ limit (hence $s=t$): we verify that Eq. (20) leads to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} \langle t \rangle_{Q_k} - \prod_{k=1}^{3} \langle t \rangle_{Q_k} - 1 = 0, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\langle t \rangle_{Q_k} = \int_0^1 dt_k t_k Q_k(t_k) \qquad (k=1,2,3). \tag{24}$$

Consequently Eq. (20) satisfies the Kasteleyn and Fortuin 1969 theorem [the $q \to 1$ Potts ferromagnet is isomorphic to bond percolation with $t_k = 1 - e^{-J_k/k_BT}$ (see Eq. (1))] as Eq. (23) precisely reproduces the form of the bond percolation critical exact equation (Sykes and Essam 1963)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} p_k - \prod_{k=1}^{3} p_k - 1 = 0. \tag{25}$$

For the particular case $Q_k(t) = \delta(t - t_k)$ $(k=1,2,3)$, Eq. (20) clearly leads, for all q, to the exact Eq. (17). Furthermore we consider the following generalized bond-dilute model:

$$P_k(J) = (1 - p_k)\delta(J) + p_k \bar{P}_k(J) \qquad (k=1,2,3), \tag{26}$$

where the laws $\bar{P}_k(J)$ satisfy, besides the norm condition

$$\int_0^\infty dJ\bar{P}_k(J) = 1, \qquad (27)$$

the restriction

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^\varepsilon dJ\bar{P}_k(J) = 0 \qquad (28)$$

(i.e., $\bar{P}_k(J)$ does not grow, in the limit $J \to 0$, as $1/J$ or faster). It is clear that this model must lead in the limit $T_c \to 0$ and for all q, to the bond percolation Eq. (25). This is precisely what Eq. (20) provides, the asymptotic behavior being

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} p_k - \prod_{k=1}^{3} p_k - 1$$

$$\sim \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \sum_{i\neq j\neq k} (1 - p_i p_j) p_k \int_0^\infty dJ_k \bar{P}_k(J_k) e^{-qJ_k/k_B T_c}. \qquad (29)$$

For the particular isotropic case $p_k = p$ and $\bar{P}_k(J_k) = \delta(J_k - J)$, $\forall k$, this equation provides

$$de^{-qJ/k_B T_c(p)}/dp \Big|_{p=p_c} = \ln q / p_c(q-1), \qquad (30)$$

where p_c denotes the bond percolation critical probability. Eq. (30) is known to be exact (Southern and Thorpe 1979). As a matter of fact we believe that Eq. (29) is exact for the generalized bond-dilute model (see Tsallis 1981a for a similar situation in the square lattice). A different situation is found at the opposite limit (maximum T_c, hence $p_k \to 1, \forall k$) of the model determined by Eq. (26). In the case $\bar{P}_k(J) = \delta(J - J_k)$ the limiting T_c is exact, but its asymptotic behavior is <u>wrong</u> for all $q \neq 1$, as can be exhibited for the particular isotropic case mentioned above. The error is however very small for $1 < q \leq 4$ (we recall that for $q > 4$ the transition is a first order one (Baxter 1973)). Eq. (20) provides

$$[1/T_c(1)]\,[dT_c(p)/dp]\,\Big|_{p=1} = \begin{cases} 1.2472\ldots & (1.2472\ldots\ ; \quad 0\% \text{ error) for } q=1 \\ 1.1925\ldots & (1.1877\ldots\ ; \quad 0.40\% \text{ error) for } q=2 \\ 1.1634\ldots & (1.1506\ldots\ , \quad 1.11\% \text{ error) for } q=3 \\ 1.1447\ldots & (1.1246\ldots\ ; \quad 1.79\% \text{ error) for } q=4 \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

where, between parenthesis, we have indicated the exact results (Southern and Thorpe 1979) as well as the discrepancies.

These discrepancies being quite small, we can consider Eq. (20) to be an approximation for T_c good enough for a great variety of purposes. In particular it leads, for the isotropic bond-dilute model, to

$$3p \ln[1 + (q - 1)t] - p^3 \ln[1 + (q - 1)t^3] = \ln q, \tag{32}$$

which, for $q=2$, recovers the renormalization group result presented in de Magalhães et al 1982 (Eq. (11) therein); let us stress that Eq. (32) is exact in <u>both</u> critical point <u>and</u> derivative in the $p \to p_c$ limit but <u>only</u> in the critical point in the $p \to 1$ limit.

Before concluding this section, let us mention that Eq. (20) generalizes the Tsallis 1981a proposal for the square lattice. Indeed if we consider the particular case $P_3(J) = \delta(J)$ Eq. (20) can be rewritten as

$$\langle s \rangle_{P_1 \circledS P_2} = \langle s \rangle_{P_2^D \circledS P_1^D} , \tag{33}$$

hence,

$$\langle s \rangle_{P_1} = \langle s \rangle_{P_2^D} , \tag{34}$$

hence,

$$\langle s \rangle_{P_1} + \langle s \rangle_{P_2} = 1, \tag{35}$$

which precisely is Eq. (13) in Tsallis 1981(a). It is worthwhile to recall that, with respect to T_c, Eq. (35) exactly satisfies (a) the Kasteleyn and Fortuin 1969 theorem in the limit $q \to 1$, (b) the equal probability model (see Fisch 1978), (c) the bond-dilute model in the $T_c \to 0$ limit (both the limit and the asymptotic behavior), (d) the bond-dilute model in the pure Potts limit (only the limit; it slightly fails in the asymptotic behavior for $q \neq 1$).

IV. HONEYCOMB LATTICE

The honeycomb lattice being the dual of the triangular lattice, this section closely follows the preceeding one. Now the laws $P_k(J)$ $(k=1,2,3)$ are to be associated with the three crystalline directions of a honeycomb lattice. The transmissivities t_Y and $t_{\Delta D}$ respectively corresponding to Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) are given by

$$t_Y(t_1,t_2,t_3) = t_1 t_2 t_3 \tag{36}$$

$$t_{\Delta D}(t_1^D,t_2^D,t_3^D) = \frac{t_1^D t_2^D + t_2^D t_3^D + t_3^D t_1^D + (q-3)t_1^D t_2^D t_3^D}{1+(q-1)t_1^D t_2^D t_3^D} \quad (37)$$

It is easy to verify that the pure Potts model $[P_k(J) = \delta\,(J - J_k);\forall k]$ exact critical point (Baxter et al 1978, Burkhardt and Southern 1978, Hintermann et al 1978) is now provided by the equation

$$t_Y = t_{\Delta D}. \quad (38)$$

For general laws $\{P_k(J)\}$, Eqs. (36) and (37) are respectively extended into

$$Q_Y(t) = \int\int\int [\prod_{k=1}^{3} dt_k Q_k(t_k)] \;\; \delta\,[t - t_Y(t_1,t_2,t_3)] \quad (39)$$

$$Q_{\Delta D}(t^D) = \int\int\int [\prod_{k=1}^{3} dt_k^D Q_k^D(t_k^D)] \;\; \delta\;[t - t_{\Delta D}(t_1^D,t_2^D,t_3^D)]. \quad (40)$$

Note that (a) $Q_Y = Q_1 \circledS Q_2 \circledS Q_3$; (b) the particular case $Q_3(t) = \delta\,(t-1)$ (square lattice) leads to $Q_Y = Q_1 \circledS Q_2$ and $Q_{\Delta D} = Q_1^D \circledS Q_2^D$; (c) the particular case $Q_3(t) = \delta\,(t)$ leads to $Q_Y = \delta\,(t)$ and $Q_{\Delta D} = Q_1^D \textcircled{P} Q_2^D = (Q_1 \circledS Q_2)^D$.

The proposal for T_c will now be

$$\langle s\rangle_Y = \langle s\rangle_{\Delta D}, \quad (41)$$

where

$$\langle s\rangle_Y \equiv \int_0^1 ds\ s\ R_Y(s) = \int_0^1 dt\ \{\ln[1 + (q-1)t]/\ln q\}\ Q_Y(t)$$

$$= 1 - \int_0^\infty dJ\ \{\ln[1 + (q-1)e^{-qJ/k_BT_c}]/\ln q\}\ P_Y(J), \tag{42}$$

and

$$\langle s\rangle_{\Delta D} \equiv \int_0^1 ds^D s^D R_{\Delta D}(s^D) = \int_0^1 dt^D\ \{\ln[1 + (q-1)t^D]/\ln q\}\ Q_{\Delta D}(t^D)$$

$$= 1 - \int_0^\infty dJ^D\ \{\ln[1 + (q-1)e^{-qJ^D/k_BT_c}]/\ln q\}\ P_{\Delta D}(J^D) \tag{43}$$

(the definitions of the quantities R_Y, P_Y, $R_{\Delta D}$ and $P_{\Delta D}$ are self explanatory within the adopted notation).

As for the triangular lattice case, Eq. (41) recovers almost all the exact particular results presently known; it fails however with respect to the pure Potts limiting slope for the bond-dilute model. The $q \to 1$ limit provides

$$\sum_{i<j} \langle t\rangle_{Q_i} \langle t\rangle_{Q_j} - \prod_{k=1}^{3} \langle t\rangle_{Q_k} - 1 = 0, \tag{44}$$

which precisely reproduces the form of the bond percolation critical exact equation (Sykes and Essam 1963),

$$\sum_{i<j} p_i p_j - \prod_{k=1}^{3} p_k - 1 = 0, \tag{45}$$

and therefore the Kasteleyn and Fortuin 1969 theorem is satisfied.

If we consider the model characterized by Eq. (26), Eq. (41) leads, in the

$T_c \to 0$ limit, to

$$\sum_{i<j} p_i p_j - \prod_{k=1}^{3} p_k - 1$$

$$\sim [(q-1)/\ln q] \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} (p_i + p_j - p_i p_j) p_k \int_0^\infty dJ_k \bar{P}_k(J_k) e^{-qJ_k/k_B T_c} \quad (46)$$

For the particular isotropic case $p_k = p$ and $\bar{P}_k(J_k) = \delta(J_k - J)$ ($\forall k$), this equation provides the exact result (Southern and Thorpe 1979), namely Eq. (30), p_c now being the critical probability corresponding to the honeycomb lattice. As for the triangular lattice case, we believe that Eq. (46) is exact for the generalized bond-dilute model. This is not so for the opposite limit (maximum T_c hence $p_k \to 1, \forall k$) of this model. In particular for the case $\bar{P}_k(J) = \delta(J - J_k)$ the limiting T_c is exact, but not the asymptotic behavior for $q \neq 1$. For the particular isotropic case we obtain, from Eq. (41),

$$[1/T_c(1)]\,[dT_c(p)/dp]\,\Big|_{p=1} = \begin{cases} 1.7770\ldots & (1.7770\ldots\,; \quad 0\% \text{ error) for } q=1 \\ 1.5998\ldots & (1.5782\ldots\,; \quad 1.37\% \text{ error) for } q=2 \\ 1.5142\ldots & (1.4659\ldots\,; \quad 3.30\% \text{ error) for } q=3 \\ 1.4609\ldots & (1.3863\ldots\,; \quad 5.39\% \text{ error) for } q=4 \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

where, between parentheses, we have indicated the exact results (Southern and Thorpe 1979) as well as the discrepancies. It is straightforward to obtain, from Eq. (41), the whole critical line:

$$3p^2(1-p)\ln[1 + (q-1)t^2] + p^3 \ln[1 + 3(q-1)t^2 + (q-1)(q-2)t^3] = \ln q. \quad (48)$$

This equation recovers, for $q=2$, the renormalization group result presented in de Magalhães et al 1982 (Eq. (14) therein); let us stress that Eq. (48) is exact in both critical point and derivative in the $p \to p_c$ limit, but only in the critical point in the $p \to 1$ limit.

The square lattice result (Eq. (35)) can be reobtained by taking $Q_3(t) = \delta(t-1)$ in Eq. (41).

V. CONCLUSION

The fully-anisotropic homogeneous quenched bond-random q-state Potts ferromagnet is a fairly general model, and its critical temperature T_c is unknown for all lattices with dimensionality higher than one. However a certain amount of particular exact results are already available for some lattices such as the triangular and honeycomb ones. Following along the conjectural lines of Tsallis 1981(a) we propose equations for T_c (Eq. (20) for the triangular lattice and Eq. (41) for the honeycomb; both equations contain the Tsallis 1981(a) proposal for the square lattice as particular case) which are believed to provide numerically excellent approximations (at least for $1 < q \leq 4$; they are exact for $q=1$). They both satisfy the Kasteleyn and Fortuin 1969 theorem, which is herein expressed in a quite general form (the $q \to 1$ limit of the quenched bond-random Potts ferromagnet is isomorphic to bond percolation). They both recover the exact T_c for the anisotropic (arbitrary non-negative J_1, J_2 and J_3) pure Potts model and the exact percolation critical surface (in the $p_1 - p_2 - p_3$ space) in the $T_c \to 0$ limit of a generalized bond-dilute model (characterized by Eq. (26)). Furthermore, they provide new particular assymptotic behaviors (Eq. (29) for the triangular lattice, and Eq. (46) for the honeycomb one), which are possibly exact. Finally, for the standard isotropic bond-dilute model, they provide simple analytical equations (Eq. (32) for the triangular lattice and Eq. (48) for the honeycomb one), which although not exact (in the $p \to p_c$ limit both the critical point and asymptotic behavior are exact, but in the $p \to 1$ limit only the critical point is exact, the corresponding asymptotic behavior presenting a numerically small failure), can be useful for several purposes as long as the exact equations remain unknown; the biggest estimated error (in the t-variable) they introduce presumably occurs midway between $p = p_c$ and $p=1$ and increases from 0% for $q=1$ to about 1% for the triangular lattice (0.5% for the honeycomb lattice) for $q=4$.

It is with pleasure that we acknowledge the hospitality of Professors H. E. Stanley, W. Klein, S. Redner and other members of the Center for Polymer Studies at Boston University during our stay at that institution. One of us (C.T.) also acknowledges related and useful conversations with F. Y. Wu and I. Syozi. R.J.V.S. gratefully acknowledges the partial support he has received from CAPES/Brazil and OEA.

REFERENCES

Alcaraz FC and Tsallis C 1982 J Phys A: Math and Gen 15 587

Baxter RJ, 1973, J. Phys. C 6, L445

Baxter RJ, Temperley HNV and Ashley SE 1978 Proc Royal Soc London A 358 535

Burkhardt TW and Southern BW 1978 J Phys A: Math and Gen 11 L247

Domb C 1974 J Phys A: Math and Gen 7 1335

Fisch R 1978 J Statist Phys 18 111

Hintermann A, Kunz H and Wu FY 1978 J Statist Phys 19 623

Kasteleyn PW and Fortuin CM 1969 J Phys Soc Japan (Suppl) 26 11

de Magalhães ACN, Schwachheim G and Tsallis C 1982 J Phys C: Sol State Phys 15 6791

CAPTIONS FOR FIGURES

Fig. 1 - Two-rooted graph. o denotes the roots or terminal nodes; • denotes the internal nodes.

Fig. 2 - Three-rooted graphs. The t's are the associated transmissivities (D stands for "dual"; see Eq. (5)). The pair a-b (c-d) is the relevant one for the triangular (honeycomb) lattice.



FIG.1



$t_\Delta \equiv$
t_1
t_2
t_3
(a)
$t_{YD} \equiv$
t_3^D
t_2^D
t_1^D
(b)
$t_Y \equiv$
t_3
t_2
t_1
(c)
$t_{\Delta D} \equiv$
t_1^D
t_2^D
t_3^D
(d)

FIG.2

Southern BW and Thorpe MF 1979 J Phys C: Sol State Phys 12 5351

Sykes MF and Essam JW 1963 Phys Rev Lett 10 3

Tsallis C 1981 (a) J Phys C: Sol State Phys 14 L85
(b) Kinam (Mexico) 3 79

Tsallis C and Levy SVF 1981 Phys Rev Lett 47 950

Tsallis C and de Magalhães ACN 1981 J Physique/Lettres 42 L227

Tsallis C 1982 J Phys C: Sol State Phys 15 L757

Tsallis C 1983 J Magn Magn Mat 31-34

Yeomans JM and Stinchcombe RB 1980 J Phys C: Sol State Phys 13 L239

Wu FY 1982 Rev Mod Phys 54 235

Wu FY and Stanley HE 1982 Phys Rev B 26 6326

ON THE CRITICAL POINT OF THE FULLY-ANISOTROPIC QUENCHED BOND-RANDOM POTTS FERROMAGNET IN TRIANGULAR AND HONEYCOMB LATTICES

Constantino TSALLIS*

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas/CNPq
Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, BRAZIL
and
Center for Polymer Studies+ and Department of Physics
Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

Roberto J. V. dos SANTOS

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Alagoas
Cidade Universitária, Maceió, 57000 AL, BRAZIL
and
Center for Polymer Studies+ and Department of Physics
Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

ABSTRACT

On conjectural grounds we present an equation that provides a very good approximation for the critical temperature of the fully-anisotropic homogeneous quenched bond-random q-state Potts ferromagnet in triangular and honeycomb lattices. Almost all the exact particular results presently known for the square, triangular and honeycomb lattices are recovered; the numerical discrepancy is quite small for the few exceptions. Some predictions that we believe to be exact are made explicite as well.

---

*Guggenheim Fellow

+Supported in part by grants from NSF, ONR and ARO.

I. INTRODUCTION

A certain effort is presently being devoted to the study of random models, in particular the quenched bond-random q-state Potts model (characterized by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = -q \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \delta_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j}$ where $\sigma_i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ for all sites) in regular lattices (see Southern and Thorpe 1979, Tsallis 1981a,1983, de Magalhães et al 1982 and references therein; for an excellent review see Wu 1982). The discussion of this class of models being very complex only a few exact facts are known so far. In particular, the exact critical points for the pure model as well as the limiting critical slopes for the bond-dilute model have already been established for some two-dimensional lattices (Baxter et al 1978, Burkhardt and Southern 1978, Hintermann et al 1978, Southern and Thorpe 1979, Tsallis 1982, Wu and Stanley 1982).

In the present paper we are concerned with a very general ferromagnetic model in which we associate arbitrary (and independent) probability laws for the coupling constants along the three crystalline axes of the triangular and honeycomb lattices. We focus on the critical temperatures T_c of these two cases. By following along the conjectural lines of Tsallis 1981 (a quite detailed discussion of the square lattice case) and Tsallis 1983 (a preliminary discussion of the triangular lattice case), we propose relatively simple equations for T_c, which presumably are excellent approximations as they recover a considerable amount of exact particular results.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section II we introduce a convenient formalism and in Sections III and IV we discuss the triangular and honeycomb cases, respectively

II. FORMALISM