

Second Hopf map and Yang-Coulomb system on $5d$ (pseudo)sphere

Stefano Bellucci¹, Francesco Toppan² and Vahagn Yeghikyan³

¹*INFN-Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Via E. Fermi 40, 00044 Frascati, Italy*

²*CBPF, Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, cep 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil*

³*Yerevan State University, A. Manoogian St., 1, Yerevan, 0025, Armenia*

Abstract

Using the second Hopf map, we perform the reduction of the eight-dimensional (pseudo)spherical (Higgs)oscillator to a five-dimensional system interacting with a Yang monopole. Then, using a standard trick, we obtain, from the latter system, the pseudospherical and spherical generalizations of the Yang-Coulomb system (the five dimensional analog of MICZ-Kepler system). We present the whole set of its constants of motions, including the hidden symmetry generators given by the analog of Runge-Lenz vector. In the same way, starting from the eight-dimensional anisotropic inharmonic Higgs oscillator, we construct the integrable (pseudo)spherical generalization of the Yang-Coulomb system with the Stark term.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that in some cases the hidden symmetries of the oscillator and the Coulomb system can be related. More precisely, both in classical and quantum cases, the transformation $r = R^2$ converts the $(p+1)$ -dimensional radial Coulomb problem to a $2p$ -dimensional radial oscillator (r and R denote the radial coordinates of Coulomb and oscillator systems, respectively). The angular parts of these systems, which are $(2p-1)$ - and p -dimensional spheres, can be related with each other in the distinguished cases $p = 1, 2, 4, 8$, due to the existence of the Hopf maps $S^{2p-1}/S^p = S^{p-1}$ for $p = 1, 2, 4, 8$ (see the review [1] and refs therein). Hence, in these cases, one can establish a complete correspondence between the Coulomb and the oscillator systems. For the first three cases this correspondence has been established many years ago. The corresponding transformations are known in literature as Bohlin (or Levi-Civita) [2], Kustaanheimo-Stiefel [3] and Hurwitz [4] transformations. These transformations imply the reduction of the oscillator system by an action of the group Z_2 , $U(1)$, $SU(2)$ and yield, indeed, to systems which are more general than the Coulomb one: these systems inherit the Coulomb symmetry but are specified by the presence of a monopole. In the case of the Z_2 group ($p = 1$) it is the two-dimensional Coulomb problem with spin $1/2$ anyon (magnetic flux) [5]; in the case of the $U(1)$ group ($p = 2$) it is the so-called MICZ-Kepler system, the generalization of the three-dimensional Coulomb system in presence of a Dirac monopole [6]; in the case of the $SU(2)$ group ($p = 4$) it is the so-called Yang-Coulomb (or $SU(2)$ -Kepler) system, the generalization of the five-dimensional Coulomb system in presence of a Yang monopole [7].

On the other hand, the oscillator and Coulomb systems admit generalizations to a d -dimensional sphere and a two-sheet hyperboloid (pseudosphere) with a radius R_0 given by the potentials [8, 9]

$$V_{osc} = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \frac{\mathbf{x}^2}{x_{d+1}^2}, \quad V_C = -\frac{\gamma}{|\mathbf{x}|} \frac{x_{d+1}}{x_{d+1}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbf{x}, x_{d+1} are the (pseudo)Euclidean coordinates of the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}(\mathbb{R}^{d,1})$: $\epsilon \mathbf{x}^2 + x_{d+1}^2 = R_0^2$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Here $\epsilon = +1$ corresponds to the sphere and $\epsilon = -1$ corresponds to the pseudosphere. These systems possess nonlinear hidden symmetries providing them with properties similar to those of the conventional oscillator and Coulomb systems. Detailed considerations of these systems can be found in [10] and refs therein. The relation between these systems has been established in [11] for the cases $p = 1, 2$ only, though it was clear from the considerations there, that it could be straightforwardly extended to the higher dimensional case $p = 4$. Let us notice that both the oscillators on the sphere and pseudosphere result, upon the mentioned reduction, in Coulomb-like systems on the *pseudosphere*. For example, the $p = 2$ case yields a system with Coulomb symmetry which can be identified with the pseudospherical MICZ-Kepler system. Then, after an obvious ‘‘Wick rotation’’ it can be mapped in the spherical MICZ-Kepler system suggested in [12]. Moreover, in this way, starting from the appropriate anisotropic inharmonic four-dimensional (pseudo)spherical Higgs oscillator, one can obtain the integrable (pseudo)spherical generalization with the Stark term [13]. Hence, the

extension of the (pseudo)spherical oscillator-Coulomb correspondence to the $p = 4$ case is an important task: it should give us the integrable generalizations of the five-dimensional Yang-Coulomb system, including the systems with Stark term. The solution of this task is the purpose of our paper. We shall use the procedure of the Lagrangian $SU(2)$ -reduction of eight-dimensional system considered in our recent paper [14] in the context of supersymmetric mechanics. We will restrict ourself to classical considerations only. The (pseudo)spherical Yang-Coulomb system, obtained in the present paper, besides the presence of a Yang monopole, is specified by the presence of a specific centrifugal term

$$\Delta U = \frac{s^2}{2g(r)r^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $g(r)dx^\mu dx^\mu$ is the conformal invariant metric on the (pseudo)sphere and s^2 is the square of the isospin of the system. Upon quantization it should be replaced by $\hbar^2 s(s+1)$, $s = 0, \pm 1/2, \pm 1, \dots$. In [15] it was demonstrated that the five-dimensional rotationally invariant system of the Yang monopole, supplied by the addition of the above potential, preserves the analytic form of the energy spectrum. The only change in the spectrum of the system is the range of validity of the orbital quantum number. Its lower bound shifts from zero to $|s|$. Hence, one can immediately write down the spectrum of the (pseudo)spherical Yang-Coulomb system, taking into account general statements. But why we are so sure that the quantum mechanical reduction of the eight-dimensional Higgs oscillator to the (pseudo)spherical Yang-Coulomb system should lead to a system with such spectrum: in general, reduction and quantization are not commuting procedures. We refer to the second reference in [11], where the consistency of the quantum mechanical spectrum of the eight-dimensional Higgs oscillator and pseudospherical Yang-Coulomb system has been demonstrated. Hence, for the unperturbed quantum-mechanical systems everything works finely. With the quantum mechanics of the (pseudo)spherical Yang-Coulomb systems with Stark term the situation is more complicated. In contrast with the spherically symmetric systems, the impact of the monopole is less trivial. Even in the three-dimensional planar case, the presence of a (Dirac) monopole essentially changes the spectrum of the MICZ-Kepler system with Stark term (and of its modification) obtained within perturbation theory[16]. Similar calculations for the (pseudo)spherical case yield to technical complications, which we hope to address in future studies.

The paper is arranged as follows:

In the Second Section we present the explicit description of the second Hopf map in terms needed for our purposes and employ it to reduce the eight-dimensional bosonic system to a lower dimensional system with $SU(2)$ monopole.

In the Third Section we apply the previous construction to the oscillator on eight-dimensional (pseudo)sphere and get, from the reduced system, the five-dimensional (pseudo)spherical generalization of Yang-Coulomb system. In a similar way we get the five-dimensional (pseudo)spherical generalization of Yang-Coulomb system with Stark term.

2 $SU(2)$ reduction and second Hopf map

For the description of the second Hopf map $S^7/S^3 = S^4$ we first introduce five 8×8 matrices Γ^μ

$$\{\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu\} = 2\delta^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}_8 \quad (2.1)$$

with the following relations:

$$\Gamma^1 = \tau_A \otimes \tau_1 \otimes \tau_A, \quad \Gamma^2 = \tau_A \otimes \tau_2 \otimes \tau_A, \quad \Gamma^3 = \tau_A \otimes \tau_A \otimes \mathbf{1}_2, \quad \Gamma^4 = \tau_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2, \quad \Gamma^5 = \tau_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\tau_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{1}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\{A, B\}$ denotes the anticommutator. For our purposes we have also to introduce three 8×8 antisymmetric matrices Σ_a :

$$\Sigma^1 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \tau_A \otimes \tau_1, \quad \Sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \tau_A \otimes \tau_2, \quad \Sigma^3 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \tau_A. \quad (2.4)$$

which commute with all matrices Γ_μ , anticommute with each other and satisfy the $su(2)$ algebra relations:

$$[\Gamma^\mu, \Sigma^i] = 0, \quad \{\Sigma^i, \Sigma^j\} = -2\delta^{ij} \mathbf{1}_8, \quad [\Sigma^i, \Sigma^j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} \Sigma^k \quad (2.5)$$

Let us now have an 8 dimensional conformal flat space with metric g , parametrized by 8 coordinates u_A . We also consider 5 functions x_μ , which are connected with u_i by the following relations

$$x^\mu = u\Gamma^\mu u, \quad (2.6)$$

where u is an 8 dimensional column vector with elements u_A .

One can notice that the transformation

$$u \rightarrow (\lambda_0 \mathbf{1}_8 + \lambda_i \Sigma_i) u, \quad \lambda_0^2 + \sum \lambda_i^2 = 1 \quad (2.7)$$

leaves invariant the x_μ quantities. Therefore, the fibration 2.6 identifies all points which differ by the transformation 2.7. It can be checked explicitly that

$$x_\mu x_\mu \equiv r^2 = (u_A u_A)^2 \equiv R^4. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, defining the seven- dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^8 of radius R : $\mathbf{u}_\alpha \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\alpha = R^2$, we get a 4-dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^5 with radius $r = R^2$, i.e. we obtain the second Hopf map. Taking into account the relation 2.8 and the fact that the second relation in 2.7 defines the S^3 sphere, one can conclude that the second Hopf map is a fibration of the sphere S^7 over S^3 :

$$S^7/S^3 = S^4$$

In order to invert expressions let us introduce 3 additional coordinates:

$$z = \frac{u_7 - \mathbf{i}u_8}{u_5 - \mathbf{i}u_6}, \quad \bar{z} = \frac{u_7 + \mathbf{i}u_8}{u_5 + \mathbf{i}u_6}, \quad \gamma = \arctan \frac{u_5}{u_6} \quad (2.9)$$

It is easy to see that the coordinates z, \bar{z}, γ parametrize the sphere $x_\mu = \text{const.}$

The matrices Σ^i define a set of vector-fields on S^3 that form the $su(2)$ algebra:

$$\mathbf{U}_i = u_A \Sigma_{AB}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_B}. \quad (2.10)$$

In terms of the new coordinates these vector-fields can be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{U}_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}, \quad \mathbf{U}_2 + \mathbf{i}\mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{U}_+ = \frac{e^{-2\imath\gamma}}{4} \left((1+z\bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\imath z}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right), \quad \mathbf{U}_- = \bar{\mathbf{U}}_+ : \quad (2.11)$$

The one-forms dual to this set of vector-fields look as follows:

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_3 = 2d\gamma + \mathbf{i} \frac{zd\bar{z} - \bar{z}dz}{1+z\bar{z}}, \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_+ = \tilde{\Lambda}_2 + \mathbf{i}\tilde{\Lambda}_1 = 2 \frac{e^{2\imath\gamma} d\bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_3(\mathbf{U}_3) = \tilde{\Lambda}_\pm(\mathbf{U}_\pm) = 1, \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_\pm(\mathbf{U}_\mp) = \tilde{\Lambda}_\pm(\mathbf{U}_3) = \tilde{\Lambda}_3(\mathbf{U}_\pm) = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

We shall need also another $SU(2)$ group elements parameterizing the sphere S^3 and commuting with (2.11):

$$\mathbf{V}_3 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} + \imath \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \right), \quad \mathbf{V}_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + z^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \imath \frac{z}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right), \quad \mathbf{V}_- = \bar{\mathbf{V}}_+ : \quad (2.14)$$

The one-forms dual to these vector-fields look as follows:

$$\Lambda_3 = 2h_3 d\gamma + \imath \frac{\bar{z}dz - zd\bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}}, \quad \Lambda_+ = \Lambda_2 + \mathbf{i}\Lambda_1 = 2\imath \mathbf{h}_+ d\gamma + 2 \frac{d\bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\Lambda_3(\mathbf{V}_3) = \Lambda_\pm(\mathbf{V}_\pm) = 1, \quad \Lambda_\pm(\mathbf{V}_\mp) = \Lambda_\pm(\mathbf{V}_3) = \Lambda_3(\mathbf{V}_\pm) = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

here h_3, \mathbf{h}_\pm are the Cartesian coordinates of the ambient space \mathbb{R}^3 , defining the Killing potentials of S^2

$$\mathbf{h}_+ = \frac{2z}{1+\bar{z}z}, \quad h_{p+1} = \frac{1-\bar{z}z}{1+\bar{z}z}. \quad (2.17)$$

It can be checked directly that the vector fields U and V commute with each other. Precisely, this pair forms the the $so(4) = s(3) \times so(3)$ algebra of isometries of the S^3 sphere.

$$[\mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{V}_j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{V}_k, \quad [\mathbf{U}_i, \mathbf{U}_j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{U}_k, \quad [\mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{U}_j] = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Let us consider now the particle on the 8-dimensional space equipped with the $SU(2)$ -invariant conformal flat metric moving in a specific potential that depends only on the coordinates x_μ . In the new parametrization the Lagrangian of that particle has the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}_8 = g(x) \dot{u}_A \dot{u}_A + U(x) = g(x) \dot{r}_\mu \dot{r}_\mu + \frac{gr}{2} \Lambda_i A_i - \frac{gr}{4} \Lambda_i \Lambda_i + U(x), \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$r_\kappa = \frac{x_\kappa}{\sqrt{2(r+x_5)}}, \quad \kappa \leq 4, \quad \text{and} \quad r_5 = \sqrt{\frac{r+x_5}{2}}$$

Here and further Λ_i is defined by (2.15), where the differentials are replaced by the respective time derivatives, while

$$A_i = A_\beta^i \dot{x}_\beta = \frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta}^i x_\alpha \dot{x}_\beta}{r(r+x_5)}, \quad \eta_{\alpha\beta}^i = \delta_{i\alpha} \delta_{4\beta} - \delta_{4\alpha} \delta_{i\beta} + \varepsilon_{4i\alpha\beta}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (2.20)$$

η_{bc}^i is t'Hooft symbol.

It can be seen that A_a defines the potential of the the $SU(2)$ Yang monopole.

By the use of the Noether constants of motion we can decrease the dimensionality of the system. Due to the non-Abelian nature of $SU(2)$ group the system will be reduced to a $(5+1)$ -dimensional one.

For the correct reduction procedure we have to replace the initial Lagrangian by a variationally equivalent one, extending the initial configuration space by the new variables $\pi, \bar{\pi}, p_\gamma$ which play the role of momenta conjugated to z, \bar{z}, γ . In other words, we will replace the sphere S^3 (parameterized by z, \bar{z}, γ), by its cotangent bundle T^*S^3 parameterized by coordinate $z, \bar{z}, \gamma, \pi, \bar{\pi}, p_\gamma$. Let us define, on T^*S^3 , the Poisson brackets given by the relations

$$\{\pi, z\} = 1, \quad \{\bar{\pi}, \bar{z}\} = 1, \quad \{p_\gamma, \gamma\} = 1. \quad (2.21)$$

We introduce the Hamiltonian generators P_a corresponding to the vector fields (2.14) (replacing the derivatives in vector fields \mathbf{V}_a by corresponding momenta)

$$P_+ = \frac{P_2 - \imath P_1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi + \bar{z}^2 \bar{\pi} - \frac{\imath \bar{z}}{2} p_\gamma \right), \quad P_- = \bar{P}_-, \quad P_3 = \frac{p_\gamma}{2} + \imath (z\pi - \bar{z}\bar{\pi}). \quad (2.22)$$

In the same way we introduce the Hamiltonian generators I_a corresponding to the vector fields (2.11):

$$I_3 = -\frac{p_\gamma}{2}, \quad I_+ = \frac{I_2 - \imath I_1}{2} = \frac{\imath p_\gamma z + 2\bar{\pi}(1 + z\bar{z})}{4} e^{-2\imath\gamma}, \quad I_- = \bar{I}_+ \quad (2.23)$$

These quantities define, with respect to the Poisson brackets (2.21), the $so(4) = so(3) \times so(3)$ algebra

$$\{P_i, P_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk} P_k, \quad \{I_i, I_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk} I_k, \quad \{I_i, P_j\} = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

The functions P_i, I_i obey the following equality, which is important for our considerations

$$I_i I_i = P_i P_i. \quad (2.25)$$

We replace now the initial Lagrangian (2.19) by the following variationally equivalent one

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = (P_+ \Lambda_+ + P_- \Lambda_- + P_3 \Lambda_3) + (\pi_\mu - P_i A_\mu^i) \dot{x}_\mu - \frac{P_i P_i}{gr} - r \frac{\pi_\mu \pi_\mu}{g} + U(x). \quad (2.26)$$

Here we used the identity

$$-\frac{gr}{4} A_i A_i + g \dot{r}_\mu \dot{r}_\mu = g \frac{\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}_\mu}{4r}.$$

Such kind of representation of a variationally equivalent Lagrangian is motivated by the following reason. Let the $2n$ -dimensional Lagrangian have the form:

$$L = f_r(y)\dot{y}^r - H(y), \quad r = 1 \dots 2n \quad (2.27)$$

where $H(y)$ and $f_\mu(y)$ are some functions of the variable y . The Euler-Lagrange equations for such Lagrangian look:

$$\dot{y}^r = \omega^{rs} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y^s}, \quad (2.28)$$

where $\omega^{rs}\omega_{sq} = \delta_q^r$, $\omega_{rq} = \partial_r f_q - \partial_q f_r$. It is easy to see that ω^{rq} defines Poisson Brackets $\{y^r, y^s\} = \omega^{rs}$. Hence, ω_{rq} is the symplectic structure of the system and H is the corresponding Hamiltonian. In the invariant language we represent the Lagrangian as follows:

$$L = \omega^{(1)}(dy) - H(y), \quad (2.29)$$

where $\omega^{(1)}$ is one-form which locally can be written as in 2.27, and the symplectic structure looks as follows:

$$\omega^{(2)} = d\omega^{(1)}. \quad (2.30)$$

We will use this fact in the next section.

The isometries of this, new, Lagrangian corresponding to (2.11) are defined by the vector fields

$$\tilde{U}_i \equiv \{I_i, \}, \quad (2.31)$$

where I_i are given by (2.23) and the Poisson brackets are given by (2.21). Indeed, I_a are precisely the Noether constants of motion of the new Lagrangian (2.26) corresponding to (2.31). To see this we simply should take into account the following equality

$$P_+\Lambda_+ + P_-\Lambda_- + P_3\Lambda_3 = p_\gamma\dot{\gamma} + \pi\dot{z} + \bar{\pi}\dot{\bar{z}}. \quad (2.32)$$

Let us perform now the reduction by the action of the $SU(2)$ group given by the vector fields (2.31). For this purpose we have to fix the Noether constants of motion(2.23):

$$I_i = s_i = \text{const}, \quad s_i s_i \equiv s^2.$$

Since I_i are constants of motion independent on the r_μ coordinates we can perform an orthogonal rotation, so that only the third component of this set, I_3 , will be different from zero. Equating I_+ and I_- with zero we obtain:

$$-I_3 = \frac{p_\gamma}{2} = s, \quad \bar{\pi} = -s\iota \frac{z}{1+z\bar{z}}, \quad \pi = s\iota \frac{\bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}}. \quad (2.33)$$

Hence,

$$P_+ = -s \frac{\iota z}{1+z\bar{z}}, \quad P_- = s \frac{\iota \bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}}, \quad P_3 = s \frac{1-z\bar{z}}{1+z\bar{z}} \quad (2.34)$$

Thus P_a become precisely the Killing potentials of the S^2 sphere! It is not an occasional coincidence, indeed.

Taking in mind the equality (2.32) we conclude that the third term in (2.26) can be ignored because it is a full time derivative. Also, taking into account 2.25 and denoting $\tilde{g} = g/2r$, one can rewrite the Lagrangian (variationally equivalent) as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{int}^{red} = (\pi_\mu - sA_\mu^i h_i)\dot{x}_\mu - \iota s \frac{\bar{z}\dot{z} - z\dot{\bar{z}}}{1+z\bar{z}} - \frac{\pi_\mu \pi_\mu}{2\tilde{g}} - \frac{s^2}{2\tilde{g}r} - U(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mu = 1, \dots, 5. \quad (2.35)$$

According to the definition, the reduced Lagrangian can be obtained after performing a variation procedure in terms of the variables π_μ . The second term in this reduced Lagrangian is the one-form defining the symplectic (and Kähler) structure on S^2 , in agreement with the above observation that P_a results in the Killing potentials of S^2 .

Thus, the Noether constants of motion do not allow us to exclude the z, \bar{z} variables. However, their time derivatives appear in the Lagrangian in a linear way only, defining the internal degrees of freedom of the five-dimensional isospin particle interacting with the Yang monopole. As a consequence, the dimensionality of the phase space of the reduced system is $2 \cdot 5 + 2 = 12$. Only in the particular case $s = 0$, corresponding to the absence of Yang monopole, we arrive at a five-dimensional system. Hence, *locally*, the Lagrangian of the system can be formulated in the six-dimensional space. We will use this fact in the next section.

3 Higgs oscillator and (pseudo)spherical Yang-Coulomb system

Let us apply the above construction to the Higgs oscillator on the eight-dimensional sphere and pseudosphere and obtain, for the reduced system, the (pseudo)spherical generalization of the Yang-Coulomb system, in the spirit of [11].

For this purpose we introduce the conformal flat coordinates of d -dimensional (pseudo)sphere, which are precisely the stereographic coordinates. These coordinates are related with the Cartesian coordinates of the ambient $(d+1)$ -dimensional space as follows (here and in the following we assume the unit radius of the sphere and pseudosphere)

$$x_A = \frac{2u_A}{1 + \epsilon u_B^2}, \quad x_{d+1} = \frac{1 - \epsilon u_B^2}{1 + \epsilon u_B^2}, \quad A, B = 1, \dots, d. \quad (3.1)$$

Here x_A, x_{d+1} are the (pseudo)Euclidean coordinates of the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}(\mathbb{R}^{d,1})$: $\epsilon x_A^2 + x_{d+1}^2 = 1$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$. The $\epsilon = +1$ corresponds to the sphere and $\epsilon = -1$ corresponds to the pseudosphere.

In these coordinates the metric takes the conformally-flat form

$$ds^2 = \frac{4du_A du_A}{(1 + \epsilon u_B^2)^2}, \quad (3.2)$$

while the potentials of the Higgs oscillator and of the Schroedinger-Kepler system (1.1) read

$$V_{osc} = \frac{2\omega^2 \bar{u}u}{(1 - \epsilon \bar{u}u)^2}, \quad V_C = -\gamma \frac{1 - \epsilon \bar{u}u}{2|u|}, \quad (3.3)$$

Hence, the $su(2)$ -reduction of the the Higgs oscillator on the (pseudo)sphere to a $5d$ system leads to the following Lagrangian (compare with Ref. 2.35)

$$\mathcal{L}_{osc}^{red} = \mathcal{L}_{red} = (\pi_\mu - sA_\mu^i h_i) \dot{x}_\mu - \imath s \frac{\bar{z}\dot{z} - z\dot{\bar{z}}}{1 + z\bar{z}} - \frac{(1 + \epsilon r)^2}{4} r \left(\frac{\pi_\mu \pi_\mu}{2} + \frac{s^2}{2r^2} \right) - \frac{2\omega^2 r}{(1 - \epsilon r)^2} \quad (3.4)$$

The one-form corresponding to this Lagrangian have the following form:

$$\omega^{(1)} = \pi_\mu dx_\mu - sA_\mu^i h_i dx_\mu + \imath s(h_- dz - h_+ d\bar{z}), \quad (3.5)$$

and the inverse matrix of corresponding symplectic structure $\omega^{(2)} = d\omega^{(1)}$ defines the Poisson brackets

$$\{\pi_\mu, \pi_\nu\} = s(\partial_\mu A_\nu^i h_i - \partial_\nu A_\mu^i h_i - \varepsilon_{ijk} h_i A_\mu^j A_\nu^k) \equiv sF_{\mu\nu}^i h_i, \quad \{z, \bar{z}\} = \frac{\imath}{2s}(1 + z\bar{z})^2, \quad \{h_i, h_j\} = \frac{1}{s}\varepsilon_{ijk} h_k. \quad (3.6)$$

The Hamiltonian of the reduced system is given by the expression

$$\mathcal{H}_{red}^{osc} = \frac{(1 + \epsilon r)^2}{4} r \left(\frac{\pi_\mu \pi_\mu}{2} + \frac{s^2}{2r^2} \right) + \frac{2\omega^2 r}{(1 - \epsilon r)^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, the Higgs oscillator has a number of symmetries: besides the rotational $so(8)$ symmetries, defining the Noether constants of motion, it possesses constants of motion which are quadratic in momenta. We are interested in their $su(2)$ invariant subset given by the generators

$$J_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\mathcal{P}[\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu] u}{2} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{J\Gamma_\mu J}{2} + 2\frac{\omega^2 u\Gamma_\mu u}{(1 - \epsilon \bar{u}u)^2} \quad (3.9)$$

where $J_A = (1 - \epsilon u^2)\mathcal{P}_A + 2\epsilon(\mathbf{u}\mathcal{P})u_A$ and \mathcal{P}_A is the corresponding momenta of the coordinate u_A .

Reducing the generators of rotations to the $5d$ system, following the general procedure described in the previous section, we get

$$J_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu \pi_\nu - x_\nu \pi_\mu + 2r^2 F_{\mu\nu}^i h_i. \quad (3.10)$$

In order to find the expressions for the hidden symmetry generators we exclude the subset of generators of rotations that leaves invariant the coordinate x_μ :

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \varepsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu\lambda} J_{\nu\lambda}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1 \dots 4, \quad \mu, \nu, \lambda = 1 \dots 5 \quad (3.11)$$

Now, we can write the implicit expression for A_μ in the following form:

$$A_\mu = \frac{J_{\mu\nu} T_\nu}{2} + \frac{q_\mu}{4} (1 + \epsilon r)^2 \left(\pi_\mu \pi_\mu + \frac{s^2}{r^2} \right) + \frac{2\omega^2 q_\mu}{(1 - \epsilon r)^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \mathcal{J}_{\gamma\delta}^\mu, \quad (3.12)$$

where $T_\mu = (1 + q^2)p_\mu - 2(\mathbf{qp})q_\mu$ denote transition operators on the pseudosphere. Since the last terms is expressed through the already mentioned motion integrals, we can ignore them.

Following ([11]), we can now transform the reduced Higgs oscillator to a Kepler-like system. For this purpose we should fix the energy surface $\mathcal{H}_{osc}^{red} = E \equiv \gamma/2$ and multiply by $(1 - \epsilon r)^2/r$, to get

$$(\mathcal{H}_{osc}^{red} - E_{osc}^{red}) \frac{(1 - \epsilon r)^2}{r} = 0 \equiv \mathcal{H}_{MICZ} - E_{MICZ}, \quad E_{MICZ} = -\epsilon\gamma - 2\omega^2 \quad (3.13)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{MICZ} = \frac{(1 - r^2)^2}{4} \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi_\mu \pi_\mu + \frac{s^2}{r^2} \right) + \gamma \frac{1 + r^2}{2r} \quad (3.14)$$

For any motion integral \mathcal{I} we have:

$$\{H_{MICZ}, \mathcal{I}\} = \{H_{osc}^{red}, \mathcal{I}\} |_{H_{osc}^{red}=const} = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, the new Hamiltonian has the same number of motion integrals and therefore preserves the integrability of the initial system. After fixing the energy surface the quantities A_μ transform, respectively, in the Runge-Lenz vector of the constructed $SU(2)$ -Kepler (or Yang-Coulomb) system on pseudosphere

$$A_\mu = \frac{J_{\mu\nu} T_\nu}{2} + \gamma \frac{q_\mu}{r}. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus, we constructed the five-dimensional pseudospherical generalization of the MICZ-Kepler system, i.e. the pseudospherical Yang-Coulomb system, and found its constants of motion. It is not difficult to find a spherical analog of this system. Performing the Wick rotation, we obtain the spherical Yang-Coulomb system. It will be defined with the same Poisson brackets as before by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{MICZ}^{(sph)} = \frac{(1 + r^2)^2}{4} \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi_\mu \pi_\mu + \frac{s^2}{r^2} \right) + \gamma \frac{1 - r^2}{2r}, \quad (3.17)$$

and by the motion integrals A_μ , where the quantities T_μ are replaced by $T_\mu = (1 - q^2)p_\mu + 2(\mathbf{qp})q_\mu$

3.1 Anisotropic inharmonic oscillator and Yang-Coulomb-Stark system

The oscillator described in the previous section can be extended by adding anisotropic and inharmonic parts.

$$\mathcal{L}_{AIOSC} = \frac{4}{(1 + \epsilon u^2)^2} \frac{\dot{u}_i \dot{u}_i}{2} - \frac{2\omega^2 u^2}{(1 - \epsilon u^2)^2} - \frac{2\Delta\omega^2 u \Gamma_5 u}{(1 - \epsilon u^2)^2} - \frac{4\epsilon_{el}}{(1 - (u^2)^2)^2} \frac{1 + (u^2)^2}{(1 - \epsilon u^2)^2} u^2 (u \Gamma_5 u) \quad (3.18)$$

Since the additional terms in this Lagrangian do not preserve the spherical symmetry of the previous system, only a part of the integrals of motion will be generalized. So, instead of $N = 5(5 - 1)/2 = 10$ motion integrals corresponding to the rotation symmetry $J_{\mu\nu}$, we have only $N' = 4(4 - 1)/2 = 6$ ones, $J_{\alpha\beta}^5$, defined in 3.11. Only one component of the generator of hidden symmetry is generalized. In the Hamiltonian approach it has the following form:

$$A_5 = \frac{J \Gamma_5 J}{2} + 2 \frac{\omega^2 u \Gamma_5 u}{(1 - \epsilon \bar{u} u)^2} + 2 \frac{\Delta\omega^2 u^2}{(1 + \epsilon \bar{u} u)^2} + 4\epsilon_{el} \left(\frac{(u^2)^2}{(1 - (u^2)^2)^2} + \frac{(u \Gamma_5 u)^2}{(1 - \epsilon u^2)^4} \right) \quad (3.19)$$

It is obvious that the expression for this quantity in the Lagrangian approach can be obtained just by replacing the momenta by the corresponding time derivative divided by $(1+\epsilon u^2)^2$. In the same way as we obtained the MICZ-Kepler system in the previous section, we get now:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MICZ} = \frac{(1-r^2)^2}{4} \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi_\mu \pi_\mu + \frac{s^2}{r^2} \right) + \gamma \frac{1+r^2}{2r} + 2\Delta\omega^2 \left(\frac{1-\epsilon r}{1+\epsilon r} \right)^2 \frac{x_5}{r} + 2\epsilon_{el} \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2} \frac{x_5}{1-r^2} \quad (3.20)$$

$$A_5 = \frac{J_{5\nu} T_\nu}{2} + \gamma \frac{x_5}{r} + 2 \frac{\Delta\omega^2}{(1+\epsilon r)^2} \frac{r^2 - x_5^2}{r} + 2\epsilon_{el} \frac{r^2 - x_5^2}{(1-r^2)^2} \quad (3.21)$$

The fourth term in the Hamiltonian 3.20 in the limit of flat space yields a homogeneous Electric field with strength ϵ_{el} . Hence, we can consider it as a generalization of the Stark term in case of a (pseudo)-spherical space. The third term is just the $\cos\theta$ potential.

As in the previous section, the transition to the sphere can be realized by performing a Wick rotation. All terms in 3.20 result in real expressions, except the third one. However, one can notice that we can consider the real and imaginary parts of the Hamiltonian separately. Indeed, let the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and a motion integral \mathcal{I} have the following form:

$$\mathcal{H} = K_H + U + \mathbf{i}V, \quad \mathcal{I} = K_I + P + \mathbf{i}Q,$$

where U, V, P, Q are real functions of coordinates and K_H and K_I are kinetic terms of Hamiltonian and motion integral respectively. The condition $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}\} = 0$ leads us to two equalities:

$$\{\mathcal{H}_{Re}, \mathcal{I}_{Re}\} = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{H}_{Im}, \mathcal{I}_{Im}\} = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{Re} = K_H + U$, $\mathcal{I}_{Re} = K_I + P$ and $\mathcal{H}_{Im} = K_H + V$, $\mathcal{I}_{Im} = K_I + Q$. This still will not lead us to a new system. After separating the expression we will find that its imaginary part looks exactly like the Stark term and therefore can be ignored. Explicitly we find:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MICZ}^{sph} = \frac{(1+r^2)^2}{4} \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi_\mu \pi_\mu + \frac{s^2}{r^2} \right) + \gamma \frac{1+r^2}{2r} + 2Re\Delta\omega^2 \left(\frac{1-\epsilon r}{1+\epsilon r} \right)^2 \frac{x_5}{r} + 2\epsilon_{el} \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2} \frac{x_5}{1-r^2} \quad (3.22)$$

4 Conclusion and discussion

In this paper we applied the $su(2)$ reduction procedure to the Higgs oscillator on the eight-dimensional pseudosphere and sphere and, transforming the energy level of the reduced system, we obtained the Yang-Coulomb systems on the five-dimensional sphere and pseudosphere. We remind that the Yang-Coulomb system is the generalization of the five-dimensional Coulomb system specified by the presence of $SU(2)$ Yang monopole, which inherits the symmetries of the five-dimensional Coulomb system. Similarly, the constructed (pseudo)spherical Yang-Coulomb system inherits all the symmetries of the five-dimensional (pseudo)spherical Coulomb system. We also applied this procedure to the anisotropic inharmonic Higgs oscillator [13] and obtained, in this way, the integrable (pseudo)spherical generalization of the Yang-Coulomb system with Stark term. While the spectrum of the previous system can be easily obtained from the general construction [15], the spectrum (even perturbative) of the latter one needs to be constructed. Even for the planar case it is unknown up to now. We are planning to investigate it in forthcoming studies.

There are related problems that definitely need to be studied. Few years ago the analog of oscillator on complex projective space CP^N has been suggested, which respected the inclusion of constant magnetic field [17]. It was found, that in the CP^2 case this oscillator can be reduced to the (three-dimensional) MICZ-Kepler system on (pseudo)sphere. It is interesting to clarify whether the oscillator on CP^4 results, upon $su(2)$ reduction, to the (pseudo)spherical Yang-Coulomb system. Also, in complete similarity to CP^N , one can define the oscillator on the quaternionic projective space HP^N respecting the inclusion of instanton field [18]. One can expect that the $su(2)$ reduction of such an oscillator on HP^2 would also yield a (pseudo)spherical Yang-Coulomb system. Both these statements should be carefully checked.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Armen Nersessian for the collaboration at the initial stage of the work, careful reading of manuscript, numerous discussions and comments. V. Y. acknowledges LNF for the hospitality and financial support during his stay in Frascati, where the part of the present work was carry out. The work was partially supported by the NFSAT-CRDF UC 06/07 (V. Y.), ANSEF PS1730 (V. Y.) and INTAS-05-7928 (S. B., V. Y.) grants.

References

- [1] V. M. Ter-Antonyan, Dyon-Oscillator duality, *Lectures given at BLTP International School on Symmetries and Integrable Sysems, Dubna, Russia, 8-11 Jun 1999* [quant-ph/0003106]
- [2] T. Levi-Civita, *Opere Matematiche*, **2**, 411 (1906); K. Bohlin, *Bull. Astr.*, **28** 144 (1911)
- [3] P. Kustaanheimo, E. Stiefel, *J. Reine Angew Math.*, **218**, 204 (1965);
- [4] A. Hurwitz, *Mathematische Werke, Band II*, 641 (*Birkhäuser*, Basel, 1933) L. S. Davtyan *et al*, *J. Phys.* **A20** 6121 (1987)
- [5] A. Nersessian, V. Ter-Antonian, M. M. Tsulaia, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A11** (1996), 1605
- [6] D. Zwanziger, *Phys. Rev.* **176**, 1480 (1968) H. McIntosh and A. Cisneros, *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 896 (1970).
- [7] T. Iwai, *J. Geom. Phys.* **7**, 507 (1990); L. G. Mardoyan, A. N. Sissakian, V. M. Ter-Antonyan, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **61**, 1746 (1998)
- [8] E. Schrödinger, *Proc. Roy. Irish Soc.* **46** 9 (1941); **46** 183 (1941); **47** 53 (1941)
- [9] P. W. Higgs, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **12** 309 (1979) H. I. Leemon, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **12** 489 (1979)
- [10] A. Barut, A. Inomata, G. Junker, *J. Phys.* **A20**, 6271 (1987); *J. Phys.* **A23** 1179 (1990), Ya. A. Granovsky, A. S. Zhedanov, I. M. Lutzenko, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **91** 207 (1992); **91** 396 (1992); D. Bonatos, C. Daskaloyanis, K. Kokkatos, *Phys. Rev.* **A50** 3700 (1994); E. G. Kalnins, W. Miller Jr., G. S. Pogosyan, *J. Math. Phys.* **37** 6439 (1996); **38** 5416 (1997) A. Ballesteros, F. J. Herranz, O. Ragnisco, *J. Phys.* **A38** 7129 (2005); A. Ballesteros, F. J. Herranz, *J. Phys.* **A40** F51 (2007)
J. F. Carinena, M. F. Ranada, M. Santander, *Ann. Phys.* **322**, 2249 (2007)
- [11] A. Nersessian and G. Pogosyan, *Phys. Rev.* **A63**, 020103(R) (2001) A. Nersessian, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **65**, 1070 (2002) ;
- [12] V. V. Gritsev, Yu. A. Kurochkin, V. S. Otchik, *J. Phys.* **A33**, 4903-4910 (2000)
- [13] A. Nersessian and V. Yeghikyan, *J. Phys.* **A41**, 155203 (2008)
- [14] M. Gonzales, Z. Kuznetsova, A. Nersessian, F. Toppan and V. Yeghikyan, “*Second Hopf map and supersymmetric mechanics with Yang monopole*,” arXiv:0902.2682 [hep-th].
- [15] L. Mardoyan, A. Nersessian and A. Yeranyan, *Phys. Lett. A* **366**, 30 (2007)
- [16] L. Mardoyan *et al* *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **140**, 958 (2004); *J. Phys.* **A40**, 5973 (2007) S. Bellucci and V. Ohanyan, *Phys. Lett. A* **372**, 5765 (2008)
- [17] S. Bellucci and A. Nersessian, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 065013 [Erratum-*ibid.* **D71** (2005) 089901]; S. Bellucci, A. Nersessian and A. Yeranyan, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 045006; *ibid.* **70** (2004) 085013 *ibid.* **74** (2006) 065022; S. Bellucci and A. Nersessian, “*Supersymmetric Kahler oscillator in a constant magnetic field*,” *Contributed to International Seminar on Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries SQS 03, Dubna, Russia, 24-29 Jul 2003*, hep-th/0401232; S. Bellucci and A. Nersessian, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **102** (2001) 227; S. Bellucci and A. Nersessian, *Phys. Rev.* **D64** (2001) 021702.
- [18] L. Mardoyan and A. Nersessian, *Phys. Rev.* **B72** (2005) 233303 S. Bellucci, P.-Y. Casteill and A. Nersessian, *Phys. Lett.* **B574** (2003) 121 S. Bellucci, L. Mardoyan and A. Nersessian, *Phys. Lett.* **B636** (2006) 137