

ALGEBRA OF CURRENT AND $\rho^{\pm} \longrightarrow \eta + \pi^{\pm}$ DECAY

Prem P. Srivastava *

International Centre for Theoretical Physics
Trieste, Italy

(Received May 8, 1967)

We study in this paper, the G-parity violating decay mode $\rho \longrightarrow \eta \pi^{\pm}$ using current algebra ¹ of scalar densities and relate it to the dominant decay mode $\rho \longrightarrow 2\pi$ governed by strong interactions. The decay under consideration may provide an independent test of the hypothesis involved in the current algebra approach.

The algebra of scalar and pseudo-scalar densities has been recently applied in deriving coupling constant sum rules ² of the type derived by Murashkin and Glashow ³ using group theoretic methods. Scalar densities have been used in weak non-leptonic decays calculations ⁴. They have also been applied to give a uniform picture of mass splitting ⁵ within a multiplet. Recently, they have been used with fair success, to describe the G-parity violating decay of η -meson ⁶.

* On leave of absence from "Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas" and "Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro", Brazil.

The current algebra technique can be applied to the study of the decay $\rho \longrightarrow \eta\pi$ if we assume that the effective electromagnetic interaction responsible for $\eta-\pi^0$ mixing ⁷ and this decay is given by the scalar density $S_3(x)$, carrying negative G-parity and positive charge conjugation. We write the interaction as $\mathcal{H}(x) = \lambda S_3(x)$ where λ is a constant with the dimensions of mass.

Considering the quantity

$$q_\mu \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle \pi^\pm | T(A_8^\mu(x) \mathcal{H}(0)) | \rho \rangle$$

with the η -pole subtracted out we obtain by straightforward method ⁸

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} C_\eta \langle \eta_8 \pi^\pm | \mathcal{H}(0) | \rho \rangle = \langle \pi^\pm | \left[\int d^3x A_8^0(\vec{x}, 0), \mathcal{H}(0) \right] | \rho \rangle$$

where we have used the PCAC hypothesis ⁹ $\partial_\mu A_8^\mu = C_\eta m_{\eta_8}^2 \eta_8$

Making use of the commutation relation ⁴ suggested by quark model:

$$\left[\int A_8^0(\vec{x}, 0) d^3x, S_3(0) \right] = \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} S_3^5(0)$$

and admitting the ansatz ¹⁰ that we may identify the pseudoscalar density S_3^5 by the current density of the corresponding pseudoscalar field π_3 , that is, $S_3^5 = a J_{\pi_3}$ we obtain ¹¹, in the lowest order of the interaction:

$$\langle \eta \pi^\pm | \mathcal{H}(0) | \rho \rangle = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{a}{C_\eta} \langle \pi^\pm | J_{\pi^0}(0) | \rho \rangle$$

Here η and π^0 are the physical pseudoscalar fields. The $\eta-\pi^0$ mixing angle is thus given by

$$\text{tang. } \theta \simeq + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{a}{C_\eta} \right)$$

This relation may be used to estimate the value of λ . The PCAC constant C_η may be obtained by using universality hypothesis¹² $C_\pi \simeq C_k \simeq C_\eta$. The constant of proportionality 'a' may be obtained¹⁰ using Gell-Mann's quark model or it may be related to the quark-meson scattering form factor whose value can be estimated using SU(6) quark model¹³ or from a reciprocal bootstrap model¹⁴. Taking the values $C_\pi \simeq 1.21 \times 10^2$ MeV and¹⁰ $a = 0.2$ and $\text{tang. } \theta = 0.011$ for the mixing angle⁷ we obtain $\lambda = 11.5$ MeV.

If, further, we assume that the interaction postulated above is a part of the interaction responsible for the electromagnetic mass differences we can write the complete electromagnetic interaction as $H_{e.m.} = \lambda(S_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} S_8)$. The medium-strong interaction responsible for the medium-strong mass splitting may be described by^{15, 5} $H_{m.s.} = g S_8$. The ratio λ/g may be then obtained¹⁵ from the Coleman-Glashow type relations¹⁶ discussed in reference 15 and thus gives $g = 6.64 \times 10^2$ MeV.

* * *

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Professor Abdus Salam and the IAEA for the hospitality extended to him at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste. Acknowledgements are due to John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation for a fellowship grant.

The author is indebted to Professor J. Tiomno for several discussions, specially, about the importance of eta-pi mixing. Acknowledged also are the comments from the colleagues of the High Energy Group of Syracuse University during his stay there.

REFERENCES:

1. M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. 125, 1067 (1962); Physics 1, 63 (1964).
2. L. A. Copley and D. Masson, University of Toronto preprint, 1966; S. K. Bose and Y. Hara, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 409 (1966); R. J. Rivers, Trieste preprint IC/66/80.
3. M. Muraskin and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. 132, 482 (1963).
4. Riazuddin and K. T. Mahantappa, Phys. Rev. 147, 972 (1966); M. K. Gaillard, Orsay preprint, 1966; Y. Hara and Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 875 (1966); G. G. Callan and S. B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 153 (1966).
5. K. Kikkawa, Prog. Theoret. Phys. (Japan), 35, no 2, 1966; J. Arafune, Y. Iwasaki, K. Kikkawa, S. Matsuda and N. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. 143, 1220 (1966).
6. S. K. Bose and A. H. Zimmerman, Nuovo Cimento 43A, 1165 (1966); R. H. Graham, L. O'Raiheartaigh and S. Pakvasa, Syracuse University preprint, 1966; R. Ramchandran, Nuovo Cimento 47, 669 (1967).
7. The Fields η and π^0 representing physical particles are mixtures of the SU(3) octet fields π_3 and η_8 : $\pi^0 = \cos\theta \pi_3 + \sin\theta \eta_8$ etc.
The mixing angle θ is determined from $\tan\theta = -m_\pi(\eta\pi^0)/(m_\eta^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)$ where $m_\pi(\eta\pi^0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [m_{\pi^0}^2 - m_{\pi^+}^2 + m_{K^+}^2 - m_{K^0}^2] = -2960 \pm 365 \text{ (MeV)}^2$. See for example R. H. Dalitz and F. Von Hippel, Phys. Letters 10, 153 (1964).
8. S. Weinberg, Phys. Letters 16, 879 (1966); H. D. I. Abarbanel, Physical Review, 1966.
9. M. Gell-Mann and M. Levy, Nuovo Cimento 16, 705 (1960); Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. Letters 4, 380 (1960); J. Bernstein, S. Fubini, M. Gell-Mann and W. Thirring Nuovo Cimento, 17, 757 (1960).
10. J. W. Moffat, Phys. Letters 23, 148 (1966) and "Asymptotic Theorem Based on Current Algebra and the Quark Model", University of Toronto preprint, 1966.
11. In arriving at this result we use $\langle \eta_8 \pi^+ | \rho \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \pi^+ \pi_3 | S_3 | \rho \rangle = 0$ because of G-parity.
12. W. Krolikowski, Trieste preprint IC/66/52.
13. W. Thirring, Elementary Particle Theory pp 294, P. Urban editor, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

14. A. Wazed, *Nuovo Cimento* 39, 292 (1966); A. Squires, Lectures at the Scottish Summer School, 1966.
15. A. H. Zimmerman, Riazuddin and S. Okubo, *Nuovo Cimento*, 34, 1587 (1964).
16. S. Coleman and S. L. Glashow, *Phys. Rev.* 134B, 671 (1964).