Interaction of alkanols and local anesthetics with spin labeled Ca²⁺ATPase of sarcoplasmic reticulum vesicles

Celia Anteneodo¹, Sônia R.W. Louro², and Eliane Wajnberg¹

¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CBPF/CNPq Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150 22290-180 - Rio de Janeiro, Brasil ²Departamento de Física, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

Alkanols and tertiary amine derivative local anesthetics modify the activity of Ca²⁺ATPase. In order to investigate the primary binding sites, associated to the functional changes, sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) Ca²⁺ATPase was labeled with maleimide derivative spin labels which bind covalently to SH groups of cysteine residues and allow to probe the regions of the protein close to those residues. The ESR measurements showed motional constraints induced by drug-treatment which indicate changes in the enzyme dynamics and structure. N-alkanols are shown to affect some of the protein-bound labels by restricting their motion. There is, however, no correlation between the functional effects and the observed motional restriction, in the sense that concentrations of the different alcohols leading to the same functional effects do not induce the same degree of restriction. Dibucaine and tetracaine at functional relevant concentrations also restrict the movement of protein bound labels. But, in this case, correlation between spectral changes and functional effects is observed.

Key words: Ca²⁺ATPase; N-alkanols; dibucaine; tetracaine; spin labels;

INTRODUCTION

Anesthetics belong to diverse classes of chemical substances having in common the amphipathic character. This diversity puts an entangled problem on searching for the main targets of these substances and on sorting those which are important to the mechanism of anesthesia. It was pointed out that large anesthetic concentrations affect almost any target [1], and that it is important to consider only the effects at concentrations relevant to anesthesia. On the other hand, review papers [1,2] show how far we are from answering the question of what is the real target leading to anesthesia and how anesthetics work at the molecular level. With this in mind, clearly, the investigation of anesthetic interactions with effects on membrane function helps to elucidate mechanisms of action, even if these effects occur at concentrations larger than those leading to anesthesia.

It is known [3] that the potency of an anesthetic increases roughly in proportion with its lipid/water partition coefficient, strongly suggesting an amphiphilic site for anesthetic molecules. The modification of the lipid-protein interface is a possible pathway for anesthetic action [4-9], in agreement with the above correlation. However, Franks and Lieb have claimed that increasing evidence has favoured the view that proteins and not lipids are the primary targets for anesthetics [1].

The n-alkanols share the property of general anesthesia. At concentrations about one order of magnitude higher than those required for general anesthesia they affect the function of ion channels, in particular the $Ca^{2+}ATPase$ of sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) [10, 11]. It was shown that the enhancement of the ATPase activity and decrease of net calcium uptake induced by the n-alkanols is accompanied by modifications of the molecular dynamics of the membrane lipids at the lipid-protein interface [12]. It was proposed that the alcohol molecules interfere with the protein-lipid interactions creating fluid clusters around the proteins, affecting the enzyme conformation, and perturbing its function [12].

Local anesthetics such as dibucaine and tetracaine exert complex effects on the SR. These drugs are known to modify the rate of Ca^{2+} fluxes (either release or uptake) inducing blockage or enhancement depending upon drug concentration and experimental conditions [13-17]. These effects have been attributed to binding of local anesthetics to the phospholipids of the membrane [13, 14], to changes in the protein-lipid interface [18], to blockage of Ca^{2+} channels [15, 16] and to direct action on sites of the $Ca^{2+}ATPase$ [19]. Thus, the complex effects of local anesthetics on the functional behaviour of the SR suggest that these agents may have multiple sites of action. Thomas and Mahaney reviewed the influence of membrane properties on the activity of sarcoplasmic reticulum [20], and a single physical model was proposed to explain different functional effects of local and general anesthetics in SR: aggregation inhibits the $Ca^{2+}ATPase$, and the oligometric state of this protein is the strong determinant of its enzimatic activity [21,22].

Spin labeling technique using nitroxide labeled lipids is largely employed in membrane research, but the use of labels bound to integral membrane proteins is less common. Structural and dynamical effects of different drugs on proteins may be studied by using maleimide derivative nitroxide spin labels [23]. These labels bind covalently to SH groups present in cysteine residues, allowing to study the regions of the protein close to these groups. The Ca²⁺ATPase of SR contains 24 cysteine residues whose location was inferred from the analysis of the amino-acid sequence [24, 25]. Among all these sulfhydryl groups there are only two very fast reacting groups and another two which belong to an intermediate class [26].

In this paper, we have used maleimide spin labels (MSL) to investigate the effects of n-alkanols and of the local anesthetics dibucaine and tetracaine on the protein portion of SRV, e.g., $Ca^{2+}ATPase$, and we correlate these effects with previously observed functional effects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

SRV from rabbit skeletal muscle prepared basically as previously described [27, 28] were supplied by Dr. L. de Meis' laboratory (Biochemistry Department, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro) and stored in liquid nitrogen. These samples are rich in Ca²⁺ATPase which constitutes c.a. 90% of the protein content. N-alkanols were purchased from Merck, local anesthetics (dibucaine and tetracaine hydrochloride) and the maleimide derivative spin labels 3-(2-[2-maleimidoethoxy]-ethylcarbamoyl)-proxyl (MSL-4), 3-(2-maleimidoethyl-carbamoyl)-proxyl (MSL-2) and 3-maleimido-proxyl (MSL-0) were purchased from Sigma. The molecular structure of these spin labels is given below.

Spin labeling

 $Ca^{2+}ATPase$ was labeled by incubation of SRV suspensions (~30 mg of prot. ml⁻¹) with the desired spin label, at a label to protein ratio of 2-3, for about 24 h, at 8 °C. Approximately 1 ml of the suspensions in Hepes 20 mM, at pH 7.4, with/without NaCl, was added to an appropriate aliquot of the stock solution of spin label in ethanol (10 mM), after evaporating the solvent. In order to eliminate the unreacted spin labels, the samples were centrifugated during 20 min at 17,000 rpm and resuspended in the buffer solution at least twice. The ESR signal of the supernatant after the first washing showed that the quantity of unreacted spin label corresponded to less than 10% of the total quantity of spin label added. Unreacted label after the second washing was less than 1%.

Anesthetic treatment

The local anesthetics dibucaine and tetracaine were incorporated evaporating the solvent of an appropriate quantity of ethanol stock solutions of the drug (~10mM) and adding, thereafter, 1ml of the suspension of vesicles at a protein concentration of c.a. 3 mg/ml. Aliquots of n-alkanols were added directly. Samples were vortex mixed during 1 min and incubated for 2 h. Aqueous local anesthetic concentrations were obtained spectrophotometrically after pelleting the SRV. For ESR measurements the pellets were redissolved in a small amout of buffer, transferred to capillaries and submitted to another centrifugation step. In the experiments to test if the anesthetics induce any radical reduction leading to variation of the total number of spins, concentrated suspensions of spin labeled vesicles (50 mg/ml in protein) were transferred directly to capillaries containing different amounts of dibucaine and butanol distributed over the internal surface of the capillaries. In this case the last centrifugation step was skipped.

Samples of labeled bovine serum albumin, from Sigma, and hemoglobin, freshly prepared, were used to test drug effects on water soluble proteins. In these cases, the excess of free label was eliminated by column filtration (Sephadex G-20, Sigma). 9 lauryl ether, $C_{12}E_9$, used for SRV solubilization was purchased from Sigma.

ESR measurements and spectral analysis

ESR measurements were carried out at room temperature (22°C) on an X-band Varian E-9 ESR spectrometer interfaced with an IBM-PC for data acquisition and accumulation. A microwave power of 8 mW and modulation amplitude of 1 G (100 KHz) were used.

Software for spectral manipulations was implemented and used in the spectral analysis. All the ESR spectra were normalized to the same double integral after baseline correction based on the experimentally proven fact that the total number of spins does not change with drug treatment. The normalized spectra were deconvoluted by spectral substractions, and the fractions and line shapes of the spectral components were obtained as described in the next section.

RESULTS

Spectral analysis of Maleimide Spin Labels in sarcoplasmic reticulum vesicles

Figs. 1 a, b, and c show the spectra of the three maleimide spin labels MSL-n (n = 4, 2, 0, respectively) in $Ca^{2+}ATPase$ of SRV. The spectrum of the shorter spin label MSL-0 and that of the longer one MSL-4 are basically due to spin labels in two different motional environments: one due to motionally restricted labels interacting with the protein side chains or grooves, and another due to mobile fluid labels, which, although bound to the protein, have their motion slightly affected by interactions with side chains. For MSL-0 the proportion of the motionally restricted is much higher than for MSL-4. The position of the restricted component low field peak (R in Fig.1) is the same for both MSL-0 and MSL-4, indicating that they have the same rotational correlation time. Then, the ESR profile of the mobile component can be obtained by subtracting from the MSL-4 spectrum (a) the fraction of spectrum (c) which cancels the low field line R. The normalized resulting profile of this subtraction is shown in Fig.1d. The ESR profile for the restricted component (Fig.1e) was obtained subtracting 6% of the mobile component from the spectrum of MSL-0 (Fig. 1c).

The spectrum of the spin label MSL-2 (Fig. 1b), with intermediate length, has other motional components with intermediate values of rotational correlation times besides the two components obtained above. In this case, what we called the fraction of mobile component is the fraction of spectrum (d) necessary to cancel the peak M. The fraction of the mobile component present in each spectrum is given in Table I.

All the spectral analyses performed to quantify the effects of anesthetics on the spin labeled protein sites described below were based on previous normalization of all spectra. This is an adequate procedure if there is no radical reduction upon anesthetic treatment. If, in contrast, different motional environments have distinct levels of radical reduction the normalization of all spectra will lead to artifacts and misinterpretation. For this reason the presence of radical reduction upon anesthetic treatment was tested with the three maleimide spin labels. Butanol and dibucaine treatments at four of the highest concentrations were performed directly in the capillaries used for the ESR measurements and no centrifugation was performed after mixing. For the three spin labels the results showed that the double integrated intensity is the same as for untreated samples, within a standard deviation of 6%. Furthermore, the deviations from the mean have no correlation with the anesthetic concentration in the samples. In conclusion, there is no spin label reduction in our experiements, and normalization of spectra is a valid procedure.

Effects of the n-alkanols on the ESR spectrum of MSL-n in SRV

N-butanol treatment decreases the intensity of the mobile component of all the three maleimide spin labels in SRV and increases that of the restricted component. The spectrum in Fig. 2a is representative of this effect. The increase of the restricted component (R) shows that the drugs induce a strong restriction in the movement of a fraction of the mobile labels and not just a slight increase of the line widths. Actually, the increase of the restricted component is only observed with MSL-4 and MSL-2. The spreading of the restricted component spectrum over a much wider range of magnetic fields than the mobile one makes its signal to noise ratio much lower. For this reason the changes in the ESR spectra are quantified in terms of the population of mobile labels.

The ESR spectra were analyzed by the following spectral subtractions: different fractions f of the control spectrum (Fig. 2b) were subtracted from the spectra of n-butanol treated samples (e.g., Fig. 2a). The criterium used to obtain the fraction f for each alcohol concentration was elimination of the mobile component peaks M. The results are, therefore, restricted profiles like the one in Fig. 2c (f=0.55 for the spectral subtraction in Fig. 2). Thus, in the presence of the alcohol, only a fraction f of the population of mobile labels remains unaltered, while F=1-f of this population changes to a motionally restricted state. F is, therefore, the fraction of the mobile spin label population which is altered by drug treatment.

Fig. 3 shows the fraction F as a function of the concentration of n-butanol obtained from measurements using the three different MSL. The results obtained with the different labels do not apparently provide any complementary information. These labels probably bind to the same sites in the protein, and the main differences among them are their fractions of mobile spectrum.

There is, however, a question to be answered: is the observed restriction effect of the n-alkanols correlated with their lipid/water partition coefficients?

Since the functional effects correlate with the hydrophobicity measured by this coefficient, a positive answer to this question would favour the hypothesis of a direct action of alkanol molecules on Ca²⁺ATPase. To answer this question, experiments were performed with other n-alkanols, e.g., ethanol, n-hexanol, and n-octanol. In these cases the concentrations used were those inducing maximum ATPase activity, c_{max} [10], which are approximately proportional to the inverse of their lipid/water partition coefficients. The fraction F of mobile labels restricted by alcohol treatment at the concentration c_{max} for each n-alkanol is presented in Table II, which also lists the lipid/water partition coefficients and the calculated intramembrane concentration. It is observed that the restriction effect decreases on going from ethanol to octanol. While ethanol restricts almost 50% of mobile labels, n-octanol has no effect. Moreover, despite n-octanol being more efficient than n-butanol in perturbing the function of the enzyme, it is necessary a n-octanol membrane concentration 10-fold higher than that of n-butanol in order produce the same effect on the MSL spectra. In fact, F=0.31 is obtained for nbutanol at c_{max} (0.3 M), which corresponds to ~1 M in the membrane, while the same degree of immobilization is observed with 30 mM octanol, which corresponds to ~11 M in the membrane. The answer to our question is, therefore, no. The n-alkanols restriction effects on Ca²⁺ATPase are not correlated with their lipid/water partition coefficients in the same way as their functional effects are.

Effect of dibucaine and tetracaine on the ESR spectrum of MSL-n in SRV

The effects of dibucaine and tetracaine on ESR spectra of maleimide spin labeled SRV are similar to those observed for alkanol-treatment. Local anesthetics also induce changes in the sites to which the labels are bound such that the mobile labels become more restricted. The plot of the fraction F as a function of the anesthetic concentration (dibucaine and tetracaine) is shown in Fig. 4. The structural changes observed by ESR occur at concentrations at which these local anesthetics perturb the enzymatic function [17, 31, 32]. Contrary to the alkanols, the same degree of spin label restriction is observed for almost the same membranous concentrations. In fact, the effects of tetracaine and dibucaine are approximately equivalent for concentrations which are related by the same factor as the membrane-buffer partition coefficients for these drugs, e.g., the ratio of concentrations (tetracaine /dibucaine) giving place to spin label restriction such that F=0.3 is in the range of 3 to 4, which coincides approximately with the ratio of partition coefficients estimated from the measurements of aqueous concentrations. This ratio is also close to that of concentrations producing similar functional disturbances on the enzyme [17, 31, 32]. This indicates a correlation between the effects on the protein and the changes induced in enzyme function.

Salt and pH effects were tested on dibucaine-treated SRV. Salt effects were not significant. The ESR spectrum of MSL-4 in control samples does not change for pH values above 6.4, while, for low pH (~5.3), the fraction of the mobile component is much smaller and does not change upon dibucaine treatment. The restriction effect of dibucaine was detected for 6.4 < pH < 9.0, suggesting that both the charged and neutral forms of the drug interact with the protein.

We also obtained spectra of spin labeled SRV, solubilized with $C_{12}E_9$, as well as those of other soluble proteins, such as bovine serum albumin and hemoglobin, before and after dibucaine, and butanol treatment. In these cases, no relevant alterations were observed, even at concentrations much higher than those used to treat SRV samples. These negative results indicate that the effects observed in the case of the Ca²⁺ATPase are not a general property of proteins and that the action of these drugs may be associated to the presence of a lipidic environment.

DISCUSSION

The studied drugs have the effect of restricting the motion of maleimide spin labels bound to SR Ca²⁺ATPase. Since these labels bind covalently to SH groups of the protein, the effects observed on ESR spectra are probably due to changes in the organization of regions of the protein adjacent to the sites for maleimide binding. The observed spin label restriction may be attributed to protein conformational changes, as previously suggested by [33] working with cells treated with anesthetics. These changes could be caused either by the direct action of the drugs on the enzyme or by their action on the lipidic matrix through the protein-lipid interface. The negative results we obtained with solubilized proteins indicate that the lipidic environment modulates the restriction. Drug induced aggregation of the $Ca^{2+}ATPase$ could be restricting MSL motion. Kutchai et al. reported the formation of large oligomers of Ca²⁺ATPase induced by local anesthetics, and a concentration dependent biphasic behaviour of dissociation or aggregation of small oligomers by hexanol [21]. In the case of nalkanols we observed MSL restriction in the range of concentrations reported to induce dissociation of small oligomers. Thus, aggregation is probably not the cause of MSL restriction.

The good correlation between n-alkanol effects on ATPase activity and their lipid-buffer partition coefficients [10] suggests that drug action on the enzymatic function of SR $Ca^{2+}ATP$ is related to a hydrophobic site. Recently developed studies on the action of n-alkanols at the lipid-protein interface of this same enzyme [12] using spin-labeled phosphatidylcholine (14-PCSL), showed that the n-alkanols displace and fluidize interfacial lipids creating annular fluid clusters. Our results show that n-alkanols also disturb the protein inducing a motional restriction at some sites near cysteine residues. Nevertheless, while the changes at the lipid-protein interface correlate with the changes in activity and calcium permeability, the effects on the maleimide spin-labeled protein sites do not. At the respective concentrations producing maximal activity, n-alkanols (except ethanol) fluidize almost the same fraction of annular lipids, their efficiency increasing with increasing chain length [12], but at these concentrations they are far from producing the same degree of MSL restriction. We conclude that the structural alteration detected by these protein-bound labels is not related to the alkanol induced functional changes, but we can not exclude the presence of other function related alkanol binding sites. This result favours the hypothesis that n-alkanols act on integral proteins through the lipid-protein interface.

The absence of correlation between the events at the lipid-protein interface and the restriction of protein-bound spin labels shows that this restriction is not a consequence of modulation of protein conformation by lipid-protein interactions. It also suggests a secondary site for alkanol binding at the protein, not related to the fluidization of the annular lipids. In fact, the high affinity sites for maleimide binding are probably localized in the globular domains, where SH groups are abundant and more exposed to the aqueous phase than the alpha-helix domains which cross the membrane [24,25].

For dibucaine, no changes at the lipid-protein interface were detected by 14-PCSL (unpublished result) at concentrations affecting calcium uptake and ATPase activity. On the other hand, recent fluorescence measurements [34] showed that the highest affinity site for dibucaine in SRV is a lipid site near the membrane surface. Actually, a surface site for the local anesthetic explains why the label bound at the 14th carbon is not able to detect eventual changes at the lipid-protein interface. As in the case of alkanols, for tertiary amine local anesthetics there is also a good correlation between membranous concentrations and functional effects [17,31,32]. Our results show that dibucaine and tetracaine induce MSL restriction at functionally relevant concentrations. Moreover, equivalent degrees of restriction take place at dibucaine and tetracaine concentrations related by their lipid/buffer partition coefficients, obeying the rule: same intra-membrane concentration, same effect.

Some recent studies [17,19,35] suggest specific interactions of local anesthetics with membrane proteins, but in all cases protein-lipid interfacial sites

are not excluded. The hypothesis that better accounts for the results obtained by the different authors is that of a protein site at the lipid-protein interface.

Our results show that, unlike the alkanols, local anesthetics induce structural changes in the $Ca^{2+}ATPase$ which are coupled to functional disturbances. These changes may occur through drug interaction with membrane lipids. The difference of action would be explained if alkanols and local anesthetics perturb the interface in a different way, and this assumption is supported by the fact that dibucaine binding sites in the membrane are more close to the surface than those for alkanols Binding to a hydrophobic cleft in the protein is improbable because it can not explain why the restriction induced by the alkanols does not correlate with their hydrophobicity.

Acknowledgements

We thank L. de Meis for providing the SR vesicles. We acknowledge the financial support of CNPq and MCT.

CAPTIONS FOR FIGURES

Figure 1. ESR spectra of maleimide spin labeled $Ca^{2+}ATPase$ of SRV. (a): MSL-4, (b): MSL-2, (c): MSL-0, (d): difference spectrum obtained as $[(a) - 0.375 \times (c)]$ representing the mobile component and (e): difference spectrum obtained as $[(c) - 0.06 \times (d)]$ representing the restricted component. R and M indicate the low field peaks of the restricted and mobile components, respectively. All the spectra are normalized to the same double integral with amplification factors indicated in the figure.

Figure 2. Effect of the addition of n-butanol on the ESR spectrum of MSL-4 labeled Ca²⁺ATPase of SRV and spectral analysis. SRV in Hepes 20 mM, KCl 100 mM at pH 7.4 and label to protein molar ratio 3:1. (a) ESR spectrum of MSL-4 labeled SRV treated with 400 mM butanol, (b) ESR spectrum of MSL-4 labeled SRV in buffer, normalized to the same double integral, (c) difference spectrum obtained as $[(a) - 0.55 \times (b)]$ (enlarged 4-fold) representing the restricted component. R indicates the low field peak of the restricted component.

Figure 3. Plot showing the dependence of the fraction *F* on the concentration of butanol. *F* is the fraction of the mobile component which becomes restricted after butanol treatment and it is obtained, as indicated in the text, from the spectral analysis of the ESR profiles of the Ca²⁺ATPase labeled with MSL. The solid curve is an aid to the eyes.

Figure 4. The effects of dibucaine and tetracaine treatment on the ESR spectrum of MSL in sarcoplasmic reticulum vesicles. F is the fraction of the mobile component which becomes restricted after anesthetic treatment. The closed symbols represent tetracaine while the open ones are for dibucaine. Circles, triangles and squares are for MSL-4, MSL-2, and MSL-0, respectively. Solid curves are aids to the eyes.

CAPTIONS FOR TABLES

Table I. Percentual contribution of the mobile component to the spectra in Fig1.

Table II. Concentrations of alkanols producing maximal ATPase activation (c_{max}) , intramembrane concentrations (c_{mem}) , calculated as $K_p.c_{max}$, where the values of the partition coefficients, K_p , were taken from [29,30], and fraction (*F*) of mobile spin labels that become restricted after treatment with the n-alkanols at concentrations leading to the same functional effects.







Insert in Materials & Methods



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4

	% fluid component
MSL-4	65
MSL-2	15
MSL-0	6



alkanol	c_{max} (M)	c_{mem} (M)	F
ethanol	3.0	1.4	0.47 ± 0.05
butanol	0.3	0.96	0.31 ± 0.05
hexanol	17×10^{-3}	0.85	0.14 ± 0.05
octanol	1.6×10^{-3}	0.62	0.00 ± 0.05

Table II

REFERENCES

- 1. Franks, N.P. and Lieb, W.R. (1993) Br. J. Anaesth. 71, 65-76.
- 2. Franks, N.P. and Lieb, W.R. (1982) Nature 300, 487-493
- 3. Miller, K.W., Braswell, L.M., Firestone, L.L., Dodson, B.A. and Forman, S.A. (1986) in Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms of Anesthetics (Roth, H. and Miller, K.W., eds.) Plenum, New York
- 4. Trudell, J.R., Hubbell, W.L. and Cohen, E.N. (1973) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 291, 321-327.
- 5. Lee, A.G. (1976) Nature 262, 545-548.
- 6. Heidmann, T., Oswald, R.E. and Changeux, J.P (1983) Biochemistry 22, 3112-3127.
- 7. Elliot, J.R. and Haydon, D.A. (1989) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 988, 257-286.
- 8. Fraser, D.M., Louro, S.R.W., Horvath, L.I., Miller, K.W. and Watts, A. (1990) Biochemistry 29, 2664-2669.
- 9. Bigelow, D.J. and Thomas, D.D. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. 262, 13449-13456.
- 10. Kondo, M. and Kasai, M. (1973) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 331, 391-399.
- 11. Hara, K. and Kasai, M. (1977) J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 82, 1008-10171.
- 12. Lopes, C.M.B. and Louro, S. R. W. (1991) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1070, 467-473.
- Suko, J. Winkler, F. Scharinger, B. and Hellmann, G. (1976) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 443, 571-586.
- 14. Kurebayashi, N., Ogawa, Y., and Harafuji, H. (1982) J. Biochem. (92), 915-920.
- Volpe, P., Palade, P., Costello, B., Mitchell, R.D. and Fleischer, S. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 12434-12442.
- Antoniu, B., Kim, D.H., Morii, M. and Ikemoto, N. (1985) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 816, 9-17.
- 17. Shoshah-Barmatz, V. (1988) Biochem. J. 256, 733-739.
- Gutierrez-Merino, C., Molina, A., Escudero, B., Diez, A., and Laynez, J. (1989) Biochemistry 28, 3398-3406.

- Wolosker, H., Pacheco, A.G.F. and de Meis, L. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267, 5785-5789.
- Thomas, D. D. and Mahaney, J. E. (1993) in New Comprehensive Biochemistry, Vol. 25: Protein-Lipid Interactions (Watts, A., Ed.), pp 301-320, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 21. Kutchai, H., Mahaney, J. E., Geddis, L. M., and Thomas, D.D. (1994) Biochemistry, 33, 13208-13222.
- 22. Karon, B. S., Geddis, L. M., Kutchai, H., and Thomas, D. D. (1995) Biophys. J. 68, 936-945.
- 23. Axel, F. S. (1976) Biophys. Struct. Mechanism 2, 181-218.
- 24. Brandl, C. J., Green, N. M., Korczak, B., and MacLennan, D. H. (1986) Cell 44, 597-607.
- 25. McLennan, D.H., Brandl, C.J., Korczak, B., and Green, N.M. (1985) Nature 316, 696-700.
- 26. Andersen, J.P., Le Maire, M., and Moller, J.V. (1980) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 603, 84-100.
- 27. Eletr, S. and Inesi, G. (1972) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 282, 174-179.
- 28. Inesi, G. and De Meis, L. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 5929-5936.
- 29. Janoff, A.S., Pringle, M.J. and Miller, K.W. (1981) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 649, 125-128
- 30. Pringle, M. J., Brown, K. B. and Miller, K. W. (1981) Mol. Pharmacol. 19, 49-55.
- 31. Escudero, B., and Gutierrez-Merino, C. (1987) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 902, 374-384.
- 32. Katz, A.M., Repke, D. I., Corkedale, S., and Schwarz, J. (1975) Cardiovasc. Res. 9, 764-769.
- 33. Yamaguchi, T., Watanabe, S., and Kimoto, E. (1985) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 820, 157-164.
- 34. Louro, S.R.W, Tabak, M. and Nascimento O.R. (1993) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1189, 243-246.
- 35. Anteneodo, C., Rodahl, A. M., Meiering, E., Heynen, M. L., Sennisterra, G. A. and Lepock, J. R. (1994) Biochemistry 33, 12283-12290.