

Feynman Path-Integral Studies for Quantum Particles Propagators Interacting Environments

Luiz C.L. Botelho

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150
22290-180 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

Permanent address:
Departamento de Física
Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro - UFRRJ
Itaguaí, RJ 23851-970, Brasil

May, 2001

Abstract

We study the Effective Feynman propagator of Brownian Quantum Particles (particles interacting with environments).

1 Introduction

One of the most interesting and conceptual problems in non-relativistic quantum mechanics is the phenomena of *quantum friction*.

Until the present time, all studies on the subject were implemented to understand the appearance of damping in the quantum particle motion equation under the hypothesis of a particle of mass M interacting via a linear dissipative mechanism with a *thermal environment* at temperature T modeled by an infinite set of harmonic oscillators coupled bilinearly to the particle coordinate $X(t)$. Let us describe briefly the results obtained in this framework ([1]).

The total hamiltonian H of the system plus the bath is postulated to be of the form:

$H = \frac{1}{2}M \dot{X}^2(t) + V(X(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N m_j \left[\dot{\phi}_i^2 + w_i^2 \left(\phi_i + \frac{c_i}{m_i w_i^2} X \right)^2 \right]$. Note that the coupling to the bath of harmonic oscillators with (different!) masses $\{m_i\}$ and frequencies $\{w_i\}$ are of the form such that no coupling-induced renormalization on the potential $V(X)$ occurs. *This excludes* from further consideration that these Caldeira-Legget-Vermon-Feynman bath to be a phonon field with a well determined frequency spectrum.

Classically, one obtain the following (time non-local!) equation for the particle (the Generalized Langevin equation) in one-dimension: $MX''(t) + \frac{\partial V(X(t))}{\partial X} + M \int_0^t ds \gamma(t-s)X'(s)ds = \xi(t)$ with the memory-friction $\gamma(t)$ obeying the fluctuation-dissipation theorem: $\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = kTM\gamma(|1-t-s|)$.

Note that the usual damping term ($\gamma(t-s) \rightarrow \delta(t-s)$) is obtained only by a *suitable* choice of the parameters ($\{m_i, \{w_i\}$) made by hand ([1]), namely: in order to reproduce the usual damping term one has to choose a somewhat phenomenological artificial bath always in *thermal equilibrium!*

In this paper, we intend to implement similar environment studies, but with the environment being modeled firstly (section 2) by a *Random phonon field at zero-temperature* (a turbulent-out of equilibrium reservoir), secondly (section 3), a reservoir modeled *by a classical gas* in thermal equilibrium and finally (in section 4), a bath made by thermalized quantum oscillators all with the *same mass* and *same* frequency.

All the studies presented will be implemented at the particle quantum level for the main object on the Feynman path integral framework: the Particle Quantum Propagator (important object to study “Dissipative Tunneling Rates”! [1]) and not for the quantum particle probability amplitude (see Appendix 4).

Besides and for completeness, we present in four Appendices complementary studies on the results presented on the text.

2 The Path Integral for Damped Quantum Systems

Let us start our study by considering as a macroscopic reservoir a random one dimensional *classical* vibration field

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \phi(x, t) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \phi(x, t) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\phi(x, 0) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t)|_{t=0} = f(x) \quad (3)$$

where the initial date $f(x)$ belongs to an ensemble of random initial vibration field velocities in order to produce the environment randomness and is supposed to satisfy the white-noise statistics with strenght $\gamma > 0$

$$\langle f(x) \rangle = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\langle f(x)f(x') \rangle = \gamma \delta(x - x') \quad (5)$$

From textbooks, the quantum mechanical amplitude is built from the classical action through the following formulae

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(x, t) + \frac{1}{2M} \left(\frac{\partial S(x, t)}{\partial t} \right)^2 = V(x) \quad (6)$$

$$\lim_{|t-t'| \rightarrow 0} \langle (x, t) | (x', t') \rangle = A(t, t') \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S((x, t; x', t')) \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{and}(x_N = x; x_0 = x') \quad (8)$$

$$\langle (x, t) | (x', t') \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_k \langle x_{k+1}, t_{k+1} | x_k, t_k \rangle \right\} \quad (9)$$

In order to write the associated (formal) Feynman path integral associated to the interaction of the above quantum particle with the reservoir eq. (1)-eq. (3), one needs on base of above written equations only to know the effective classical action $S(x, t)$ of this particle in order to build the *finite time* Feynman propagator eq. (9). In order to write the explicit expression for this

classical damped action, we consider firstly the classical particle trajectory $X(t)$ interacting with the “oscillators reservoirs”

$$M \frac{d^2 X(t)}{dt^2} = -gk\phi_k(t) \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \phi_k(t)}{dt^2} = -\frac{k^2}{c^2} \phi_k(t) - gkX(t) \quad (11)$$

Here, the random wave field is considered as a random motion of the harmonic oscillators associated to its plane wave expansion problem, namely:

$$\phi(x, t) = \int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda} < |k| < \Lambda} dk \phi_k(t) e^{ikx} \quad (12)$$

$$\phi_{-k}(t) = \phi_k^*(t) \quad (13)$$

$$\phi_k(0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi_k(0)}{\partial t} = \int dk f(k) e^{ikx} \equiv f_k \quad (14)$$

Note that we have considered the usual weak reservoir coupling linear interaction in eq. (10)–eq. (11). ([2]).

At this point, we solve the classical problem eq. (10)–eq. (11) of the particle interacting with the reservoir by means of the Laplace transform and obtain, thus, the following result in the frequency domain

$$Ms^2 \tilde{X}(s) = -\frac{g}{\left(\int_{1/\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dk\right)} \left\{ \int_{1/\Lambda < |k| < \Lambda} dk \cdot k [f_k - gk\tilde{X}(s)] \frac{c^2}{k^2} \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{s^2 + \left(\frac{k}{c}\right)^2}\right) \right\} \quad (15)$$

The motion equation in the time domain, thus, is given by

$$M\ddot{X}(t) = (c^2 g^2)X(t) - \lambda^{(\Lambda)} \frac{dX}{dt}(t) + E(t) \quad (16)$$

where the damping term is given explicitly in terms of the system macroscopic parameters by $\lambda^{(\Lambda)} = \frac{2\pi g^2 c}{\Lambda}$. It is worth remarking that the external random force $E(t)$ coming from the randomness of the reservoir oscillators initial velocities satisfies the white-noise Gaussian statistics (see appendix 2)

$$\langle E(t)E(t') \rangle = \frac{g^2}{\Lambda^2} \gamma c^2 \int_{1/\Lambda < |k| < \Lambda} dk \operatorname{sen}\left(\frac{kt}{c}\right) \operatorname{sen}\left(\frac{kt'}{c}\right) = \frac{g^2 \gamma c^3}{\Lambda^2} \delta_{(\Lambda)}(t - t') \quad (17)$$

The interaction, thus, is of the kind of Brownian reservoir ([3]), with a relaxation term and an external random forcing.

At this point we propose to consider the following analogous equation for defining the phase of our quantum damped particle on basis of similarity of eq. (16) for *very short time quantum propagation* ($t \sim 0^+$) (see eq. (7)-ref. [5])

$$\frac{\partial S(x, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2M} \left(\frac{\partial S(x, t)}{\partial x} \right)^2 = -\lambda^{(\Lambda)} S(x, t) + \phi(x, t) \quad (18)$$

instead of the well known Caldirola-Kanai lagrangean ([4]).

Here $\phi(x, t) = E(t)x$ is the stochastic potential responsible for the randomness of the environment

$$\langle E(t)E(t') \rangle = \lambda \delta(t - t') \quad (19)$$

where we have introduced the phenomenological bath viscosity λ by the relationship $\frac{g^2 \gamma c^3}{\Lambda^2} = \lambda$.

For completeness, we are going to discuss the complete three-dimensional case in our discussions from now on, namely:

$$\frac{\partial S(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2M} |\vec{\nabla} S(\vec{r}, t)|^2 = -\lambda S(\vec{r}, t) + V(\vec{r}, t) + \phi(\vec{r}, t) \quad (20)$$

Here $S(\vec{r}, t)$ is the 3D-version of the damped particle quantum phase $V(\vec{r}, t)$ is the deterministic potential, $-\lambda S(\vec{r}, t)$ with $\lambda > 0$ denotes the term which is related to the damping effects on the motion of the particle as showed above and $\phi(\vec{r}, t)$ is the (intrinsic) stochastic Gaussian noise potential responsible for the classical stochastic behavior of the Brownian particle ([3]).

Note that “Brownian motion” analitical form of this three-dimensional Gaussian random potential should posses the form of a homogeneous “Electric potential drift $\phi(\vec{r}, t) = \vec{E}(t) \cdot \vec{r}$ and its two point correlation function is given explicitly by (compare with eq. (19) for the one-dimensional case)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(\vec{r}, t) \phi(\vec{r}', t') \rangle &= \langle E_i(t) E_j(t') \rangle r_i r'_j \\ &= (\lambda) \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t') r_i r'_j \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In the Feynman path integral formalism, one should define as a quantum transition amplitude for the damped quantum particle, eq. (1), the following sum over quantum trajectories (see Appendix 4 for a detailed discussion)

$$G((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) = \sum_{\{C\}} \left\langle \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S [(\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')] \right) \right\rangle_{\phi} . \quad (22)$$

Here C is some trajectory of the classical system, $S((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t'))$ is the classical action of the system and $\langle \cdots \rangle_\phi$ denotes the stochastic average over all realization of the environment random potential $\phi(\vec{r}, t)$ acting on the particle.

The above formula, Eq. (21), is symbolic, but while in the case of non-damping $\lambda = 0$ and no stochasticity, i.e, $\phi(\vec{r}, t) \equiv 0$, we know how to decipher and compute it ([1],[7]). But in the general dissipative case, Eq. (21), such knowledgement is not available presently ([4]). Let us propose a formal solution for this problem.

As a first step, we solve the generalized Hamilton-Jacobi equation, Eq. (20). Its solution is easily seen to be given by

$$S(\vec{r}, t) = e^{-\lambda t} S^{(0)}(\vec{r}, t) \quad (23)$$

with $S^{(0)}(\vec{r}, t)$ satisfying the usual Hamiltonian-Jacobi equation with time dependent parameters, including the mass term, i.e,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S^{(0)}(\vec{r}, t) + \frac{1}{2Me^{\lambda t}} \left| \vec{\nabla} S^{(0)}(\vec{r}, t) \right|^2 = e^{\lambda t} (\phi(\vec{r}, t) + V(\vec{r}, t)) . \quad (24)$$

An exact solution of Eq. (24), in terms of the action functionals, is easily given in terms of the Caldirola-Kanai action ([2])

$$S^{(0)}(\vec{r}, t) = \int_{t'}^t d\sigma e^{\lambda\sigma} \left[\frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{d\vec{r}}{d\sigma} \right)^2 - (\phi(\vec{r}(\sigma), \sigma) + V(\vec{r}(\sigma), \sigma)) \right] . \quad (25)$$

which, by its turn, leads to the following expression for our complete phase factor, Eq. (23):

$$S(\vec{r}, t) = \int_{t'}^t d\sigma e^{\lambda(\sigma-t)} \left[\frac{1}{2} M \left(\frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \right)^2 - (\phi(\vec{r}(\sigma), \sigma) + V(\vec{r}(\sigma), \sigma)) \right] . \quad (26)$$

Following now our procedure exposed in Ref. [4], we consider the discretized version of Eq. (26), i.e.,

$$\hat{S}((\vec{x}_{k+1}, t_{k+1}); (\vec{x}_k, t_k)) = e^{\lambda(t_k - t_{k+1})} \left[\frac{1}{2} M \frac{(\vec{x}_{k+1} - \vec{x}_k)^2}{\varepsilon^2} - \varepsilon V(\vec{x}_k, t_k) - \varepsilon \phi(\vec{x}_k, t_k) \right] . \quad (27)$$

At this point of our study, we remark that the short-time transition amplitude, in the Feynman path integral and propagator formalism, is given explicitly by the asymptotic result, i.e.,

$$G((\vec{x}_{k+1}, t_{k+1}); (\vec{x}_k, t_k)) \cong A(t_{k+1}, t_k) \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{S}((\vec{x}_{k+1}, t_{k+1}); (\vec{x}_k, t_k)) \right) , \quad (28)$$

where $t_{k+1} - t_k \rightarrow 0$.

The pre-factor in Eq. (28) is easily obtained from the $t \rightarrow 0^+$ condition, i.e.,

$$\lim_{(t_{k+1}-t_k) \rightarrow 0} G((\vec{x}_{k+1}, t_{k+1}); (\vec{x}_k, t_k)) = \delta^{(D)}(\vec{x}_{k+1} - \vec{x}_k), \quad (29)$$

and leading, thus, to the exact result:

$$A(t_{k+1}, t_k) = e^{\frac{D\lambda}{2}(t_k - t_{k+1})} \left[\frac{M}{2\pi\hbar(t_{k+1} - t_k)} \right]^{\frac{D}{2}}. \quad (30)$$

As a consequence of the above displayed formulae, we obtain the finite time propagator, i.e.,

$$G((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} d\vec{r}_k \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{D\lambda}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^N \left(t' + \frac{t-t'}{N}k \right) - \left(t' + \frac{t-t'}{N}(k+1) \right) \right] \right\} \\ \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi\hbar(t_{k+1} - t_k)} \right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \prod_{k=0}^N \varepsilon e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}(t_k - t_{k+1})} \left(\frac{M}{2} \frac{(\vec{r}_{k+1} - \vec{r}_k)^2}{\varepsilon^2} - V(\vec{r}_k, t_k) - \phi(\vec{r}_k, t_k) \right) \right\} \quad (31)$$

Now it is easy to evaluate the sum in Eq. (30) where D is the space-time dimension:

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{D\lambda}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} (t' + \varepsilon k) - (t' + \varepsilon(k+1)) \right] \right\} = \exp \left[-\frac{D\lambda}{2}(t-t') \right], \quad (32)$$

and thus arrive at the following computable Feynman path integral (without making the evaluation of the classical stochastic average over the random potentials at this point of our study), i.e.,

$$G_\phi((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t)) = \exp - \left(\frac{D\lambda(t-t')}{2} \right) \int_{\vec{r}(t')=\vec{r}'; \vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}} D^F[\vec{r}(\sigma)] \\ \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma e^{\lambda(\sigma-t)} \left[\frac{1}{2} M \left(\frac{d\vec{r}}{d\sigma} \right)^2 - V(\vec{r}(\sigma), \sigma) - \phi(\vec{r}(\sigma), \sigma) \right] \right\}. \quad (33)$$

Note that, in constrast to previous studies (Ref. [4] and [5]), the dissipative anomaly in Eq. (32) decays to zero at the equilibrium limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, independent of the discretization procedure used to define the Path-integral.

At this point we take the average of Eq. (33) in the ensemble of the classical stochastic potentials $\{\phi(\vec{r}, t)\}$ eq. (21) with the result of a harmonic oscillator action with a time dependent imaginary frequency $Mw_0^2 = \{i\lambda \exp[2(\sigma - t)]\}/\hbar$, namely

$$\left\langle \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \exp(\lambda(\sigma - t)) \phi(\vec{r}(\sigma), \sigma) \right\} \right\rangle = \exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda}{2(\hbar)^2} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \exp(2\lambda(\sigma - t)) (\vec{r}(\sigma))^2 \right\} \quad (34)$$

It is worth point out that this result is a direct consequence of the form of eq. (19).

The complete Brownian propagator takes, thus, the final form

$$\begin{aligned}
 G((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) &= e^{-\frac{D\lambda(t-t')}{2}} \\
 &\int_{\vec{r}(t')=\vec{r}'; \vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}} D^F[\vec{r}(\sigma)] \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma e^{\lambda(\sigma-t)} \left[\frac{1}{2} M \left(\frac{d\vec{r}}{d\sigma} \right)^2 - V(\vec{r}(\sigma), \sigma) \right] \right\} \\
 &\exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda \exp(-2t\lambda)}{2(\hbar)^2} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma e^{(2\lambda\sigma)} (\vec{r}(\sigma))^2 \right\} \quad (35)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the path integral weight in the above proposed propagator for the quantum version of a Brownian classical particle described by eq. (20) *differs* from the usual Caldirola-Kanai action by an overall factor $e^{\lambda t}$. At this point we remark that the appearance of this factor makes the problem of writing *local* Schrödinger equations associated to the effective propagator eq. (35), a difficult problem ([5]). It is our opinion that only path integrals techniques are available for analysing these “bath integrated out” particle quantum transitions amplitudes given by the proposed propagator eq. (36), similar to those techniques used in the “Polaron problem” by R.P. Feynman [1] and [7]) and myself ([5]).

For quantum fields, the interaction with a Brownian reservoir should be given by the following Caldirola-Kanai Field lagrangean with the random white noise drift eq. (21) as one can see from considering the harmonic oscillator field expansion with each harmonic oscillator coupled to the reservoir ([6]).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_{dam}(\varphi, \partial_t \varphi, \nabla \varphi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\vec{x} \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{\lambda t} [(\dot{\varphi})^2 - (\vec{\nabla} \varphi)^2] (\vec{x}, t) \\
 &+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\vec{x} \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{\lambda t} (E(t)\varphi(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{g}{4!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\vec{x} \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{\lambda t} (\varphi(\vec{x}, t))^4) \quad (36)
 \end{aligned}$$

the above lagrangean leads, by its turn, to the classical Brownian field equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \right) \varphi(\vec{x}, t) = -\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(\vec{x}, t) + E(t)\varphi(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{g}{3!} (\varphi(\vec{x}, t))^3 \quad (37)$$

Let us, thus, write the quantum field generating functional associated to the second quantization of eq. (35) by means of a Feynman path integral averaged over all white-noise drifts $E(t)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z[J(\vec{x}, t)] &= \left\langle \frac{1}{Z(0)} \int D^F[\varphi(x, t)] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\vec{x} \mathcal{L}_{dam}(\varphi, \partial_t \varphi, \vec{\nabla} \varphi)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\vec{x} (\varphi \cdot J)(\vec{x}, t)} \right\rangle_E \quad (38)
 \end{aligned}$$

A Feynman diagrammatic expansion can be easily implemented to eq. (38) as follows.

The free-field causal Feynman infrared regularized by the damping constant ν propagator (in the range $[0, \infty)$) satisfies the motion equation

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_x \right) G((\vec{x}, t); (\vec{x}', t')) = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \delta(t - t') \quad (39)$$

or in the momentum space

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda \frac{d}{dt} + (\vec{k})^2 \right) \bar{G}(\vec{k}, t, t') = e^{-\lambda t} \delta(t - t') \quad (40)$$

with the explicitly solution.

For $(\vec{k})^2 > \lambda^2/4$:

$$G(\vec{k}, t, t') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{((\vec{k})^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4})}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(t-t')} \text{sen} \left(\sqrt{((\vec{k})^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4})(t-t')} \right) \theta(t-t') \quad (41)$$

For $(\vec{k})^2 < \frac{\lambda^2}{4}$:

$$G(\vec{k}, t, t') = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{4} - (\vec{k})^2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(t-t')} \theta(t-t') \\ \times \left\{ e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}(\sqrt{1-4\frac{(\vec{k})^2}{\lambda^2}})(t-t')} - e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\sqrt{1-4\frac{(\vec{k})^2}{\lambda^2}})(t-t')} \right\} \quad (42)$$

and for $(\vec{k})^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{4}$.

$$G(\vec{k}, t, t') = (t-t') e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(t-t')} \quad (43)$$

The interaction vextex are the same of the usual massless $g\varphi^4$ -Field theory but now with an explicit interaction with the “classical” source field $e^{\lambda t}$ and with the white-noise drift $E(t)$, which should be averaged at the end of the diagrammatic computations. At this point is worth remark that is unphysical to consider for the range of time t the whole range $(-\infty, \infty)$ since this system is damped and does not have time invariance ($e^{\lambda t} \neq e^{-\lambda t}$) (see eq. (36)).

A complete study of these diagrammatic computations will be presented elsewhere.

3 The Quantum Propagator of a Particle Interacting with a Classical Gas

Let us start this section by considering the grand canonical partition functional of a classical gas in a volume $\Omega \subset R^3$ at temperature $T = 1/k\beta$ with a two body interaction potential $V(\vec{r})$

$$Z(z, \beta, \Omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n Z_n(\beta; \Omega) \quad (44)$$

where $Z_n(\beta, \Omega)$ denotes the N -body partition functional

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(\beta, \Omega) &= \frac{1}{(\hbar)^{3n} n!} \prod_{i=1}^N \left\{ \int_{\Omega} d^3 \vec{r}_i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3 \vec{p}_i \exp \left[-\beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i<j}^n V(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j) \right) \right] \right\} = \\ &= \left(\frac{2\pi m}{\beta \hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{3n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \int_{\Omega} d^3 \vec{r}_i \exp \left[-\beta \left(\sum_{i<j}^n V(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j) \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Let us represent eq. (44) by means of the functional integral of a field theory on the volume Ω (a closed manifold on R^3 !)

$$Z(z, \beta, \Omega) = \int D^F[\phi(\vec{r})] \exp \left\{ - \int_{\Omega} d^3 \vec{r} \phi(\vec{r}) (\mathcal{L}\phi)(\vec{r}) \right\} \exp \left(\alpha \int_{\Omega} d^3 \vec{r} \exp(i\gamma\phi(\vec{r})) \right) \stackrel{det}{\equiv} \langle 1 \rangle_{\phi} \quad (46)$$

with the following coupling identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^2 &= \beta = \frac{1}{kT} \\ \alpha &= z \left(\frac{2\pi m}{\beta \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta V(\vec{0}) \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

The kinetic term of the gas field theory eq. (46) has the two-body potential as the Green function namely

$$\mathcal{L}V(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \quad (48)$$

A proof of eq. (46) is easily obtained by considering the power expansion on α of the Exponential Field interaction and evaluating the resulting gaussian functional integrals obtained on the process. As a result one obtains the validity of eq. (46).

At this point, we consider the interaction of a *quantum* particle of mass M with the gas by the simplest linear interaction of strenght g of the field $\phi(\vec{r})$ with the Feynman particle trajectory

current $\vec{r}(\sigma)$ (as it is usually made on the treatment of Feynman path integrals for quantum particles on the presence of a electromagnetic field). Namely, the Effective Particle propagator representing the interaction of our quantum particle with the classical gas as a reservoir is proposed to be given by (see Appendix 4 for a detailed discussion)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G}((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) &= \int_{\vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}; \vec{r}(t')=\vec{r}'} D^F[\vec{r}(\sigma)] \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t \frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{d\vec{r}}{d\sigma}\right)^2 d\sigma\right) \\ &\quad \left\langle \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} g \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \phi(r(\sigma))\right) \right\rangle_{\phi} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Here the average $\langle \rangle_{\phi}$ is defined by the (euclidean) path integral eq. (46).

A closed expression for our proposed ‘‘Bath-interaction’’ quantum propagator is easily written down by considering again a α -perturbative calculation similar to that one used to show the functional integral representation eq. (46). We, thus, have the following result

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G}((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} \int_{\Omega} d^3 r_1 \cdots d^3 r_n \left[\int_{\vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}; \vec{r}(t')=\vec{r}'} D^F[\vec{r}(\sigma)] \right. \\ &\quad \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} d\sigma \int_{t'}^t \frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{d\vec{r}}{d\sigma}\right)^2\right) \times \exp\left(-\gamma^2 \sum_{(k,j)}^n V(\vec{r}_k - \vec{r}_j)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma}{\hbar} \sum_{k=1}^n d\sigma V(\vec{r}(\sigma) - \vec{r}_k)\right) \\ &\quad \left. \exp\left(-\frac{g^2}{2\hbar^2} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \int_{t'}^t d\sigma' V(\vec{r}(\sigma) - \vec{r}(\sigma'))\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

In the regime of higher-temperature $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, the leading contribution on γ is exactly given by the self-avoiding interaction trajectory path integral

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G}((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) &\sim \int_{\vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}; \vec{r}(t')=\vec{r}'} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \left(\frac{M}{2}\right) \left(\frac{d\vec{r}}{d\sigma}\right)^2\right) \\ &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{g^2}{2\hbar^2} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \int_{t'}^t d\sigma' V(\vec{r}(\sigma) - \vec{r}(\sigma'))\right) \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

At this point the reader should compare eq. (51) with the Brownian quantum propagator eq. (35). As a conclusion of this analysis we conclude that the *Bath-reservoir physical nature affects drastically the mathematical structure of the quantum system in interaction with the above mentioned environment.*

4 The Quantum Propagator of a Particle Interacting with a reservoir of thermalized Quantum Oscilators

In this section we consider the motion of a quantum free particle in the presence of a thermalized lattice of harmonic oscillators. Let us, thus, write the effective particle propagator interacting with the environment (the thermalized lattice) by means of a microscopic interaction potential $\tilde{V}(\vec{x})$. The formal expression for the effective quantum propagator is, thus, given by similar expression to eq. (49)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G}((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) &= \prod_{(i \in \text{Lattice})} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} d\vec{Q}_i \left[\int_{Q_i(0)=Q_i(\beta)=\vec{Q}_i} D^F[\vec{Q}(s)] \right. \right. \\ &\exp \left(- \int_0^\beta ds \left[\frac{1}{2} m \left(\frac{dQ}{ds} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m \Omega^2 Q^2(s) \right] \right) \\ &\left. \left[\int_{\vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}; \vec{r}(t')=\vec{r}'} D^F[\vec{r}(\sigma)] \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t \frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{dr}{d\sigma} \right)^2 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \int_0^\beta ds \tilde{V}(\vec{r}(\sigma) - \vec{Q}(s)) \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

In order to have more tractable analytical expression for eq. (52), let us rewrite eq. (52) in the following form taking into account all the correct combinatoric factors of the lattice product on eq. (52)

$$\overline{G}((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) = \int_{\vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}; \vec{r}(t')=\vec{r}'} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{dr}{d\sigma} \right)^2 \right) \exp[+W(\vec{r}(\sigma))] \quad (53)$$

Here $W(\vec{r}(\sigma))$ is the effective piece of the path-integral weight coming from the interaction with the thermalized lattice and given by following path-integral

$$\begin{aligned} W(\vec{r}(\sigma)) &= \rho \int_{\vec{Q}(0)=\vec{Q}(\beta)=\vec{0}} D^F[\vec{Q}(s)] \exp \left(- \int_0^\beta ds \left[\frac{m}{2} \dot{Q}^2(s) + \frac{1}{2} m \Omega^2 Q^2(\Omega) \right] \right) \\ &\exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \int_0^\beta ds \tilde{V}(\vec{r}(\sigma) - \vec{Q}(s)) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $\rho = N/V$ is the particle density of the lattice.

It is worth remark that the path-integral weight on eq. (52) can be exactly evaluated if one has the interaction of the harmonic oscillator type among the lattice particles and the particle: $\tilde{V}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2}k|\vec{x}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}kx^2$.

In the case of $\rho \ll 1$ (low density lattice), one obtain the leading result

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{G}((\vec{r}, t); (\vec{r}', t')) &\sim \int_{\vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}; \vec{r}(t')=\vec{r}'} D^F[\vec{r}(\sigma)] \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t dr \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{dr}{d\sigma}\right)^2\right) \\
 &\left\{ 1 + \rho \left[\int_{\overline{Q}(0)=\overline{Q}(\beta)=0} D^F[\overline{Q}(s)] \exp\left(-\int_0^\beta ds \left[\frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{dQ}{ds}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}M\Omega^2 Q^2(s)\right]\right) \right. \right. \\
 &\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}k \int_0^\beta ds Q^2(s)(t-t')\right) \\
 &\left. \left. \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}k\beta \int_{t'}^t d\sigma r^2(\sigma)\right) \exp\left(+\frac{2i}{\hbar}k \int_0^\beta ds Q(s) \left(\int_{t'}^t d\sigma r(\sigma)\right)\right)\right] \right\} + O(\rho^2) \quad (55)
 \end{aligned}$$

Exactly evaluation of eq. (55) is a straightforward procedure ([7]) and left to the reader and should be compared again with eq. (35) and eq. (51) given on the text. Another time we see that the Open quantum particle propagator depends heavily on the physical nature of the environment.

Acknowledgement: Luiz C.L. Botelho is thankful to CNPq – Brazil for financial support and to Prof. Helayël-Neto from CCP-CBPF – Brasil for warm hospitality.

Appendix 1 – The damped classical phase

In the appendix we make an alternative and more invariant deduction for the basic equation (18) of our paper.

Let me, thus, consider the usual Schrödinger wave equation in its polar form and associated to the Feynman (initial value) Propagator, namely

$$\psi(\vec{x}, t) = \rho(\vec{x}, t) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S(\vec{x}, t) \right\} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{1}{2m} |\nabla_{\vec{x}} S(\vec{x}, t)|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\Delta \rho(\vec{x}, t)}{\rho(\vec{x}, t)} = -\text{grad } V(\vec{x}) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$S(\vec{x}, 0) = 0 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(\vec{x}, t) + \text{div}(\rho(\vec{x}, t) \vec{\nabla} S(\vec{x}, t)) = 0 \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\rho(\vec{x}, t) = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \quad (\text{A.5})$$

I postulate that the interaction of our quantum particle with the bath is of the Brownian form, namely, I added to the right-hand side of eq. (A-2) relaxation terms coming from the damping and the randomness as a result of the interaction with the reservoir of our quantum particle

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{v}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v}(x, t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\Delta \rho(\vec{x}, t)}{\rho(\vec{x}, t)} \right\} - \vec{\nabla} \cdot V(\vec{x}) - \nu \vec{v}(\vec{x}, t) + \vec{F}(\vec{x}, t) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$ is the gradient of the wave function, phase ($\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot S(\vec{x}, t)$). Note that I have preserved all others equations (A-1), (A-3), (A-4) and (A-5). Besides, I postulate that the bath random force $\vec{F}(\vec{x}, t)$ is a white-noise gaussian process with strenght $D > 0$ and in analogy with turbulence theory (ref. [8]) in order to have local expressions in my exposition

$$\langle F_i(\vec{x}, t) F_j(\vec{x}', t') \rangle = D \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \delta(t - t') \quad (\text{A.7})$$

It is well-known that it is impossible to write local Schrödinger wave equation for the set eq. (A-5), eq. (A-6). However it is possible, in principle, to write a path integral expression for the Feynman propagator defined in the text by eq. (9). In order to exhibit this new result, I should firstly write the Feynman propagator in the usual small step form of eq. (6)-eq. (9) as it is given in the text

$$\langle (x, t) | (x', t') \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} dx_k \right) f(t_{k+1}, t_k) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} (S(x_{k+1}, t_{k+1}) - S(x_k, t_k)) \right\} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

which may be written in the following form for the damped case (*remember that* $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot S(\vec{x}, t)$!)

$$\langle (x, t) | (x', t') \rangle_{\text{dam}} = F(t - t') \int_{\vec{x}(t) = \vec{x}}^{\vec{x}(t') = \vec{x}'} D^F[\vec{x}(\sigma)] \left\langle \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{\vec{x}(\sigma)} \vec{v}(\vec{x}(\sigma), \sigma) d\vec{x}(\sigma) \right\} \right\rangle_F \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where $\langle \ \rangle_F$ denotes the average of all realizations of the random external source eq. (A-6) through the “hydrodynamical equations” eq. (A-5), eq. (A-3), eq. (A-4) and eq. (A-5).

An explicitly path-integral expression for this random average in terms of a weight defined by the “quantum current” $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot S(\vec{x}, t)$ is easily obtained by means of the functional variable shift $\vec{F}(\vec{x}, t) \rightarrow \vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$ (see ref. [8] – eq. (7))

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ \rangle_F &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int D^F[\vec{F}(\vec{x}, t)] \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{D} \int_0^\infty dt \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3x |\vec{F}(x, t)|^2 \right\} \\ &\int D^F[\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)] \det_F \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta v_k(\vec{x}, t)} \left\{ \text{eq. (A-5) without the term } \vec{F}(\vec{x}, t) \right\} \right] = \\ &\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{D} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3x \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{v} + \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v} + \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla} \left\{ \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} \right\} (\vec{v}) \right) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot V + \nu \vec{v} \right|^2 (\vec{x}, t) \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \ \rangle_{\vec{v}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where I have, in principle, solved exactly the “quantum fluid hydrodynamical density” equation (A-4) $\rho(\vec{x}, t) = F[\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)]$ in terms of the “quantum current” $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$, and substituted the resulting functional expression in the quantum potential term $\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\Delta \rho(x, t)}{\rho(x, t)} \equiv \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left\{ \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} \right\} (\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t))$ and expressed it solely in terms of the “quantum current” $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$. Unfortunately, we were not able to solve the first order partial differential equations (A-4) and (A-5) and obtained the exact functional form of the quantum potential in terms of $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)$ (however see appendix 3 for a path-integral solution for this problem).

Note that the functional determinant (the functional jacobian) in eq. (A-9) is unity, and the “pre-factor” term $F(t, t')$ in eq. (A-8) is obtained after the evaluation of the average

$$\left\langle \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{\vec{x}(\sigma)} \vec{v}(\vec{x}(\sigma), \sigma) d\vec{x}(\sigma) \right\} \right\rangle_{\vec{v}} \equiv \exp [-S_{\text{dam}}(\vec{x}(\sigma), \sigma)] \quad (\text{A.11})$$

by imposing the boundary condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} \langle \vec{x}, t | \vec{x}', t' \rangle = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$: in the Feynman propagator eq. (A-8) – eq. (A-9).

At this point we deduce the basic eq. (26) of our study presented in the bulk of our paper. For short time propagation $t_{k+1} - t_k \approx 0$, we can see that the $\langle \ \rangle_{\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t)}$ - average is entirely dominated

by the “vanishing” $D \rightarrow 0$ randomness limit with the hypothesis of $\rho(\vec{x}, t) = \text{constant}$ in this small step limit. In this case, the average eq. (A-10) is given by (with $t_k < \sigma < t_{k+1}$!)

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{\vec{x}(\sigma)} \vec{v}_{CL}(\vec{x}(\sigma), \sigma) d\vec{x}(\sigma) \right\} \stackrel{def}{=} \exp[-S_{dam}(\vec{x}(\sigma), \sigma)] \quad (\text{A.11}')$$

where $\vec{v}_{CL}(\vec{x}, t)$ satisfies the classical motion equation (the functional minimum of the weight on eq. (A-9)) (see refs. [9])

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{v}_{CL}(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{1}{2m} [(\vec{v}_{CL} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v}_{CL}] (\vec{x}, t) = -\nu \vec{v}_{CL}(\vec{x}, t) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot V(\vec{x}) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\vec{v}_{CL}(\vec{x}, 0) = \vec{0} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

In order to solve eq. (A-12) and eq. (A-13) under the hypothesis of $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{\nabla} S(\vec{x}, t)$, we firstly consider the variable change

$$\vec{v}_{CL}(\vec{x}, t) = e^{-\nu t} \vec{v}^{(0)}(\vec{x}, t) \quad (\text{A.14})$$

yielding the result

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{v}^{(0)}(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{1}{2(me\nu t)} [(\vec{v}^{(0)} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v}^{(0)}] (\vec{x}, t) = e^{\nu t} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot V(\vec{x})) \quad (\text{A.15})$$

which, by its turn, has the exact solution (see eq. (24) for the phase $S(\vec{x}, t)$)

$$\vec{v}^{(0)}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}} \left\{ \int_0^t d\sigma e^{\nu\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} m |\vec{x}(\sigma)|^2 - V(\vec{x}(\sigma)) \right) \right\} . \quad (\text{A.16})$$

After collecting eq. (A-15); eq. (A-14), we see that for $t_k \leq \sigma \leq t_{k+1}$, we have the functional form of the “damped” classical particle action used in the text eq. (26)

$$S_{damp}(\vec{x}(\sigma), \sigma) = \int_{t'}^t d\sigma e^{\nu(\sigma-t)} \left(\frac{1}{2} m |\vec{x}(\sigma)|^2 - V(\vec{x}(\sigma)) \right) \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Appendix 2 – The Bath reaction

In this short appendix we give a proof of eq. (17) (the random piece of the bath reaction on the classical particle).

This term comes from the following expression (see eq. (15)) in the frequency domain s and associated to the random initial conditions eq. (4)–eq. (5)

$$\tilde{E}(s) = - \left\{ \frac{g}{\left(\int_{1/\Lambda}^1 dk\right)} \left(\int_{1/\Lambda < k < \Lambda} dk \cdot \frac{k f_k}{s^2 + \left(\frac{k}{c}\right)^2} \right) \right\} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Note that f_k are random variable satisfying the same white-noise statistics of eq. (5) (the environment randomness)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_k \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle f_k f_{k'} \rangle &= \gamma \delta^{(1)}(k + k') \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

As a consequence of the above written equations, we have as a straightforward result the eq. (17) given on the text

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E(t)E(t') \rangle &= - \left(\frac{g^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) \gamma \int_{1/\Lambda < (k, k') < \Lambda} dk dk' \left(\frac{\text{sen}(ckt)}{ck} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\text{sen}(ck't')}{ck'} \right) \delta^{(1)}(k + k')(kk') \\ &= \text{eq. (17)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Appendix 3 – The Path-Integral Solution of a Transport Equation

In this appendix we exhibit a closed analytical path-integral solution for the transport equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(\vec{x}, t) + \text{div}(\rho(\vec{x}, t) \vec{\nabla} S(\vec{x}, t)) = D \Delta \rho(\vec{x}, t) \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} \rho(\vec{x}, t) = \delta^{(3)}(x - x') \quad (\text{A.22})$$

In order to write a path-integral expression for the Green function $G[(\vec{x}, t); (\vec{x}', t')]$ solution of eq. (A.21) and eq. (A.22), we compare it with the analogous problem in Quantum mechanics of a particle interacting with a electromagnetic vector potential field \vec{A} and a potential field force ϕ . In this quantum mechanical case, the associated Schrödinger equation reads

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{x}, t) = \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2n} \Delta \psi + \frac{ie\hbar}{mc} \text{div}(\vec{A}\psi) + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} (\vec{A}^2)\psi + \phi\psi \right\} (\vec{x}, t) \quad (\text{A.23})$$

At this point we make the following analytic continuation on eq. (23)

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar &= -i ; \vec{A} = -\nabla S ; \phi = -\frac{1}{4D} (\vec{A})^2 \\ m &= \frac{1}{2D} ; \frac{e}{c} = \frac{1}{2D} ; c = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

One can see that eq. (A.23) becomes formally identical to eq. (A.21). In terms of path integrals, we, thus, have the following (Euclidean-Wiener) path integral representation for the above transport Green function

$$G[(\vec{x}, t); (\vec{x}', t')] = \int_{\vec{Z}(t')=\vec{x}'; \vec{Z}(t)=\vec{x}} D^F[\vec{Z}(\sigma)] \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4D} \left(\int_{t'}^t d\sigma \left[\frac{d\vec{Z}}{d\sigma} - (\vec{\nabla} S)(\vec{Z}(\sigma), \sigma) \right] \right) \right\} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

At the limit (singular) $D \rightarrow 0$, where equation (A.21)-(A.22) reduces to the first-order equation (A.4), the (Functional) integral is given *exactly* by the following expression

$$G[(\vec{x}, t); (\vec{x}', t')] = \delta^{(3)}[\vec{x} - \vec{Z}[t; (\vec{x}', t')]] \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Here $\vec{Z}[t; (\vec{x}', t')]$ satisfies the functional minimum of the positive path integral weight on eq.

(A.25), i.e. the trajectories $\vec{Z}[\sigma, (\vec{x}', t')]$ satisfies the following Storm-Liouville problem:

$$\frac{d\vec{Z}[\sigma, (\vec{x}', t')]}{d\sigma} = (\vec{\nabla} \cdot S)(\vec{Z}[\sigma, (\vec{x}', t')])d\sigma \quad (\text{A.27})$$

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow t} \vec{Z}[\sigma, (\vec{x}', t')] = \vec{x} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow t'} \vec{Z}[\sigma, (\vec{x}; t')] = \vec{x}' \quad (\text{A.29})$$

As a consequence we obtain the closed analytical expression for eq. (A.4)

$$\rho(\vec{x}, t) = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{Z}[t, (\vec{x}; t')]) \quad (\text{A.30})$$

However it is very cumbersome write the quantum potential term $\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \right) (\vec{x}, t)$ in terms of an amenable functional on the “current” $\vec{v}(\vec{x}, t) = (\nabla \cdot \vec{S})(\vec{x}, t)$ as it is needed on eq. (A.10).

Appendix 4

In this Appendix we make some clarifying comments on the quantum effective propagator used in section 3 and 4.

Let us, thus, start from a general point of view from the complete system quantum Hamiltonian, namely:

$$H = H^{(p)} + H^{(bath)} + gH^{int} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Here $H^{(p)}$ is the particle hamiltonian acting on the particle Hilbert states H_p . $H^{(bath)}$ the bath hamiltonian acting on the bath Hilbert states $H_{(bath)}$ and gH^{int} denotes the interaction hamiltonian between the particle and the bath.

The effective particle propagator used in our studies of section 2 is obtained from the system complete quantum propagator after integrating out the bath reservoir degrees of freedom and leading, thus, to an effective propagator on $H_{(p)}$ as written in next equation

$$U_{eff}^p(t) = Tr_{H_{(bath)}} \left[exp \, it(H^{(p)} + H^{(bath)} + gH^{int}) \right] \quad (\text{A.32})$$

It is worth that it differs from the usual matrix density propagator of a particle interacting with *a bath in thermal equilibrium which is defined by* (see eq. (49) and eq. (52))

$$\tilde{U}^p(t) = e^{itH^{(p)}} \times \left\{ \frac{Tr_{bath}[\rho e^{it H_{int}}]}{Tr_{bath}[\rho]} \right\} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

where ρ is the bath matrix density (a trace eigenfunctions of the Bath Hamiltonian)

$$\rho = \sum_i exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{kT} \varepsilon_i \right\} |\sigma_i \rangle \otimes \langle \sigma_i| \quad (\text{A.34})$$

where

$$H^{(bath)} |\sigma_i \rangle = \varepsilon_i |\sigma_i \rangle \quad (\text{A.35})$$

At this point it is worth remark that it does not make sense from a thermodynamical point of view to consider the quantum amplitude transition of *a single* quantum particle sharing (possessing) the same temperature of the bath as it was considered by H. Kleinert (“Path-Integrals-World Scientific (1990) - section 18-6) in his “Matrix density and path integrals studies on the subject. The correct procedure is to consider a gas made of the particles in contact with the thermal

reservoir at the temperature T . In this case one should consider instead of transitions amplitudes, the effective (bath integrated out dynamical degrees!) particle effective partition functional

$$Z\left(\beta = \frac{1}{kT}\right) = \frac{\text{Tr}_{\text{bath}}\{\exp(-\beta[H^{(p)} + H^{(\text{bath})} + gH^{(\text{int})}])\}}{\text{Tr}_{\text{bath}}[\exp(-\beta H^{(\text{bath})})]} \quad (\text{A.36})$$

Let us now consider as the physical object to be studied the quantum mechanical probability density within a volume $V \in R^3$ of the quantum particle without taking the environment average yet

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\Omega}(t) &= \int_{\Omega} d^D x \psi(x, t) \psi^*(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^D y d^D y' \psi(y, 0) \psi^*(y', 0) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\Omega} d^D x G(x, y, t) G^*(x, y', t) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.37})$$

In the Feynman path-integral framework we can write the product propagators of eq. (A-37) in the following form

$$G(x, y, t) G^*(x, y', t) = \left(\int_{\substack{z(0)=y \\ z(t)=x}} D^F[z(\sigma)] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}} \right) \left(\int_{\substack{r(0)=x \\ r(-t)=y'}} D^F[r(\sigma)] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^{-t} \mathcal{L}} \right) \quad (\text{A.38})$$

where \mathcal{L} denotes in a condensed notation the complete particle Lagrangean which by its turn includes the term interacting with the environment which is written as \mathcal{L}_{int} . At this point, we make use of the time translation path-integral invariance to re-write eq. (A-38) in the more suitable form ($\bar{\sigma} = \sigma + t$)

$$\int_{\substack{r(0)=x \\ r(-t)=y'}} D^F[r(\sigma)] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^{-t} \mathcal{L} d\sigma} = \int_{\substack{r(t)=x \\ r(0)=y'}} D^F[r(\bar{\sigma})] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_t^0 \mathcal{L} d\bar{\sigma}} \quad (\text{A.39})$$

As a consequence of eq. (A-39), we formally introduce an ensemble of closed Feynman trajectories $\{z(\alpha)\}$ where the “time” α is now running along the complete real line $(-\infty, \infty)$, but these trajectories $z(\alpha)$ have the constraint that at the physical time $\alpha = t$, $z(t)$ coincides with the point x . It is worth remark that we have made the usual homotopical-homological identification of the initial and final time $(0, 0)$ in eq. (A-38)-eq.(A-39) to the points $\alpha = -\infty$ and $\alpha = +\infty$.

As a result of the above displayed discussion we have as the object to be studied the following Feynman closed path integral

$$\oint_{z(-\infty)=y; z(\infty)=y'} D^F[z(\alpha)] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{L} d\alpha} \delta^{(\nu)}(z(t) - x) \quad (\text{A.40})$$

Note that it differs from the usual Schwinger-Feynman-Vermon closed time path integrals for transition amplitudes of the form $|(x, t|y, 0)|^2 = G(x, y, t)G(y, x, -t)$.

At this point, one proceeds as in the main text by considering the environment integrated out object

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_\Omega(t) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^D y \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^D y' \psi(y, 0) \psi^*(y', 0) \\ &\int_{\Omega} d^D x \left\{ \oint_{\substack{z(-\infty)=y \\ z(\infty)=y'}} D^F[z(x)] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{L}_0 d\alpha} \delta^{(D)}(z(t) - x) \langle e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{L}_{int} d\alpha} \rangle \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.41})$$

where $\langle \ \rangle$ denotes the operation of integrating out the environment degrees of freedom as implemented in the text.

Finally, we point out that similar effective (environment integrated out) for others quantum mechanical observables can be path integral represented in a similar way as eq. (A-41).

For instance, the important quantum mechanical current is represented by the same eq. (A-41) but with the insertion of the quantum mechanical momenta operator

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{j}_\Omega(t) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^D y \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^D y' \psi(y, 0) \psi^*(y', 0) \\ &\times \int_{\Omega} d^D x \left\{ \oint_{\substack{z(-\infty)=y \\ z(\infty)=y'}} D^F[z(x)] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{L}_0 d\alpha} \left(-i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_x \cdot \delta^{(D)}(z(t) - x) \right) \langle e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{L}_{int} d\alpha} \rangle \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.42})$$

References

- [1] A.O. Caldeira, A.J. Legget, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 211 (1981).
A.O. Caldeira, A.J. Legget, Ann. Phys., **149**, 374; **153**, 445 (1983).
A.J. Legget, “In Directions in Condensed Matter Physics (G. Grinstein and G. Mazenko, eds.), World Scientific Publ. Co. Philadelphia (1986).
A.J. Legget et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 59, 1 (1987).
R.W. Zwanzig, J. Stat. Phys., **9**, 215 (1973).
U. Weiss et al. , Phys. Rev.B35, 9535 (1987).
D. Richter, “In Quantum Aspects of Molecular Motion in Solids”, Springer Proc. in Physics 17, Springer-Verlag (and references therein).
D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. 5SE, 1422 (1992).
G.J. Papadopoulos, J. Phys. A6, 1479 (1973).
R. Aicki, F. Langouche, D. Roekaerts, Physica A111, 622 (1981).
J.R. Oppenheimer, Phys. Rev. **31**, 80 (1928).
E. Schrödinger, Die Naturwissenschaften, **23**, 807 (1935).
H. Frauenfelder, “In Tunneling in Biological Systems” (B. Chance et al., Academic Press, New York (1979).
R.P. Bell, “The Tunnel Effect in Chemistry”, Chapman and Hall, London (1980).
A.B. Nassar et al., Phys. Rev. E56, 1230 (1997).
- [2] Luiz C.L. Botelho and Edson P. Silva - Int. Journal of Mod. Phys. B, vol. 12, n. 27, 2857 (1998).
- [3] F. Reif – “Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics” – Mc Graw-Hill (1984).
- [4] Luiz C.L. Botelho and Edson P. da Silva, Phys. Rev. **E58**, 1141, (1998).
- [5] Luiz C.L. Botelho and Edson P. da Silva, Modern Phys. **B12**, 569, (1998).
A.B. Nassar, Luiz C.L. Botelho, J.M.F. Bassalo and P.T.S. Alencar, Phys. Scripta 42, 9, (1990).
- [6] Luiz C.L. Botelho - “Nelson’s Stochastic Mechanics by means of Feynman Path Integrals”, Modern Phys. Lett. 14B, 73 (2000).

- [7] R.P. Feynmann and A.R. Hibbs, Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals (Mc Graw-Hill, N.Y., p. 63 1965).
- [8] Luiz C.L. Botelho, Modern Physics Letters 13B, 317–323, (1999).
- [9] V. Gurarie and A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. E54, 4908 (1996).