

CBPF-NF-068/85

SPIN-TWO EQUATIONS

by

Adel da Silveira

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CBPF/CNPq
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150
22290 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

Abstract

Transformations that maintain invariant the spin-two equation presented by Galles are considered.

Key-words: Wave equations; Invariances.

In the proof of invariance of (1) under (3) the presence of the last term of (1) is essential, so that the equations considered by Drew-Greenberg⁽²⁾ and Barut-Xu⁽³⁾ are not invariant under (3). Some possible useful expressions of ϕ are h_{ρ}^{ρ} and \bar{h}_{ρ}^{ρ} , where $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$ is a tensor different from $h_{\mu\nu}$.

Another kind of solution of (1) to be considered is $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \phi$ where, again, ϕ is an arbitrary scalar. As this function $f_{\mu\nu}$ is not, in general, a solution of (2), it is necessary to impose on ϕ the following condition

$$(4) \quad \square \phi = 0$$

Therefore, the transformation to be considered is

$$(5) \quad h_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}^{\prime} = h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \phi,$$

with ϕ obeying (4). In this case (1) and (2) are invariant under (5).

In the same way it can be shown that $x_{\mu} x_{\nu}$ is a solution of (1) and (2) so that the corresponding transformation is

$$h_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}^{\prime} = h_{\mu\nu} + x_{\mu} x_{\nu}.$$

Finally, the Pauli-Fierz transformation⁽⁴⁾ is expressed as

$$(6) \quad h_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}^{\prime} = h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\nu} f_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} f_{\nu} - 2g_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\sigma} f^{\sigma}.$$

In the theory formulated in ref. (4) f_{μ} were considered to be arbitrary functions of x^{μ} . Presently it is assumed that f_{μ} must satisfy convenient equations that will be indicated. It can

be shown that (1) is invariant under (6) if the following relation holds

$$(7) \quad \partial_{\mu} F_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} F_{\mu} = 0 \quad ,$$

where

$$(8) \quad F_{\mu} = \square f_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\sigma} f^{\sigma} \quad .$$

As a consequence of (7) and (8) it can be seen that F_{μ} satisfies the following relations

$$(9) \quad \square F_{\mu} = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu} = 0 \quad .$$

The knowledge of F_{μ} , however, is insufficient to determine f_{μ} for the relation (2) must be invariant under (6). In view of this restriction one must have

$$(10) \quad \square \square \partial_{\sigma} f^{\sigma} = 0 \quad .$$

Instead of looking for the general solutions of the equations (7) and (10), a more simple solution, namely, the one that uses functions f_{μ} that verify

$$(11) \quad \square f_{\mu} = 0 \quad ,$$

and

$$(12) \quad \partial_{\mu} f^{\mu} = 0 \quad ,$$

will be considered. These functions f_μ satisfy (7) and (10) so that if they are inserted into (6) they give origin to a transformation that maintains (1) and (2) invariant. In view of the equation (12), of course, there is a simplification and (6) is reduced to

$$h_{\mu\nu} + h'_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu f_\mu + \partial_\mu f_\nu \quad .$$

References

1. C.D. Galles: Lettere Nuovo Cimento 42, 382 (1985).
2. M.S. Drew and J.D. Greenberg: Nuovo Cimento A, 60, 41 (1980).
3. A.O. Barut and B.N. Xu: Phys. Lett. B, 102, 37 (1981).
4. W. Pauli and M. Fierz: Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A, 173, 211 (1939).