CBPF-NF-053/85 ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN MATRICES AND QUATERNIONS

Бу

Adel da Silveira

Centro Brasíleiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CBPF/CNPq Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150 22290 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the correspondence matrix-quaternion is such that to each physical equation there corresponds a set of equivalent matrix equations.

Key-words: Matrices; Quaternions.

The isomorphism between the algebra of quaternions over the field of complex numbers and the algebra of real (8x8)-matrices was recently emphasized by Jolly (1). The mapping from the quaternionic into matrix representation was accomplished by using a matrix Q. As an application Maxwell's equations were written in matrix form.

It is the purpose of this note to show that the matrix Q can be written in a quaternionic form. Matrix equations are nothing but quaternion equations. Moreover, it will be shown that, to each physical equation, there corresponds a set of equivalent matrix equations.

The general form of a biquaternion \overline{Q} is

$$\overline{Q} = (a+ei)e_0 + (b+fi)e_1 + (c+gi)e_2 + (d+hi)e_3$$
, (1)

where a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h are real numbers

$$e_0^2 = 1$$
 , $e_j e_k = -\delta_{jk} + \epsilon_{jkr} e_r$ (j,k,r = 1,2,3) . (2)

The matrix Q corresponding to this biquaternion, given by Jolly in a more compact form, $is^{(2)}$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

If the following (4x4)-matrices

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} i\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\Gamma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\Gamma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_2 \\ i\sigma_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

are introduced, it is possible to write

$$A = aI + b\Gamma_1 + c\Gamma_2 + d\Gamma_3 \qquad (5a)$$

and

$$B = eI + f\Gamma_1 + g\Gamma_2 + h\Gamma_3 \qquad (5b)$$

From (4) it is seen that

$$\Gamma_{j}\Gamma_{k} = -\delta_{jk} + \varepsilon_{jkr}\Gamma_{r} \quad (j,k,r = 1,2,3) \quad . \tag{6}$$

In view of (3), (5a) and (5b) the matrix Q can be written as

$$Q = (a+Je)\overline{I} + (b+Jf)\overline{\Gamma}_1 + (c+Jg)\overline{\Gamma}_2 + (d+Jh)\overline{\Gamma}_3 \qquad , \tag{7}$$

where \overline{I} , J, $\overline{\Gamma}_1$, $\overline{\Gamma}_2$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_3$ are (8x8)-matrices defined as follows

$$\overline{\mathbf{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} , \quad \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} , \quad \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{k} = (1, 2, 3)$$
(8)

Here I is the (4x4) unit matrix and Γ_k are defined by (4). The matrix J corresponds to the imaginary quantity \underline{i} , whereas $\overline{\Gamma}_k$ correspond to the quantities e_k of the relation (1).

From (8) it is seen that $\overline{\Gamma}_k$ can have several realizations. Each realization corresponds, of course, to a different matrix Q in (7). In (4) one realization of Γ_k is presented. Another one is obtained by using the following set of Γ_k^{\dagger} matrices

$$\Gamma_{1}^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{1} \\ -\sigma_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \Gamma_{2}^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_{2} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \Gamma_{3}^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{3} \\ -\sigma_{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (9)$$

that satisfy (6). Two more sets are obtained by inserting σ_1 and σ_3 in (4) instead of σ_2 .

It should be noted that I, $\overline{\Gamma}_1$, $\overline{\Gamma}_2$, $\overline{\Gamma}_3$, J, J $\overline{\Gamma}_1$, J $\overline{\Gamma}_2$ and J $\overline{\Gamma}_3$ form a group. The sets (9) and (4) are equivalent. One has, indeed,

$$sr_k s^{-1} = r_k'$$
 , (10)

where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} I & i\sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_3 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{11}$$

In general it is not true that

$$sJs^{-1} = J$$
.

In the aforementioned example one has

$$SJS^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -i\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Nevertheless, the matrix $\overline{\mathbf{J}}$, which satisfy the relation

$$s_J s^{-1} = \overline{J}$$
.

is such that

$$\overline{J}^2 = -I ,$$

if (8) holds. Therefore, matrices Q_{Γ} and Q_{Γ} , defined as (7) with the help of matrices such as (4) and (9), which are equivalent, satisfy

$$sQ_{\Gamma}s^{-1} = Q_{\Gamma}$$
.

In general equations like

$$DF = (4\pi/c) J ,$$

given by Jolly are invariant under the transformations

$$D \rightarrow S^{-1}DS$$
 , $F \rightarrow S^{-1}FS$, $J \rightarrow S^{-1}JS$,

so that to each physical equation there corresponds a set of equivalent matrix equations.

REFERENCE

- (1) D.C. Jolly, Lett. Nuovo Cimento, 39, 185 (1984).
- (2) There are four misprints in the Q matrix presented by Jolly.