CBPF-NF-045/86

# A QUANTUM FERMIONIC STRING REPRESENTATION FOR Q.C.D. (SU(Nc)) AT Nc=+∞

bу

Luiz C.L. Botelho

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - CNPq/CBPF Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150 22290 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brasil

Universita' di Roma "La Sapienza", Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, I-00185 Rome", Italy

#### ABSTRACT

We aim in this letter to suggest a covariant fermionic string theory representation for Q.C.D.  $(SU(\mathbf{co}))$  in  $R^d$ . We point out that the Migdal'Elfin string theory can be obtained as a particular case from the proposed Q.C.D.  $(SU(\mathbf{co}))$  string in the situation of "smooth" string world-sheet.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is expected that Q.C.D. (N<sub>c</sub>) (at least at N<sub>c</sub>  $\rightarrow$   $\infty$  ) should be described by some sort of string theory (see ref.[1] for an extensive review).

Qur aim in this letter is to suggest that the bosonic loop average in Q.C.D. (SU( $\infty$ )) can be considered as a quantum string state of a self avoiding bosonic string theory with a intrinsic fermionic structure.

### 2. THE PROPOSED Q.C.D. (SU(∞)) STRING THEORY

One basic object in a covariant quantized string theory is the quantum string state which is represented by a functional  $\Phi\left[\begin{array}{c} C_{X(-\pi)}, \chi(\pi) \end{array}\right] = \Phi\left[\begin{array}{c} \chi(\sigma), e(\sigma) \end{array}\right] \quad \text{of a parametrized contour} \\ \left\{\chi_{\mu}(\sigma)\right\} \left(-\Pi \leq \sigma \leq \Pi\right) \qquad \text{and of a one dimensional intrinsic metric} \left\{\mathcal{E}(\sigma)\right\} \text{ defined on } \chi_{\mu}(\sigma) \ .$ 

Dynamics being given by the evolution equation between a initial string state  $\Phi\left[\mathcal{L}_{\chi(-\eta),\chi(\eta)}^{i\nu}\right]$  for a final state  $\Phi\left[\mathcal{L}_{\chi(-\eta),\chi(\eta)}^{ovt}\right]$ 

$$\Phi[\mathcal{L}^{\text{out}}] = \int d[\mathcal{L}^{\text{in}}] \, \Phi[\mathcal{L}^{\text{in}}] \, \Phi[\mathcal{L}^{\text{in}}]$$
(1)

The string propagation Kernel  $G[C^{out}, C^{in}]$  is supposed to be expressed as the sum over all possible cylindrical string's surface S without holes and with its boundary fixed  $\partial S = \{C^{in}, C^{out}\}$  ([2]).

Let us start our analyses describing the action of our proposed Q.C.D. (SU( $\infty$ )) covariant string theory (we work in euclidean space-time R<sup>d</sup>) ([3]).

The strings cylindrical surface parameter domain is the rectangle  $\mathcal{D} = \{ (\sigma, \tau) ; -\widetilde{\Pi} \leq \sigma \leq \widetilde{\Pi} ; 0 \leq \tau \leq \tau \}$ The covariant degrees of freedom associated to the pure bosonic string sector are  $\{\chi_{\sigma}(\sigma,\tau), g_{\sigma}(\sigma,\tau)\}$  and they satisfy the conformal cylindrical gauge condition ([2])

$$\delta^{\alpha \rho}(\alpha'1) = b(\alpha'1) \delta^{\alpha \rho}$$
(3)

so that

$$C^{iN} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_{\mu}^{iN}(\sigma) = X_{\mu}(\sigma,0) ; \quad e_{iN}(\sigma) = \rho(\sigma,0) \right\} \\ C^{out} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_{\mu}^{out}(\sigma) = X_{\mu}(\sigma,T) ; \quad e_{iN}(\sigma) = \rho(\sigma,T) \right\} \end{array} \right.$$

$$(4)$$

The pure string' fermionic structure is described by a set of O(m) majorana spinors defined on the string world sheet  $\left\{\begin{array}{c} (\sigma, \mathbf{I}) : (\sigma, \mathbf{I}) \in \mathcal{D} \\ (\kappa) : (\kappa) \in \left\{0, 1, \dots, (m)\right\} \end{array}\right\}$  These sectors interacts through a self suppressing interaction involving the string orientation tensor  $\left[\begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{X}_{\mu}(\sigma, \mathbf{I})) : (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \mathbf{X}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \mathbf{X}_{\mu})(\sigma, \mathbf{I}) \\ (\sigma, \mathbf{I}) : ($ 

Let us now consider the following quantum string propagation Kernel

$$G[C^{\text{out}}; C^{\text{in}}] = \int d\mu [x_{\mu}, g_{\alpha \nu}] d[\psi_{(\kappa)}]$$

$$\times (\psi_{(\kappa)}(-\pi, 0), \overline{\psi}^{(\kappa)}(\pi, 0))$$

$$\times \exp(-\sum [x_{\mu}, g_{\alpha \nu}, \psi_{(\kappa)}])$$
(5)

Here,  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G}_{ab}, \mathcal{X}^{a})$  denotes the bosonic surface quantum measure proposed by A.M.Polyakov ([2]; [4]). The fermionic measure  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Y}_{(K)})$  is defined by the eigenvectors of the covariant Dirac operator  $\mathcal{Y}_{a}(\sigma, \mathfrak{I})\partial_{a}$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  which acts on the Majorana spinors satisfing the "parity-conservation" boundary condition

$$\lambda^{2} = A^{(\kappa)}(\alpha^{1}\alpha) = A^{(\kappa)}(\alpha^{1}\alpha)$$

$$\lambda^{2} = A^{(\kappa)}(\alpha^{1}\alpha) = A^{(\kappa)}(\alpha^{1}\alpha)$$

They are imposed in order to ensure in a explicitly fashion the physical invariance under the change of the orientation of the strings or in the transition amplitude eq.(5) besides we impose that the U(1) currents  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma, I) = ( \overline{\Psi}_{(K)} \times \Psi_{(K)} ) (\sigma, I)$ associated to the U(1) symmetry of the action eq.(3) should vanish at the boundary, since there is no flow outside of the string's world sheet ([5]).

We shall now argue in the framework of Ref.[2] that the (closed) string quantum state  $\Phi \left[ \begin{array}{c} i \nu \\ \end{array} \right]$  associated to the string theory eq.(5) satisfies a covariant non-linear wave equation which reduces to a bosonic-like Q.C.D. (SU( $\infty$ )) loop wave equation by imposing the string proper-time gauge  $\left[ \begin{array}{c} (\sigma) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \end{array} \right]^{i\nu} (\sigma) \right]^2$  = CONSTANT

So, in order to deduce the covariant wave equation for the string "Kernel" eq.(5), we have to consider variations on "time

direction" of the  $C^{IN}$ -end (closed) string in eq.(3) (or in a equivalently fashion: boundary  $\partial_{\sigma\sigma}(\sigma, J)$  variations at the  $C^{IN}$ -end) - ([2]). We, thus, obtain the following identity

$$O = \int d\mu \left[ g_{ab}, \chi_{\mu} \right] d\left[ \psi_{(k)} \right] \left( \psi_{(-\pi,0)} \overline{\psi}^{(k)}(\pi,0) \right)$$

$$\times \exp \left( - \int \left[ g_{ab}, \chi_{\mu}, \psi_{(k)} \right] \right)$$

$$\times \lim_{T \to 0^{+}} \left( \chi_{\mu}, \chi_{\mu$$

where  $J_{00}(X_m, \partial_1 X_m, \partial_2 X_m, \partial_3 X_m, \partial_3 X_m, \partial_3 X_m)$  is the time component of the interacting string energy-momentum tensor. Its boundary-value at  $J \to O^+$  and in the conformal gauge eq.(3) is given by

$$\frac{1}{1+0+} \int_{0}^{1} (x_{\mu}, y_{\mu}) dy_{\mu} dy_{\mu$$

Here  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{t}^{\infty} \chi^{\infty}(\sigma, t)$  denotes the canonical momentum associated to string vector position  $\chi^{\infty}(\sigma)$  and we have assumed that the formal limit below holds true yielding, thus, the  $\lambda_0$  term in eq.(7). ([5]). Explicitly:

where  $W[(X_{\mu}(\sigma,3); X_{\mu}(\sigma',3'))]$  denotes a arbitrary functional of the string vector position  $X_{\mu}(\sigma,3)$ .

The term  $F\left[9_{\alpha b}(\sigma,3)\right]$  takes into account the conformal anomaly factor of the string theory eq.(2). In the conformal gauge it can be written as

$$F[\rho(\sigma_{1})] = \frac{26 - (d - lm)}{24\pi} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x} \log \rho)^{2} (\sigma_{1}) d\sigma dy \right\}$$

$$= \frac{26 - (d - lm)}{16\pi \epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\pi} \rho(\sigma_{1}) d\sigma dy \right\}$$
(9)

where d is the number of space-time dimensions and M the number of components of the string Majorana Field which will be fixed by requiring that the infinite "cosmological term"  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{0} \frac{2+2m-d}{16\pi\epsilon} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \rho(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau \right\} = \inf_{0} \frac{2+$ 

Inserting eq.(7) - eq.(9) in eq.(6), we obtain the following result for the physical space time  $\mathbb{R}^4$ 

$$\int dM \left[ 3_{ab}, X_{p} \right] \left[ \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \sigma \leq n} d \Psi(\sigma, \mathbf{I}) \right) \Psi(-\pi, \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\pi, \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{(d - p_{m}) - 26}{24\pi} \left( -\frac{1}{p(\sigma, \mathbf{I})} \right)^{2} \left( \log_{\sigma} p(\sigma, \mathbf{I}) \right) \left[ -\frac{1}{p(\sigma, \mathbf{I})} \right]^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda_{o}}{24\pi} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{p(\sigma, \mathbf{I})} \right)^{2} \left( \log_{\sigma} p(\sigma, \mathbf{I}) \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{o}}{d} \int dX_{p}(\sigma') \cdot X_{p}^{\prime, in} \left[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\Psi(x_{p}, \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma') \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(-\pi, \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma, \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\Psi(x_{p}, \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\pi', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(x_{p}, \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\pi', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(x_{p}, \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\pi', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(x_{p}, \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\pi', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(x_{p}, \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{I}) \exp_{\sigma} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log_{\sigma} X_{p} \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right]$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0})$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0})$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0})$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0})$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0})$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \left[ \int_{0 \leq 1 \leq 1}^{\pi} d\Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \right] \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0})$$

$$\times \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf{0}) \Psi(\sigma', \mathbf$$

amplitude of the string fermionic structure and depending on the string  $\chi_{(w)}$  and on the associated string world:

sheet branche

in a independent fashion. Its expression reads

$$Z[C_{\chi(\omega),\chi(\omega)}; S_{\chi(\omega),\chi(\omega)}] = \begin{cases} \left( \prod_{\omega \in \alpha \in \omega} \psi(\alpha,3) \right) & \text{exp}(-S^{(\omega,\omega)}; \gamma_{\omega},\psi) \\ w \in \alpha \in \omega} & \text{of } 1 \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$X(\psi(\omega,0), \overline{\psi}(\omega,0))$$

$$(11)$$

On basis of the identity eq. (10) we, thus, obtain that

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\chi_{(-\pi),\chi(\pi)}, & \sum_{\chi(-\pi),\chi(\pi)} \\
\chi_{(-\pi),\chi(\pi)}, & \sum_{\chi(-\pi),\chi(\pi)} \\
\chi_{(-\pi),\chi(\pi)}, & \sum_{\chi(-\pi),\chi(\pi)} \\
\chi_{(-\pi),\chi(\pi)}, & \sum_{\chi(-\pi),\chi(\pi)} \\
\chi_{(-\pi),\chi(\pi)}, & \chi_{(-\pi),\chi(\pi)}
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{0}}{d} \left( \int_{\chi(-\pi),\chi(\pi)} \chi_{(-\pi),\chi(\pi)} \right) \left( \chi_{(-\pi),\chi(\pi)} \right) \left($$

Now let us identify in this loop equation the bare string coupling constant with the QCD (SU( $\infty$ )) bare gauge coupling constant (i.e.: LiM  $3^2$  N<sub>C</sub> =  $\lambda_{\bullet}/\lambda_{\bullet}$  ); impose the string proper-time gauge  $e^{-1}(\sigma) = constant - e^{-1}(\sigma) = constant$  use the Schroedinger ordered representation for the string "kinetic" operator

$$: \iint_{i_{N}}^{i_{N}}(\alpha) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i_{N}}^{i_{N}}(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon) \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{N}}^{i_{N}}(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon)}{\sum_{i_{N}}^{\infty}(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon)}$$

$$(13)$$

As a result we find that the pure fermionic transition amplitude  $Z[C_{\chi(-\pi),\chi(\pi)}]$  as functional of the contour  $Z^{(N)} = \{\chi^{(N)}, -\pi \leq 0 \leq \pi\}$  satisfies the unrenormalized  $Q_{-}(Q_{-}) = \{\chi^{(N)}, -\pi \leq 0 \leq \pi\}$  satisfies the unrenormalized  $Q_{-}(Q_{-}) = \{\chi^{(N)}, \chi^{(N)}\}$  see eq.(9) - [6] restricted to its bosonic sector). So, it appears natural to suggest that the QCD (SU( $\infty$ )) bosonic loop average  $W[C^{(N)}] = \{\chi^{(N)}, \chi^{(N)}\}$  should be identified with the quantum string state  $Q_{-}(Q_{-}) = \{\chi^{(N)}, \chi^{(N)}\}$  associated to the interacting string theory eq.(2).

At this point it is worth to note that we have to sum over the fluctuating strings world-sheet in the functional Z[C, S] before identify it with W[C]. Procedure needed to produce a pure loop functional. Simbolically W[C]: Z[C, S] next, we remark that if we had imposed the Bianchi identifies in our string theory, we would have had to consider the string surface in eq.(11) as being the minimal area surface bounded by the loop C([7]) and producing, then, a particular non-quantum string representation for QCD  $(SU(\infty))$  ([4]) and this classical string representation for "static" fermions  $(\Psi(\sigma, \Sigma)\Psi(\sigma, \Sigma)$ = constant) reduces to the particular area—behavior solution found in ref.[7].

Our next step is to obtain the migdal elfin string theory as a particular case of our proposed fermionic string theory for "smooth" string world-sheet S, i.e. the string surface  $S = \{(X, (\sigma, \tau))\}$  does not passesses self-intersections and the intrinsic metric  $\{S_{ab}(\sigma, \tau)\}$  coincides with that one induced by the surface parametrization  $\{S_{ab}(\sigma, \tau)\}$  ( $\{\sigma, \tau\}$ ) ( $\{\sigma, \tau\}$ ) ( $\{\sigma, \tau\}$ ) ( $\{\sigma, \tau\}$ )  $\{\{\sigma, \tau\}\}$ 

In the above cited "smooth" case we have that the self-supressing  $\lambda_0$ -potential in the action eq.(2) can be written in the form below, owing to the normalization condition of the orientation tangent tensor at non self-intersect points  $\chi$  (0,1)  $\chi$  ( $\chi$ (0,1)). The condition of  $\chi$  ( $\chi$ (0,1)). The condition of the orientation tangent tensor at non self-intersect points  $\chi$  (0,1)

$$\frac{q}{y^{\circ}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{2} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^{2}} (\alpha^{\prime} z) \int_{\mathbb{Q}^{2}} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{2} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^{2}} (\alpha^{\prime} z) \int_{\mathbb$$

$$\frac{\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\sigma_{2}} \left( \sigma_{1} \sigma_{1} - \left( \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \right) \right) \cdot \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{\sigma_{2}} \sigma_{2}}{\left( \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{1} \right) \cdot \rho_{\sigma_{1}}^{\sigma_{2}} \left( \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \right)}$$
(14)

So, by evaluating the (  $\sigma', J'$  ) integrations we get the (bare) elfin mass term

$$\left( \left( \mathcal{M}_{\text{ELF}}^{(0)} \right) \int \left( \psi \ \overline{\psi} \right) (\sigma_{i} \tau) \, d\sigma \, d\tau$$
(15)

where the (bare) elfin mass is formally given by  $M_{ELF}^{(0)} = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d}\right) \cdot \int_{0}^{(2)} \left(0\right)$ It is remarkable that we have obtained the elfin mass in function of the QCD(SU( $\infty$ )) gauge coupling-constant without the use of the delicate local limit implemented in the Ref.[5]. - 5 and appendix B. We notice that the appearence of the singular term  $\binom{(2)}{0}$  may be related to the existence of a natural cut-off in QCD and probabily being given by a gauge invariant quantized size domain characterising the QCD non perturbative vacum ([7]).

Finally, we comment that our choose for a vanished "cosmological term" eq.(9) is dictated by the physical fact that the only dimensional parameter present in Q.C.D. should be the dimensionally transmuted gauge coupling constant, i.e., the renormalized  $\lambda_0$  coupling constant.

It will be a subject of a extended paper the investigation in full detail of the phase structure of our proposed QCD (SU( CO )) string Solution. And, thus, we hope to show finally the equivalence between QCD (SU( CO )) and the string theory with fermionic structure eq.(5).

I would like to thank the Gruppo Teorico at Rome University for warm hospitality. I am also grateful to M. Virasoro for a discussion. This work was supported by the National Research Council of Brazil. CNPq.

Note added: we remark that recently we have proposed a fermionic loop space to accomplish in a more invariant way the quark's lorentz spin in the QCD loop formulation ([6], [8]). We, then, derived a closed fermionic looop wave equation which posseses a kind of loop supersymmetry ([6] so, on basis of this supersymmetry we expect that the direct supresymmetric extension of the action eq.(5) should leads to a more realistic string representation for QCD(SU( \mathbb{O})).

## References

- [1] A.A.Migdal, Phys. Rep. 102 (1983) 199
- [2] Luiz C.L.Botelho, a covariant wave equation in the quantum geometry of bosonic strings, CBPF 1986 Notes of Physics (submitted to Phys. Lett.B)
- [3] Luis C.L.Botelho, Rev. Bras de Fisica, vol 16, (1986)
- [4] A.M.Polyakov, Phys. Lett. 103B, 207 (1981)
- [5] A.A.Migdal, Phys. Lett <u>96B</u>, 333 (1980) A.A.Migdal, Nucl. Phys.<u>B189</u> (1981), 253
- [6] Luiz C.L.Botelho, Phys. Lett. <u>169B</u> (1986), 428
- [7] P.Olsen, J.L.Petersen, Nucl. Phys. <u>B181</u> (1981), 157
- [8] H.Rumpf, J. Math. Phys. 27 (6), (1986), 1649